$pp. \ \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}$

PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR SOME FULLY NONLINEAR FOURTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Feliz Minhós

Department of Mathematics. School of Sciences and Technology. University of Évora Research Center in Mathematics and Applications of University of Évora (CIMA-UE)

Rua Romão Ramalho, 59

7000-671 Évora. PORTUGAL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to the periodic fourth order boundary value problem

$$\begin{array}{lcl} u^{(4)}(x) & = & f(x,u(x),u'(x),u''(x),u'''(x)) \\ u^{(i)}(a) & = & u^{(i)}(b), \quad i=0,1,2,3, \end{array}$$

for $x \in [a,b]$, and $f:[a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. To the best of our knowledge it is the first time where this type of general nonlinearities is considered in fourth order equations with periodic boundary conditions.

The difficulties in the odd derivatives are overcome due to the following arguments: the control on the third derivative is done by a Nagumo-type condition and the bounds on the first derivative are obtained by lower and upper solutions, not necessarily ordered.

By this technique, not only it is proved the existence of a periodic solution, but also, some qualitative properties of the solution can be obtained.

1. **Introduction.** In this paper we get sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions of the periodic fourth order boundary value problem composed by the fully equation

$$u^{(4)}(x) = f(x, u(x), u'(x), u''(x), u'''(x))$$
(1)

for $x \in [a,b]$, and $f:[a,b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function, and the boundary conditions

$$u^{(i)}(a) = u^{(i)}(b), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$
 (2)

Higher order periodic boundary value problems have been studied by several authors in last decades, using different types of arguments and techniques. However, as far as we know, these methods were not able to deal with fully nonlinear differential equations, in particular with both odd derivatives. As examples, see [7, 8, 16] for variational methods, [1, 2, 3, 5, 14, 15, 17, 18] for second and higher order equations, and [12] for a linear n^{th} order periodic problem.

The above difficulties are overcome applying lower and upper solutions technique and topological degree, like it is suggested in [4, 6, 11]. In short, the method is based in three key points:

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 34B15; Secondary: 34C25.

Key words and phrases. Periodic solutions, fully fourth order equations, Nagumo condition, lower and upper solutions.

- A Nagumo-type condition ([13]) assumed on the nonlinearity, which is useful to obtain an *a priori* estimation for the third derivative and to define an open and bounded set where the topological degree is well defined.
- A new kind of definition of lower and upper solutions, required to deal with the absence of a definite order for the first derivatives. In fact lower and upper solutions, not necessarily ordered, are associated by translations with some ordered functions, used to define the sets where the solutions and their first derivatives are contained.
- An adequate auxiliary and perturbed problem, where the truncations and the homotopy are extended to some boundary conditions of mixed type, allowing an invertible linear operator and the evaluation of the Leray-Schauder degree.

Remark that, by [9], for periodic second order problems, the existence of lower and upper solutions is not sufficient to obtain a solution in the absence of Nagumo condition. At the moment this is an open issue for higher order boundary value problems.

This method is particularly well adapted to boundary value problems (see [10]) because it provides not only the existence of a periodic solution, without monotone assumptions on the nonlinearity, but also, some qualitative properties of this solution and its derivatives.

The last section contains an example where the location part is used to prove that the solution is nontrivial and to obtain some of its values.

2. **Definitions and** *a priori* **bounds.** In this section it is precise how to control the odd derivatives. For the third derivative it is obtained an *a priori* estimate via a Nagumo-type growth condition.

Definition 2.1. A continuous function $f:[a,b]\times\mathbb{R}^4\to\mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the Nagumo-type condition in

$$E = \{(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \gamma_i(x) \le y_i \le \Gamma_i(x), i = 0, 1, 2\},$$
 (3)

with $\gamma_i(x)$ and $\Gamma_i(x)$ continuous functions such that,

$$\gamma_i(x) \le \Gamma_i(x)$$
, for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and every $x \in [a, b]$, (4)

if there exists a real continuous function $h_E:[0,+\infty[\to]0,+\infty[$ such that

$$|f(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)| \le h_E(|y_3|), \quad \forall (x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in E,$$
 (5)

with

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{s}{h_E(s)} ds = +\infty. \tag{6}$$

Lemma 2.2. ([11], Lemma 2) Suppose that f satisfies Nagumo-type condition as in Definition 2.1. Then, there exists R > 0 (depending on γ_2, Γ_2 and h_E) such that every solution u(x) of (1) with

$$\gamma_i(x) \le u^{(i)}(x) \le \Gamma_i(x)$$
,

for
$$i = 0, 1, 2$$
 and $x \in [a, b]$ verifies $||u'''||_{\infty} < R$.

Non ordered upper and lower solutions will be useful to define such set E and the strips where solutions and the derivatives will be located.

In the periodic case, lower and upper solutions and their first derivatives are translate to some auxiliary functions, which are well ordered:

Definition 2.3. The function $\alpha \in C^4([a,b])$ is a lower solution of problem (1)–(2) if:

(i) $\alpha^{(iv)}(x) \ge f(x, \alpha_0(x), \alpha_1(x), \alpha''(x), \alpha'''(x))$ with

$$\alpha_0(x) := \alpha(x) - \alpha(a) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty} (x - a), \tag{7}$$

$$\alpha_1(x) := \alpha'(x) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty}; \tag{8}$$

(ii)
$$\alpha'(a) \ge \alpha'(b)$$
, $\alpha''(a) = \alpha''(b)$, $\alpha'''(a) \ge \alpha'''(b)$.

The function $\beta \in C^4([a,b])$ is an upper solution of problem (1)-(2) if:

(i) $\beta^{(iv)}(x) \le f(x, \beta_0(x), \beta_1(x), \beta''(x), \beta'''(x))$ with

$$\beta_0(x) := \beta(x) - \beta(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty} (x - a),$$
 (9)

$$\beta_1(x) := \beta'(x) + ||\beta'||_{\infty};$$
 (10)

(ii)
$$\beta'(a) \le \beta'(b), \beta''(a) = \beta''(b), \beta'''(a) \le \beta'''(b).$$

3. Existence of periodic solutions. Next theorem provides an existence and location result for problem (1)–(2) in presence of non ordered lower and upper solutions.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that α , $\beta \in C^4([a,b])$ are lower and upper solutions of (1)–(2), respectively, such that

$$\alpha''(x) \le \beta''(x), \, \forall x \in [a, b]. \tag{11}$$

Let $f:[a,b]\times\mathbb{R}^4\to\mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function verifying a Nagumo-type condition in

$$E_* := \{(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) : \alpha_i \le y_i \le \beta_i, j = 0, 1, \alpha'' \le y_2 \le \beta''\}$$

and

$$f(x, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, y_2, y_3) \ge f(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \ge f(x, \beta_0, \beta_1, y_2, y_3),$$
 (12)

for fixed $(x, y_2, y_3) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^2$, $\alpha_j \leq y_j \leq \beta_j$, j = 0, 1.

Then problem (1)-(2) has at least a periodic solution $u \in C^4([a,b])$ such that

$$\alpha_j(x) \le u^{(j)}(x) \le \beta_j(x), \ j = 0, 1, \ and \ \alpha''(x) \le u''(x) \le \beta''(x),$$

for $x \in [a, b]$.

Remark 1. These associated functions, $\alpha_j(x) \leq 0 \leq \beta_j$, j=0,1, are not unique. In Definition 2.3 we consider the optimal cases in the sense that $\alpha_0(a)=0=\beta_0(a)$. So, the solution found by Theorem 3.1 satisfies additionally u(a)=u(b)=0. However it is always possible to find "well ordered" functions $\alpha_j(x)$ and $\beta_j(x)$. The "easiest way" is by translation $\alpha_0(x)-k$ and $\beta_0(x)+k$, for some k>0 such that $\alpha_0(x)\leq\beta_0(x)$ and $\alpha_1(x)\leq\beta_1(x)$. Another way, for example, is integrating (11) in [x,b] to obtain

$$\alpha_{0}(x) := \alpha(x) - \alpha(b) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty} (b - x),
\alpha_{1}(x) := \beta'(x) - ||\beta'||_{\infty},
\beta_{0}(x) := \beta(x) - \beta(b) + ||\beta'||_{\infty} (b - x),
\beta_{1}(x) := \alpha'(x) + ||\alpha'||_{\infty}.$$

Note that, in this case, lower and upper solutions must be defined like a pair of functions.

Remark 2. The above remark shows that the order between lower and upper solutions and their first derivatives is not important to guarantee the solvability of the periodic problem (1)–(2).

Remark 3. The proof that the solution is nontrivial can be obtained by the location part of the theorem. For example, if the lower solution is chosen such that $\alpha''(x) > 0$, or the upper solution such that $\beta''(x) < 0$, for some $x \in [a, b]$, then the periodic solution of (1)–(2) is nontrivial.

The last section contains an example where this issue is applied.

Proof. By Remark 1 we can consider, for $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the homotopic and truncated auxiliary equation

$$u^{(iv)}(x) = \lambda f(x, \delta_0(x, u(x)), \delta_1(x, u'(x)), \delta_2(x, u''(x)), u'''(x)) + u''(x) - \lambda \delta_2(x, u''(x),$$
(13)

where the continuous functions $\delta_i, \delta_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ (j = 0, 1) are given by

$$\delta_j(x, y_j) = \begin{cases} \beta_j(x) &, & y_j > \beta_j(x) \\ y_j &, & \alpha_j(x) \le y_j \le \beta_j(x) \\ \alpha_j(x) &, & y_j < \alpha_j(x) \end{cases}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

with α_j defined in (7) and (8), and β_j in (9) and (10)

$$\delta_2(x, y_2) = \begin{cases} \beta''(x) &, & y_2 > \beta''(x) \\ y_2 &, & \alpha''(x) \le y_2 \le \beta''(x) \\ \alpha''(x) &, & y_2 < \alpha''(x), \end{cases}$$

coupled with the boundary conditions

$$u(a) = \lambda \eta_0 (u(b))$$

$$u'(a) = \lambda \eta_1 (u'(b))$$

$$u^{(i)}(a) = u^{(i)}(b), i = 2, 3.$$
(14)

where $\eta_k: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ (k=0,1) are defined by

$$\eta_k(u^{(k)}(b)) = \begin{cases}
\beta_k(a) &, u^{(k)}(b) > \beta_k(a) \\
u^{(k)}(b) &, \alpha_k(a) \le u^{(k)}(b) \le \beta_k(a) &, k = 0, 1. \\
\alpha_k(a) &, u^{(k)}(b) < \alpha_k(a)
\end{cases} (15)$$

Take $r_2 > 0$ such that, for every $x \in [a, b]$,

$$-r_2 < \alpha''(x) \le \beta''(x) < r_2, \tag{16}$$

$$f(x, \alpha_0(x), \alpha_1(x), \alpha''(x), 0) - r_2 - \alpha''(x) < 0$$

and

$$f(x, \beta_0(x), \beta_1(x), \beta''(x), 0) + r_2 - \beta''(x) > 0.$$
(17)

Step 1: Every solution u of the problem (13)-(14) satisfies, in [a, b],

$$|u''(x)| < r_2, |u'(x)| < r_1 \text{ and } |u(x)| < r_0,$$

independently of $\lambda \in [0,1]$, with r_2 given above, $r_1 = \xi + r_2(b-a)$, where

$$\xi := \max\{||\alpha'||_{\infty} - \alpha'(a), \ \beta'(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty}\}, \tag{18}$$

and $r_0 = \xi(b-a) + r_2(b-a)^2$.

Let u be a solution of problem (13)-(14).

Assume, by contradiction, that there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|u''(x)| \ge r_2$. In the case $u''(x) \ge r_2$ define

$$\max_{x \in [a,b]} u''(x) = u''(x_0) \ (\ge r_2 > 0).$$

If $x_0 \in]a, b[$, then $u'''(x_0) = 0$ and $u^{(iv)}(x_0) \leq 0$. By (12), (16) and (17), for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the following contradiction holds

$$0 \geq u^{(iv)}(x_0)$$

$$= \lambda f(x_0, \delta_0(x_0, u(x_0)), \delta_1(x_0, u(x_0)), \beta''(x_0), 0) + u''(x_0) - \lambda \beta''(x_0)$$

$$\geq \lambda [f(x_0, \beta_0(x_0), \beta_1(x_0), \beta''(x_0), 0) + r_2 - \beta''(x_0)] + u''(x_0) - \lambda r_2 > 0.$$

If $x_0 = a$ then

$$\max_{x \in [a,b]} u''(x) = u''(a) \quad (\ge r_2 > 0).$$

By (14),

$$0 \ge u'''(a) = u'''(b) \ge 0, (19)$$

u'''(a) = 0 and $u^{(iv)}(a) \le 0$. From the computations above, with x_0 replaced by a, a similar contradiction is achieved.

The case $x_0 = b$ is analogous. Thus, $u''(x) < r_2$, for every $x \in [a, b]$. In a similar way it can be proved that the inequality $u''(x) > -r_2$ holds for every $x \in [a, b]$. And so,

$$|u''(x)| < r_2, \ \forall x \in [a, b].$$

By integration, (14) and (15) the following relations are achieved:

$$u'(x) < u'(a) + r_2(x - a) = \lambda \eta_1 (u'(b)) + r_2(x - a)$$

$$\leq \lambda \beta_1(a) + r_2(b - a) \leq \beta_1(a) + r_2(b - a)$$

$$\leq \beta'(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty} + r_2(b - a) \leq \xi + r_2(b - a)$$

and

$$u'(x) > u'(a) - r_2(x-a) \ge \lambda \alpha_1(a) - r_2(b-a) \ge \alpha_1(a) - r_2(b-a)$$

 $\ge \alpha'(a) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty} - r_2(b-a) \ge -\xi - r_2(b-a).$

Therefore, for ξ given by (18),

$$|u'(x)| < r_1 \text{ with } r_1 := \xi + r_2(b-a).$$

The same technique leads, by (7) and (9), to

$$|u(x)| < r_0$$
 where $r_0 := \xi(b-a) + r_2(b-a)^2$.

Step 2: There exists R > 0 such that every solution u of the problem (13)-(14) satisfies

$$|u'''(x)| < R, \quad \forall x \in [a, b],$$

independently of $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

Consider, for r_0 , r_1 and r_2 defined above, the set

$$E_1 := \{(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}^4 : -r_i \le y_i \le r_i, \ i = 0, 1, 2\}$$

and the function $F_{\lambda}: E_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$F_{\lambda}(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \lambda f(x, \delta_0(x, y_0), \delta_1(x, y_1), \delta_2(x, y_2), y_3) + y_2 - \lambda \delta_2(x, y_2).$$
(20)

As f satisfies a Nagumo-type condition in E_* , consider the function $\varphi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}_0^+, [k, +\infty[\right), \text{ for some } k > 0$, such that (5) and (6) hold with E replaced by E_* . Thus, for $(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in E_1$, we have, by (13) and (16),

$$F_{\lambda}(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \leq \varphi(|y_3|) + 2 r_2.$$

For $\overline{\varphi}(w) := \varphi(w) + 2r_2$ then

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{s}{\overline{\varphi}(s)} ds = \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{s}{\varphi(s) + 2r_2} ds \ge \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2r_2}{k}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{s}{\varphi(s)} ds,$$

and so $\overline{\varphi}(w)$ verifies (6). Therefore, F_{λ} satisfies Nagumo condition in E_1 with $\varphi(w)$ replaced by $\overline{\varphi}(w)$, independently of λ .

Defining

$$\gamma_i(x) := -r_i, \quad \Gamma_i(x) := r_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 are satisfied with E replaced by E_1 . So there exists R > 0, depending only on r_i , i = 0, 1, 2, and φ , such that |u'''(x)| < R, for every $x \in [a, b]$. Therefore, the *a priori* bound |u'''(x)| < R is independent of λ .

Step 3: For $\lambda = 1$ problem (13)-(14) has a solution $u_1(x)$.

Define the operators

$$\mathcal{L}: C^4([a,b]) \subset C^3([a,b]) \longmapsto C([a,b]) \times \mathbb{R}^4$$

and, for $\lambda \in [0,1]$,

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda}: C^{3}\left([a,b]\right) \longmapsto C\left([a,b]\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$$

by

$$\mathcal{L}u = (u^{(iv)}, u(a), u'(a), u''(a), u'''(a))$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{\lambda} u = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda f(x, \delta_0(x, u(x)), \delta_1(x, u'(x)), \delta_2(x, u''(x)), u'''(x)) + u''(x) - \lambda \delta_2(x, u''(x), \\ \lambda \eta_0(u(b)), \lambda \eta_1(u'(b)), u''(b), u'''(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

As \mathcal{L} has a compact inverse then it can be considered the completely continuous operator

$$\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}:\left(C^{3}\left(\left[a,b\right]\right),\mathbb{R}\right)\longmapsto\left(C^{3}\left(\left[a,b\right]\right),\mathbb{R}\right)$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\lambda}(u) = \mathcal{L}^{-1} \mathcal{N}_{\lambda}(u).$$

For R given by Step 2, consider the set

$$\Omega = \left\{ y \in C^3\left(\left[a,b\right]\right) : \left\|y^{(i)}\right\|_{\infty} < r_i, \ i = 0,1,2, \left\|y^{\prime\prime\prime}\right\|_{\infty} < R \right\}.$$

By Steps 1 and 2, for every u solution of (13)-(14), $u \notin \partial \Omega$ and so the degree $d(I - \mathcal{T}_{\lambda}, \Omega, 0)$ is well defined for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. By the invariance under homotopy

$$d(I - \mathcal{T}_0, \Omega, 0) = d(I - \mathcal{T}_1, \Omega, 0).$$

Since the equation $\mathcal{T}_0(x) = x$, equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(iv)}(x) - u''(x) = 0 \\ u(a) = 0 \\ u'(a) = 0 \\ u''(a) = u''(b) \\ u'''(a) = u'''(b) \end{cases},$$

has only the null solution then, by degree theory,

$$d(I - \mathcal{T}_0, \Omega, 0) = \pm 1.$$

So, the equation $\mathcal{T}_1(x) = x$ has at least a solution, that is the corresponding problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(iv)}(x) = f(x, \delta_0(x, u(x)), \delta_1(x, u'(x)), \delta_2(x, u''(x)), u'''(x)) + u''(x) - \delta_2(x, u''(x), u(a)) & u(a) = \eta_0(u(b)) \\ u'(a) = \eta_1(u'(b)) & u''(a) = u''(b) \\ u'''(a) = u'''(b) & u'''(a) = u'''(b) \end{cases}$$

has at least a solution $u_1(x)$ in Ω .

Step 4: $u_1(x)$ is a solution of (1)-(2)

This solution $u_1(x)$ will be also a solution of problem (1)-(2) since it verifies

$$\alpha_0(x) \leq u_1(x) \leq \beta_0(x),
\alpha_1(x) \leq u'_1(x) \leq \beta_1(x),
\alpha''(x) \leq u''_1(x) \leq \beta''(x), \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that there is $x \in [a, b]$ such that

$$\alpha''(x) > u_1''(x)$$

and define

$$\min_{x \in [a,b]} \left[u_1''(x) - \alpha''(x) \right] := u_1''(x_1) - \alpha''(x_1) < 0.$$

If $x_1 \in]a, b[$ then $u_1'''(x_1) = \alpha'''(x_1)$ and $u_1^{(iv)}(x_1) \ge \alpha^{(iv)}(x_1)$. Therefore, by (12) and Definition 2.3, we have the contradiction

$$0 \leq u_{1}^{(iv)}(x_{1}) - \alpha^{(iv)}(x_{1})$$

$$\leq f(x_{1}, \delta_{0}(x_{1}, u_{1}(x_{1})), \delta_{1}(x_{1}, u'_{1}(x_{1})), \alpha''(x_{1}), \alpha'''(x_{1}))$$

$$+ u''_{1}(x_{1}) - \alpha''(x_{1}) - f(x_{1}, \alpha_{0}(x_{1}), \alpha_{1}(x_{1}), \alpha''(x_{1}), \alpha'''(x_{1}))$$

$$\leq u''_{1}(x_{1}) - \alpha''(x_{1}) < 0.$$

$$(21)$$

If $x_1 = a$ then

$$\min_{x \in [a,b]} \left[u_1''(x) - \alpha''(x) \right] := u_1''(a) - \alpha''(a) < 0.$$

By Definition 2.3 (ii).

$$0 \le u_1'''(a) - \alpha'''(a) \le u_1'''(b) - \alpha'''(b) \le 0$$

and, therefore,

$$u_1'''(a) = \alpha'''(a), \quad u_1^{(iv)}(a) \ge \alpha^{(iv)}(a).$$

Arguing as in (21) a similar contradiction is achieved. Analogously for $x_1 = b$. Then

$$\alpha''(x) \le u_1''(x), \forall x \in [a, b].$$

Applying the same arguments, it can be proved that $u_1''(x) \leq \beta''(x)$, for every $x \in [a, b]$, and so

$$\alpha''(x) \le u_1''(x) \le \beta''(x), \, \forall x \in [a, b]. \tag{22}$$

Integrating (22) in [a, x], for the first inequality it is obtained, by (15) and (8),

$$u'_1(x) \geq u'_1(a) + \alpha'(x) - \alpha'(a)$$

$$\geq \alpha_1(a) + \alpha'(x) - \alpha'(a)$$

$$= \alpha'(x) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty}$$

$$= \alpha_1(x).$$

For the second inequality in (22), we have by (15) and (10),

$$u'_1(x) \leq u'_1(a) + \beta'(x) - \beta'(a)$$

$$\leq \beta_1(a) + \beta'(x) - \beta'(a)$$

$$= \beta'(x) + ||\beta'||_{\infty}$$

$$= \beta_1(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_1(x) \le u_1'(x) \le \beta_1(x), \, \forall x \in [a, b]. \tag{23}$$

By integration of (23) in [a, x], by (15) and (7) one has

$$u_{1}(x) \geq u_{1}(a) + \alpha(x) - \alpha(a) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty}(x - a)$$

$$\geq \alpha_{0}(a) + \alpha(x) - \alpha(a) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty}(x - a)$$

$$= \alpha(x) - \alpha(a) - ||\alpha'||_{\infty}(x - a)$$

$$= \alpha_{0}(x).$$

With the same technique,

$$u_{1}(x) \leq u_{1}(a) + \beta(x) - \beta(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty}(x - a),$$

$$\leq \beta_{1}(a) + \beta(x) - \beta(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty}(x - a)$$

$$= \beta(x) - \beta(a) + ||\beta'||_{\infty}(x - a)$$

$$= \beta_{0}(x)$$

and, therefore,

$$\alpha_0(x) < u_1(x) < \beta_0(x), \forall x \in [a, b].$$

4. Example. Consider the fourth order differential equation

$$u^{(4)}(x) = -u(x)^3 - u'(x)^5 + 150 \ u''(x) + \sqrt[3]{u'''(x) + 1} - 130, \tag{24}$$

for $x \in]0,1[$, with the periodic boundary conditions

$$u^{(i)}(0) = u^{(i)}(1), i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

The continuous functions $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\alpha(x) = -\frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} - x - 1,$$

$$\beta(x) = \frac{x^4}{12} - \frac{x^3}{6} + x^2 - \frac{x}{42} + 1$$

are lower and upper solutions, respectively, of problem (24)–(2) verifying (11) with

$$\alpha_0(x) = -\frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} - 2.048 x,$$

$$\alpha_1(x) = -x^3 + \frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x - 2.048,$$

$$\beta_0(x) = \frac{x^4}{12} - \frac{x^3}{6} + x^2 + \frac{x}{12},$$

$$\beta_1(x) = \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^2}{2} + 2x + \frac{1}{12}.$$

The function

$$f(x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) = -y_0^3 - y_1^5 + 150 y_2 + \sqrt[3]{y_3 + 1} - 130$$

is continuous, verifies Nagumo-type conditions (5) and (6) in

$$E = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (x, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4 : \\ \alpha_j \le y_j \le \beta_j, \ j = 0, 1, \ \alpha'' \le y_2 \le \beta'' \end{array} \right\}$$

and satisfies (12).

By Theorem 3.1 there is a solution u(x) of problem (24)-(2), such that

$$-\frac{x^4}{4} + \frac{x^3}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4} - 2.048 \ x \le u(x) \le \frac{x^4}{12} - \frac{x^3}{6} + x^2 + \frac{x}{12},$$

$$-x^3 + \frac{3}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}x - 2.048 \leq u'(x) \leq \frac{x^3}{3} - \frac{x^2}{2} + 2x + \frac{1}{12},$$

and

$$-3x^{2} + 3x - 0.5 \le u''(x) \le x^{2} - x + 2,$$
(25)

for $x \in [0, 1]$.

The proof that this solution is a nontrivial periodic solution can be done applying the location part of Theorem 3.1 in two ways:

The only constant solution allowed by the equation (24) would be $\sqrt[3]{129}$, but this value is not in the set

$$[\alpha_0, \beta_0] := \{ y(x) : \alpha_0(x) \le y(x) \le \beta_0(x), x \in [0, 1] \}.$$

Another possible argument is to remark that the solution u(x) can not be a constant because, by (25),

$$0 < \frac{1}{4} \le u''\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Acknowledgments. The author thanks to the anonymous referee for his/her care and useful remarks which improved the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bereanu, Periodic solutions of some fourth-order nonlinear differential equations, Nonlinear Anal. 71(2009) 53-57.
- [2] A. Cabada, The method of lower and upper solutions for third order periodic boundary value problem. J. Mat. Anal. Appl., 195 (1995) 568-589.
- [3] Q. Fan, W. Wang and J. Zhou, Periodic solutions of some fourth-order nonlinear differential equations, J. Comp. Appl. Math., 233 (2009) 121-126
- [4] J. Fialho and F. Minhós, Existence and location results for hinged beams with unbounded nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009) e1519-e1525
- [5] D. Franco, D. O'Regan and J. Peran, Fourth-order problems with nonlinear boundary conditions. J.Comp. Appl. Math. 174 (2005) 315–327.

- [6] M. R. Grossinho, F. Minhós and A. I. Santos, A note on a class of problems for a higher order fully nonlinear equation under one sided Nagumo type condition, Nonlinear Anal., 70 (2009) 4027-4038
- [7] M. R. Grossinho, F. Minhós and S. Tersian, Positive Homoclinic Solutions for a Class of Second Order Differential Equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 240 (1999) 163-173.
- [8] M. R. Grossinho, F. Minhós and S. Tersian, Homoclinic and Periodic Solutions for some Classes of Second Order Differential Equation, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, vol. 43, Birkhäuser, Boston, 289-298, 2001.
- [9] P. Habets and R.L. Pouso, Examples on the existence of a solution in the presence of upper and lower solutions, Anziam J., 44 (2003) 591-594.
- [10] F. Minhós, Location results: an under used tool in higher order boundary value problems, International Conference on Boundary Value Problems: Mathematical Models in Engineering, Biology and Medicine, American Institute of Physics Conference Proceedings, Vol. 1124, 244-252, 2009.
- [11] F. Minhós, T. Gyulov and A. I. Santos, Lower and upper solutions for a fully nonlinear beam equations, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009) 281–292
- [12] S. Mukhigulashvili, On a periodic boundary value problem for third order linear functional differential equations. Nonlinear Anal., 66 (2007) 527-535.
- [13] M. Nagumo, Über die differentialgleichung y'' = f(t, y, y'), Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, **19** (1937) 861—866.
- [14] J. Nieto, Periodic solutions for third order ordinary differential equations, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae., 32/3 (1991) 495-499
- [15] I. Rachunková, Periodic boundary value problems for third order differential equations, Math. Slovaca, 41/3 (1991) 241-248.
- [16] S. Tersian and J. Chaparova, Periodic and homoclinic solutions of some semilinear sixthorder differential equations, JJ. Math. Anal. Appl., 272 (2002) 223-239
- [17] M. X. Wang, A. Cabada and J. J. Nieto, Monotone method for nonlinear second order periodic boundary value problems with Carathéodory functions, Ann. Polon. Math. 58 (1993), 221–235.
- [18] S. Weng, H. Gao, D. Jiang and X. Hou, Upper and lower solutions method for fourth-order periodic boundary value problems. J.Appl.Anal.Vol.14,(2008),53-61.

Received July 2010; revised March 2011.

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: fminhos@uevora.pt}$