

Cultural Memories, Discursive Gaps and Tourism Promotion: A Framework for Applied Research

Marcelo G. Oliveira – Universidade Europeia / CLEPUL (marcelogoncalves.oliveira@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Maria do Carmo Leal – Universidade Europeia (maria.leal@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Maria Isabel Roque – Universidade Europeia / CIDEHUS (maria.rocha@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Maria João Forte – Universidade Europeia (maria.forte@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Sara Rodrigues de Sousa – Universidade Europeia / CEC-UL (sara.sousa@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Antónia Correia – CEFAGE; Universidade do Algarve e Universidade Europeia (antonia.correia@universidadeeuropeia.pt)

Abstract

Recent studies about the relation between heritage and tourism have consistently signalled the existence of fractures between the cultural reality of tourism destinations and the cognitive and emotional experience of contemporary visitors. On the other hand, the study of the relations between tourism and literature and the recent valorisation of storytelling in tourism promotion have both pointed out the importance of different types of discourses in the constitution of tourism spaces and the shaping of visitors' expectations and experiences. When the desire for authenticity expressed by cultural tourists is also taken into account, the existence of inconsistencies between the cultural realities of destinations, existing discourses and the expectations and perceptions of tourists becomes apparent. In order to develop mediation proposals allowing more coherent experiences, a model for applied research is needed, especially given the challenges of the present. Adapting, in an innovative approach, the Gap Model of Service Quality, this paper intends to present a research framework capable of enlightening existing cultural inconsistencies considering the discourses involved in the promotion and experience of tourism destinations – namely literary texts, promotional materials and the discourse of museums and tourism operators. The framework will be applied in an exploratory investigation of the role of José Saramago's *Baltasar and Blimunda* in the tourism promotion of Mafra and Lisbon with the aim of developing a conceptual model capable of describing inconsistencies in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations and facilitating the development of mediation proposals.

Keywords

storytelling, literature, cultural and heritage tourism, territorial promotion, Portugal

1. INTRODUCTION

The significant growth of cultural tourism in the last decades (Richards, 2011; Smith & Richards, 2013; UNWTO, 2015) and the possibilities of city and territorial promotion based on literature and storytelling (Woodside & Megehee, 2009; Hendrix, 2014; Hoppen, Brown & Fyall, 2014) imply several challenges, challenges that information technologies can either exacerbate or help overcome. On the one hand, recent studies about the relation between heritage and tourism have consistently signalled the existence of fractures between the cultural reality of tourism destinations and the cognitive and emotional experience of contemporary visitors (Giaccardi, 2012; Harrison, 2010; Labadi & Long, 2010; Waterton & Watson, 2015). On the other, the relation between literature and the tangible and intangible cultural heritage of destinations cannot simply be taken for granted, requiring an informed and balanced mediation in which storytelling can play a significant role (Woodside & Megehee, 2009; Hendrix, 2014; Muniz, Woodside, & Sood, 2015). The very growth of the sector poses new challenges: due to its potential to generate income, tourism is viewed as an important economic resource for heritage preservation; however, as culture increasingly becomes an object of tourism consumption, this association could also affect the very heritage being promoted, particularly if its values are subordinated to commercial goals (Pulido-Fernández & Sánchez-Rivero, 2010; Messenger & Smith, 2010; Nuryanti, 1996; Harrison, 2010). Indeed, the character of authenticity itself, a focal point of the cultural tourist's experience, comes ultimately into play in this game of mirrors and misperceptions (MacCannell, 1999; Wang, 1999; Burnet, 2001; Timothy & Boyd, 2003).

In order to better understand the inconsistencies and interactions at play in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations and stimulate the development of pertinent mediation proposals, a conceptual model for applied research is needed. Given the opportunities available "to connect the world of scholarly analysis with the culture industry at large, and the commercial and political interests underlying it" (Hendrix, 2014, p. 21), this paper intends (1) to describe the framework developed with the aim of defining just such a model, and (2) to present the initial results and discuss their relevance for its development.

Central to the study is the use of the Gap Model of Service Quality as a frame of reference for analysing existing discrepancies in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations and as a starting point for the development of a new model capable of dealing more clearly with the different types of inconsistencies present in the cultural sphere. In fact, given the inherently diverse perspectives implied in cultural tourism and the multidisciplinary nature of the field itself, a «Gap 0», referring to discrepancies between available discourses shaping the expectations of visitors and the discursive reality – in its broadest sense – of the material and immaterial heritage of destinations, is postulated as a hypothesis.

An exploratory investigation of the role of José Saramago's *Baltasar and Blimunda* in the tourism promotion of Mafra was designed to ascertain the different types of inconsistencies and attributes to be considered in the development of the model. Interest in Mafra, a small city distancing about 40 km from Lisbon, as a tourism destination increased dramatically with Saramago's novel – especially after the author was awarded the Nobel Prize –, with the number of visitors to its National Palace, former residence of the Kings of Portugal and a Franciscan monastery, as referenced in the Portuguese title, growing exponentially. The present interest in literary tourism and the added discursive layer offered by the literary text – although not the only possibility of destination promotion based on literature (Hendrix, 2014; Hoppen, Brown, & Fyall, 2014) – were also factors taken into account in the choice of study.

2. METHODOLOGY

Considering the gaps identified by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry (1985) in their conceptual model of service quality as a frame of reference, the methodology was conceived so as to include: a) interviews with the administrators and curators of Mafra's National Palace to ascertain both their perceptions of visitors' expectations and the service structure and specifications; b) participant observation, followed by interviews with employees responsible for service delivery, namely tour guides; c) content analysis of collected promotional materials and other communications; d) collection and analysis of qualitative data from testimonies written in the Palace's Visitor's Book and a cross-sectional survey by questionnaire of visitors to the National Palace in order to determine both their expectations and perceptions of service.

The contours of the study, however, determined by the present challenges in cultural tourism, and in literary tourism in particular, imply the consideration of a fundamental gap between the discourses shaping the expectations of visitors, namely those of literary texts, and the discursive reality of the material and immaterial heritage of destinations – aspect controlled, to a certain extent, by the providers of cultural services, but ultimately not determined by them. Consequently, the study will also imply: e) the analysis of Saramago's *Baltasar and Blimunda* from the standpoint of literary tourism; and f) the historical study of the discursive reality of the heritage available to tourists in Mafra and, more specifically, its National Palace.

The initial stage of the research, conceptualized as descriptive, has been conceived as fundamentally exploratory, especially given the multidisciplinary nature of the investigation and so as not to fall into the trap of “the researcher who freezes the researched world into an object of his or her particular view or understanding” (Tribe & Snaith, 1998, p. 26). As part of this initial stage, the methodology of data collection and processing included an extensive literature review (described in the following section), participant observation, and informal interviews, following a methodological *triangulation*, as defined by Berg (2001, p. 4), in order to obtain a more substantive frame for the reality under scrutiny and develop adequate data collection instruments.

Participant observation, allowing the researcher an insider’s perspective (Jorgensen, 1989; Schensul, Schensul, & LeCompte, 1999), was applied in two different activities, both related to Saramago’s novel: a thematic, guided tour of Mafra’s National Palace entitled *Memorial do convento: Uma integração histórica* (*Baltasar and Blimunda: a historical integration*), provided by an external firm accredited by the Palace; and the stage play *Memorial do Convento*, an adaptation of Saramago’s novel by Filomena Oliveira and Miguel Real coproduced by the Palace and an external cultural producer.

Informal interviews – requiring no structured guide or any predetermined questions (Berg, 2001, p. 70) –, were held as casual conversations with a curator of the Palace on the same day of the participant observation activities. In addition, an independent tour guide was interviewed. Being informal, neither interview was recorded, but the interviewers took notes of the data provided by the informants, building on the results of the fieldwork observations (Cohen & Crabtree, 2006).

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Given the exploratory nature of this research and its inherently multidisciplinary character, an analysis of theoretical and empirical results obtained at the intersection of the fields of culture, heritage, literature and tourism – as well as in adjacent areas, such as studies on authenticity and service quality – was initially conducted to inform the conceptual framework to be applied in the research. A summary and discussion of the results is presented below.

3.1. CULTURAL AND HERITAGE TOURISM

According to the World Tourism Organization and the European Travel Commission, cultural tourism can be described as: “(1) the movement of persons to cultural attractions in cities in countries other than their normal place of residence, with the intention to gather new information and experiences to satisfy their cultural needs and (2) all movements of persons to specific cultural attractions, such as heritage sites, artistic and cultural manifestations, arts and drama to cities outside their normal country of residence” (UNWTO & European Travel Commission, 2005, p. VI). However, and as the very duality of the description indicates, there is no general agreement as to an exact definition of the phenomenon, although several authors “suggest learning about others and their way of life as a major element. Learning about self is a second common thread that runs through many explanations on cultural tourism” (Dewar, 2005, pp. 125-126).

Recent studies and reports confirm that cultural tourism has grown in the past decades (Richards, 2011; Smith & Richards, 2013; UNWTO, 2015), as the interest in the rediscovery of the past has increased (Bonn, Joseph-Mathews, Dai, Hayes, & Cave, 2007). However, and although the relationship between tourism and heritage is assumed as inevitable, it clearly combines both opportunities and threats (Benton, 2010; Boniface & Fowler, 1993; Kirshenblatt-Gimblett, 1998; Nuryanti, 1996; Timothy & Boyd, 2003; Waterton & In Watson, 2015; West, 2010). In fact, the motivation to capture a wider audience must be balanced with the risks from mass tourism (Messenger & Smith, 2010) and its impact on site conservation (Nuryanti, 1996) and the preservation of cultural diversity (Harrison, 2010).

For tourism, implicitly or explicitly, shapes heritage discourses: “Tourism’s fundamental nature is dynamic, and its interaction with heritage often results in a reinterpretation of heritage” (Nuryanti, 1996, p. 250). In fact, fundamental for tourism is the idea of the development, presentation and interpretation of cultural resources (Kneafsey, 1994; Timothy & Boyd, 2003). As they are performed, however, heritage sites are also deformed: it is not possible to present sites in raw, so they must be prepared for audiences through musealization processes. Kirshenblatt-Gimblett (1998) examines how museums and tourism practices articulate themselves in relation to heritage constructs and the recognition of heritage sites as travel destinations: “Indeed, museums – and the larger heritage industry of which they are part – play a vital role in creating the sense of “hereness” necessary to convert a location into a destination” (p. 7). In museums, objects are detached from their original contexts and representation is based on the association of fragments from an evoked reality. But heritage sites are also spaces of abstraction, created to provide performed versions of the past. Museographic display, textual information and communication strategies are used as compensating factors for the gap between “reality”, or the original context, and the synthesis of its representation (Karp & Lavine, 1991; Hede & Thyne, 2010; Olick, Vinitzky-Seroussi, & Levy, 2011). Discursive practices, in fact, can be seen as playing a major, mediating role in cultural tourism and the promotion of cultural destinations.

3.2. LITERARY TOURISM

As a subset of cultural and heritage tourism (Hoppen, Brown & Fyall, 2014), literary tourism has also witnessed a growth in popularity in recent decades. According to Hendrix (2014), the development of the conditions to promote literary tourism in several countries that started occurring at the end of the 1980s is also at the root of the interest in the intersections between tourism and literature that rose at the academic milieu, more specifically in the humanities and in the field of literary studies, and of the great number of publications on the subject that started to come out after the pioneer work of Ousby (1985) and, particularly, after the volume *Literature and Tourism*, edited by Robinson and Andersen (2002), which represented a shift from the exclusively economic interest in these matters to a more academic one.

Although the academic discussion emanating from fields related to social or cultural performances seems, at first glance, more prepared to establish the desired connection between literature, the tourism industry and other pragmatic, adjacent issues (Kennedy, 1998; Lavrador, 2014), there seems to be a general and growing interest in literature's capacity of recuperating and reconstructing memories associated with the spaces it represents, a fact that has contributed to the recognition of the literary text as a privileged way of constituting and valorising tourism spaces (Butler, 2005; Cunha, 2006; Santos, 2012; Baleiro & Quinteiro, 2014; Feijó, 2014). According to Cohen-Hattab and Kerber (2004), creative literary representations can also counter or diversify the simplified views of places and identities, giving the tourist a more complex way of interpreting the character and the cultural identities of destinations.

Any attempt to understand recent activity in this emerging field also has to take into account the contributions stemming from the study of *storytelling*, namely of research considering the manifestations of this practice – in all its semiotic diversity – as a way of understanding consumer psychology and as a potential promotional tool in the field of tourism (Woodside & Megehee, 2009; Muniz, Woodside & Sood, 2015). The perspective of the tourist both as an interpreter and as an author of non-exclusively verbal discourses (Larsen, 2005; Garrod, 2008) is present in several scientific articles that consider those discourses as potentially powerful ways of conveying social, replicable models. In fact, several authors have been working on the definition of concepts and tools that may contribute to a clearer understanding of the ways tourists communicate and share their experiences. In this particular field, we should mention the work of Woodside, who has co-authored a large number of articles on tourists telling stories about trips and destination experiences as epiphany narratives (Woodside & Megehee, 2009), as consumer reports related to brands (Woodside, 2010; Muniz, Woodside & Sood, 2015) and as discourses conveying unconscious meanings and motivations (Woodside & Martin, 2015).

As the interest in literature and storytelling for the tourism promotion of cultural destinations increases, however, the question of authenticity again takes centre stage.

3.3. AUTHENTICITY

According to Kirshenblatt-Gimblett (1998), in order to provide for their own sustainability, heritage sites have become more service oriented and responsive to the expectations of tourists. To some extent, tourism generates a risk of inauthenticity, requiring a curatorship capable of balancing what is considered an accurate presentation of heritage with the goals of tourism development.

MacCannell (1999), noting the effects of tourism in heritage authenticity, describes tourist attractions as “elements dislodged from their original natural, historical and cultural contexts” (p. 13). Heritage presentation for tourist consumption can be detached and fragmented, a “staged authenticity”, as labelled by the author (1999). Timothy and Boyd (2003) refer to it as “commodified heritage” (p. 240), or modernisation of antiquity, a phenomenon that, according to them, can destroy authenticity.

Authenticity, nevertheless, can turn out to be a relative term, a subjective perception “created by personal experience, cultural influences and national history” (Timothy & Boyd, 2003, p. 247). In fact, even if accepted as central to cultural heritage, authenticity is apparently not required by all tourists, some of them preferring heritage settings (Boniface & Fowler, 1993; Bruner, 1989; Timothy & Boyd, 2003, p. 247). Ning Wang (1999) confirms the ambiguity of the term and proposes a distinction between objective, social and symbolic authenticity, pointing out that certain tourists prefer one kind over another.

Somehow, all these authors seem to confirm the statement that all tourists call for authenticity, but point to different conceptualisations of what is authentic, or real, or genuine, considerations that have to be taken into account in the development of adequate mediation proposals.

3.4. SERVICE QUALITY

Given the challenges posed by the growth of cultural tourism in the past decades and the possibilities of city and territorial promotion based on literature and storytelling, a conceptual model is needed to better understand the inconsistencies and interactions at play in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations.

As previously stated, central to the present study is the use of Gap Model of Service Quality as a frame of reference for analysing existing discrepancies in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations and as a starting point for the development of a new model capable of dealing more clearly with the different types of inconsistencies present in cultural and heritage tourism. Proposed by Parasuraman, Zeithaml, and Berry in the mid-1980's and refined in subsequent studies (1985, 1988, 1991, 1994), the Gap Model and the subsequent SERVQUAL instrument maintain their initial validity, despite the critiques they were subjected to, namely by Cronin and Taylor (1992, 1994), who proposed abandoning the expectations side of the equation while emphasizing the performance and perception dimensions in their SERVPERF instrument.

For the present research, however, and given the fractures between the cultural reality of tourism destinations and the cognitive and emotional experience of contemporary visitors, an analysis of pre-existing discourses shaping the expectations of tourists is considered fundamental for a clear assessment of existing discrepancies.

In the area of tourism, Tribe and Snaith (1998), building on the SERVQUAL model, developed the HOLSAT instrument to measure holiday satisfaction, also considering the concentration on performance implied in SERVPERF inadequate for their purposes (p. 27). Their remarks on the limitations, in SERVQUAL, of the usage of "expectation" as ideal provision, against which actual provision would be measured (pp. 26-27), also constitute important insights for the goals of the present research.

4. EMPIRICAL COMPONENT

4.1. THE NOVEL

A preliminary rereading of José Saramago's novel was required prior to the participant observation activities in Mafra. *Baltasar and Blimunda*, Saramago's most famous and internationally acclaimed novel, turned into opera by Azio Corghi, is also required reading in Portuguese secondary schools, making it one of the most well-known literary texts in the country. It tells the love story of Baltasar, a soldier who is abandoned by his army after losing his left hand in the War of the Spanish Succession, and Blimunda, a young woman with the supernatural capacity of seeing inside people. With the 18th century construction of Mafra's Palace by King John V as background, the novel, which is also an ironic comment on the uses of power, tells their love story and human struggle in a society dominated by court intrigues and the Inquisition.

4.2. EXTERNAL COMMUNICATION

Information gathering prior to the visit was limited to the analysis of the National Palace's institutional website, where Saramago's novel is only mentioned in the name and description of the thematic, guided tour chosen by the participants, "*Memorial do convento: Uma integração histórica*" ("*Baltasar and Blimunda: A historical integration*").

4.3. INTERVIEW WITH CURATOR

The informal interview with a curator of the Palace allowed the ascertainment of relevant aspects of service structure. The main services offered are visits to the musealised space, with textual information present in the rooms of the Palace – limited, however, to the designation of their previous function and a description of exhibited items, without any interpretation of their significance, connection with the history of the space, or references to Saramago's novel, as confirmed during the visit.

Thematic, guided tours are offered by the Palace's Educational Services, including the tour dedicated to the "historical integration" of the novel *Baltasar and Blimunda*. Although responsible for both the institutional discourse and the training of the tour guides, the Palace delegates the visits to an external agency, "Tempocardinal", whose employees provide the only perceptible articulation between the architectonic, museological space and Saramago's novel.

Despite the inconspicuous reference to the work in both the Palaces's website and the musealised space itself (the only noticeable element being a billboard announcing the stage play on a side wall of the building), the curator indicated that it was possible to speak of a pre-Saramago and a post-Saramago Mafra, due to the influence of his novel in what she considered the remarkable growth of the number of visitors to the city and the new renown that the area had acquired.

4.4. GUIDED TOUR

The guide started the tour announcing that the visitors would “hear the story of the King and witness how Saramago and history intersect”. During the visit, four different, but sometimes intertwined, discursive strategies could be discerned: a) A deliberate balance between the author’s perspective and the official discourse of history; b) The intersection of historical data with corresponding references in the novel; c) The narration of brief episodes from Saramago’s work, the discourse of history and folk tradition; d) The discursive accommodation of the perspective of the visitors.

In relation to a), a clear intention of explaining Saramago’s perspective and his narrative strategy was discernible, notably when mentioning that the author favoured the side of common man and women such as Baltasar and Blimunda, forgotten in the official discourse of history. His perspective led him to use history “as he pleased”, mixing fact and fiction to suit his legitimate purposes as a writer, although distorting, and sometimes omitting, relevant, known information, provided during the tour. Working conditions during the construction of the Palace, for example, are described by Saramago as horrific. And yet, an infirmarium and doctors were available to treat injured workers. The balance was also sometimes achieved with comments about Saramago’s personality: although a “generous” person, his words could be “harsh”, as when describing the ugliness of Maria Bárbara, daughter of John V, who ordered the construction of the building.

As for b), the guide indicated the existing architectonic spaces described by Saramago, their uses and subsequent transformations, simultaneously signalling imprecisions and deliberate manipulations. Built in the style of Italian – not Portuguese – Baroque, the Basilica is described by Saramago as a mere “copy”. The famous slab that forms the base of the balcony, the transportation of which is so ruthlessly described by Saramago – who at one point compares the workers to oxen – is actually not the largest used in the building. The novel’s description of the Royal Palace, in Lisbon, where the caricaturized romantic interludes between the King and Queen take place, on the other hand, is based on Mafra’s National Palace – where John V, incidentally, never lived.

In respect to c), brief, sometimes anecdotal, narratives based on Saramago’s novel, folk tradition and official history – such as episodes from the King’s life – punctuated the guide’s discourse and were always pleasantly received by the visitors. Mentioning Blimunda’s supernatural capacity to actually see inside people, the guide, again trying to elucidate the possible truth behind the fiction, mentioned, for example, the local legend of a woman, on whom Saramago may have based his female protagonist, who could say when someone was dying simply by looking at them.

As for d), a tendency to accommodate the views of the visitors was also noticeable. Saramago’s ideological position was mentioned after the reference to Blimunda’s ability to capture people’s “wills” so the priest’s flying machine could lift off the ground. At a given moment, it was clear that at least some of the visitors did not share the author’s political convictions. The guide then explained that both Saramago’s vision and that of the official history of the time were legitimate and that it was up to each person to decide for himself.

4.5. PLAY

Although the quality of the stage play *Memorial do Convento*, an adaptation of Saramago’s novel by Filomena Oliveira and Miguel Real, coproduced by the Palace and an external cultural producer, “Éter – Produções Culturais”, was acknowledged by all participants, there is no direct articulation with the space of the Palace, its value, from a tourism standpoint, stemming from the general atmosphere created by the selected episodes from Saramago’s novel.

4.6. INTERVIEW WITH INDEPENDENT TOUR GUIDE

An exploratory interview with an independent tour guide, who has organized literary visits in Portugal and abroad in the last ten years, namely based on Saramago’s novels, was later conducted to ascertain relevant aspects for the research and so that the results could be compared with those of the participant observation activities in Mafra.

The guide stated that nowadays readers want to know the places where the action of literary works occurs. In his perspective, literature and history are pretexts to visit physical locations, which, in turn, may themselves become pretexts for the reading, or rereading, of literary works. That is why he considers literary visits a good way to promote literature, his main goal, while also acknowledging their importance for the promotion of destinations, indicating Mafra as an excellent example of how a place or a monument may be promoted as a result of a literary work – in this case, Saramago’s novel.

The main guideline of his visits is the relation between fiction and history, which, as a graduate in History of Art, he seeks to elucidate, criticizing some tour guides for being too accommodating of the views of the participants. Although many visitors tend to believe in what they read in novels or see in films, they accept when there is a clash between fiction and reality, considering that it is the responsibility of the historian to tell the “truth” and that they expect writers to “fly”.

Although always emphasizing the importance of the “present historical truth”, the guide also indicated that participants appreciated the “stories within it”, and that a tour guide had to be able to reconcile the historical reality of the spaces visited with the appeal of literature, considering admissible even elements of staged authenticity in order to do so.

5. DISCUSSION

Although limited, the results of this initial, exploratory stage of the investigation provided some important insights into existing inconsistencies related to the provision of cultural services associated with José Saramago’s novel in Mafra’s National Palace, insights that will prove significant for subsequent stages of the research.

Considering the Gap Model of Service Quality, the analysis of external communication to consumers, although limited to the Palace’s institutional website, yielded results that can, at best, be described as discreet, especially given the importance of the novel for the rise of tourism in the area, a fact confirmed by both the curator and the independent tour guide. In reality, no attempt is made to use the website’s potential to minimize inconsistencies between expected service and perceived service.

Although the brief, informal interview with the Palace’s representative did not allow the ascertainment of clear perceptions of consumer expectations or of service quality specifications (tasks to be performed in subsequent stages of the research), it offered a clearer understanding of service structure. As the main services offered are visits to the musealised space, the tangible aspects of service delivery can be said to correspond to its museographic component, i.e., the formal aspects of the exhibition. In this respect, there is a clear lack of articulation between the literary text and the visited space, as no reference is made to Saramago’s novel in the sparing textual information present in the rooms of the Palace, the only physical element of contextual interpretation available.

For the integration of the novel in the visited space, the Palace relies solely on the guided tour and the stage play, both of which it commissions to external providers, although maintaining its authority of accreditation and supervision. The tour, in fact, provides the only clear articulation between the architectonic, museological space and Saramago’s text. The main discursive strategy is, naturally, the intersection of historical information with corresponding references in Saramago’s novel – namely the indication of architectonic spaces described by the author –, providing an additional layer of meaning to the visited space and helping create the sense of “hereness” that Kirshenblatt-Gimblett (1998) considers essential in the experience of cultural destinations. On the other hand, the narration of brief episodes from Saramago’s novel, as well as from other sources, while not always contributing to the creation of a cognitive relation with the exhibited heritage, undoubtedly induced a more emotional response in the visitors, signalling the relevance of storytelling as a mediation strategy. This was later corroborated by the independent tour guide, who also confirmed the importance of what can probably be considered the most relevant aspect of the visit: the emphasis placed on the creation of a balance between the perspective of the fictional text and the discourse of history. Noticeable from the start, the strategy was maintained throughout the entire tour, signalling the importance of this fundamental inconsistency for service structuring.

In fact, the preliminary results seem to confirm the hypothesis that a “gap 0” should be considered when analysing the different types of incongruences present in culture and heritage tourism. Given its emphasis on “Service quality as perceived by the consumer” (Parasumaran et al., 1985, p. 46), the original model can be said to neglect inconsistencies related to external specifications – i.e., aspects controlled, to a certain extent, by the providers of cultural services, but ultimately not determined by them, in this case, the historic and cultural reality of Mafra’s National Palace – and their relation to customers’ expectations, shaped by existing discourses – in the present case, José Saramago’s novel, *Baltasar and Blimunda*. Given the risks of inauthenticity generated by tourism and its possible impact on site conservation and the preservation of cultural diversity (Nuryanti, 1996; Messenger & Smith, 2010; Harrison, 2010), external specifications related to the material and immaterial heritage of destinations simply cannot be disregarded, even if so desired by tourists. In the case of Mafra, the discursive reality of the Palace was respected as a result of the deliberate effort of mediation present in the discourse of the guide, highlighting inconsistencies and, more importantly, providing essential information about the visited space and its historical context – a strategy that, instead of being at odds, proved to be convergent with the use of Saramago’s text as a vehicle of added significance.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The initial stage of the investigation allowed the validation of the Gap Model of Service Quality as an adequate basis for a research framework capable of organizing and enlightening existing incongruences in the promotion and experience of cultural destinations, and as a starting point for the development of a new model capable of dealing more clearly with the different types of inconsistencies present in the cultural sphere. Additional research, however, namely concerning the consumer side of the equation, is necessary before a consistent model can be presented. An assessment of the five evaluative dimensions of the

SERVQUAL instrument and of its possible adaptation to cultural tourism, especially considering the inclusion of a “gap 0” in the model, remains to be done, with possible consequences both for a reconsideration of the concept of authenticity in cultural tourism and for a clearer definition of service quality in the sector.

So as not to focus too narrowly on the specific case of Mafra and in order to consider the influence of different players in the promotion of cultural destinations, the research project also contemplates the study of the impact of José Saramago’s novel in Lisbon, where the tourism experience of the text is more dispersed, lacking a distinct focal point such as Mafra’s National Palace.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- BALEIRO, R., & QUINTEIRO, S. (2014). Da cartografia do Danúbio à construção de um itinerário turístico: Uma leitura de *Danúbio* de Claudio Magris. *Lit&Tour: Ensaios sobre literatura e turismo* (pp. 31-44). Vila Nova de Famalicão: Húmus.
- BENTON, T. (2010). *Understanding heritage and memory*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, Open University.
- BERG, B. L. (2001). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- BONIFACE, P., & FOWLER, P. J. (1993). *Heritage and tourism in "the global village"*. London: Routledge.
- BONN, M. A., JOSEPH-MATTHEWS, S. M., MO, D., HAYES, S., & CAVE, J. (2007). Heritage/cultural attraction atmospherics: Creating the right environment for the heritage/cultural visitor. *Journal of Travel Research*, 45(3), 345-354.
- BRUNER, E. M. (1989). Tourism, creativity, and authenticity. *Studies in Symbolic Interaction*, 10, 109-114.
- BUTLER, R. (2005). Literary Tourism. *Encyclopedia of tourism* (p. 360). London; New York: Routledge.
- COHEN D., & CRABTREE, B. (2006, Jul.). Qualitative research guidelines project: Informal interviewing. *Robert Wood Johnson Foundation*. Acedido em <http://www.qualres.org/HomeInfo-3631.html>
- COHEN-HATTAB, K., & KERBER, J. (2004). Literature, cultural identity and the limits of authenticity: A composite approach. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, 6, 57-73
- CRONIN, J., & TAYLOR, S. (1992). Measuring service quality: A reexamination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 56(3), 55-68.
- CRONIN, J., & TAYLOR, S. (1994). SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling performance-based and perceptions-minus-expectations measurement of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 125-131.
- CUNHA, P. (2006). A relação turismo e literatura: Um processo de construção dos espaços turísticos brasileiros. *Revista Estação Científica*, (2), 1-12.
- DEWAR, K. (2005). Cultural Tourism. *Encyclopedia of tourism* (pp. 125-126). London; New York: Routledge.
- FEIJÓ, E. J. T. (2014). Autor, texto e espaço geo-cultural mediatizado: Processos de ressemantização, banalização e mistura de géneros em produtos sobre o Caminho de Santiago. *Lit&Tour: Ensaios sobre literatura e turismo* (pp. 291-310). Vila Nova de Famalicão: Húmus.
- GARROD, B. (2008). Exploring place perception: A photo-based analysis. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 35(2), 381-401.
- GIACCARDI, E. (2012). *Heritage and social media: Understanding heritage in a participatory culture*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- HARRISON, R. (2010). The politics of heritage. *Understanding the politics of heritage* (pp. 154-196). Manchester, UK: Manchester University Press.
- HEDE, A., & THYNE, M. (2010). A journey to the authentic: Museum visitors and their negotiation of the inauthentic. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 26(7/8), 686-705.
- HENDRIX, H. (2014). Literature and tourism: Explorations, reflections, and challenges. *Lit&Tour: Ensaios sobre literatura e turismo* (pp. 19-44). Vila Nova de Famalicão: Húmus.
- HOPPEN, A., BROWN, L., & FYALL, A. (2014). Literary tourism: Opportunities and challenges for the marketing and branding of destinations? *Journal of Destination Marketing & Management*, 3 (1), 37-47.
- JORGENSEN, D. L. (1989). *Participant observation: A methodology for human studies*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- KARP, I., & LAVINE, S. (Eds.). (1991). *Exhibiting cultures: The poetics and politics of museum display* (1st ed.). Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- KENNEDY, D. (1998). Shakespeare and cultural tourism. *Theatre Journal*, 50(2), 175-188.
- KIRSHENBLATT-GIMBLETT, B. (1998). *Destination culture: Tourism, museums, and heritage* (1st ed.). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- KNEASFSEY, M. (1994). The cultural tourist: Patron saint of Ireland?. *Culture, tourism and development* (pp. 103-116). Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.
- LABADI, S., & LONG, C. (2010). *Heritage and globalisation*. New York: Routledge.
- LARSEN, J. (2005). Families seen sightseeing: Performativity of tourist photography. *Space and Culture*, 8(4), 416-434.

- LAVRADOR, A. (2014). O projeto Atlas das Paisagens Literárias de Portugal Continental: Uma aplicação a itinerários turísticos no Douro. *Lit&Tour: Ensaios sobre literatura e turismo* (pp. 203-221). Vila Nova de Famalicão: Húmus.
- MACCANNELL, D. (1999). *The tourist: A new theory of the leisure class* (1st ed.). Berkeley, CA. [etc.]: University of California Press.
- MESSENGER, P. M., & SMITH, G. S. (2010). *Cultural heritage management: A global perspective*. Gainesville, FL: University Press of Florida.
- MUNIZ, K., WOODSIDE, A. G., & SOOD, S. (2015). Consumer storytelling of brand archetypal enactments. *International Journal of Tourism Anthropology*, 4(1), 67-88.
- NURYANTI, W. (1996). Heritage and postmodern tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 23(2): 249-260.
- OLICK, J. K., VINITZKY-SEROUSI, V., & LEVY, D. (Eds.). (2011). *The collective memory reader*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- OUSBY, I. (1985). *Literary Britain and Ireland* (1st ed.). London: Black.
- PARASURAMAN, A., ZEITHAML, V. and BERRY, L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49(4), 41-50.
- PARASURAMAN, A., ZEITHAML, V., & BERRY, L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 12-37.
- PARASURAMAN, A., ZEITHAML, V., & BERRY, L. (1991). Refinement and reassessment of the SERVQUAL scale. *Journal of Retailing*, 67(4), 421-450.
- PARASURAMAN, A., ZEITHAML, V., & BERRY, L. (1994). Reassessment of expectations as a comparison standard in measuring service quality: Implications for further research. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 111-124.
- PULIDO-FERNÁNDEZ, J. I., & SÁNCHEZ-RIVERO, M. (2010). Attitudes of the cultural tourist: A latent segmentation approach. *Journal of Cultural Economics*, 34(2), 111-129.
- RICHARDS, G. (2011). Creativity and tourism: The state of the art. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 38(4), 1225-1253.
- ROBINSON, M., & ANDERSEN, H. C. (Eds.). (2002). *Literature and tourism*. London: Continuum.
- SANTOS, J; CARVALHO, R., & FIGUEIRA, L. (2012). A importância do turismo cultural e criativo na imagem de um destino. *Revista Turismo e Desenvolvimento*, 17-18(3), 1559-1572.
- SARAMAGO, J. (2000). *Memorial do Convento* (32nd ed.). Lisboa: Caminho.
- SCHENSUL, S. L., SCHENSUL, J. J., & LeCOMPTE, M. D. (1999). *Essential ethnographic methods: Observations, interviews, and questionnaires*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- SMITH, M. K., & RICHARDS, G. (Eds.). (2013). *The Routledge handbook of cultural tourism*. New York: Routledge.
- TIMOTHY, D. J., & BOYD, S. W. (2003). *Heritage tourism* (1st ed.). New York: Prentice Hall.
- TRIBE, J., & SNAITH, T. (1998). From SERVQUAL to HOLSAT. *Tourism Management*, 19(1), 25-34.
- UNWTO, & European Travel Commission. (2005). *City tourism & culture: The European experience*. Madrid: UNWTO.
- UNWTO. (2015). *Panorama OMT del turismo internacional*. Madrid: UNWTO.
- WANG, N. (1999). Rethinking authenticity in tourism experience. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 26(2), 349-370.
- WATERTON, E., & WATSON, S. (2015). *The Palgrave handbook of contemporary heritage research*. Hampshire, UK: Palgrave Macmillan
- WEST, S. (2010). *Understanding heritage in practice*. Manchester: Manchester University Press, Open University.
- WOODSIDE, A. G. & MEGEHEE, C. (2009). Travel storytelling theory and practice. *Anatolia: An International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 20(1), 86-99.
- WOODSIDE, A. G. (2010). Brand-consumer storytelling theory and research: Introduction to a *Psychology & Marketing* special issue. *Psychology & Marketing*, 27(6), 531-540.
- WOODSIDE, A. G., & MARTIN, D. (2015). The tourist gaze 4.0: uncovering non-conscious meanings and motivations in the stories tourists tell of trip and destination experiences. *International Journal of Tourism Anthropology*, 4(1), 1-12.