

In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Animal

Fatty acid composition of porcine cumulus oocyte complexes (COC) during maturation: effect of the lipid modulators trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid (t10,c12 CLA) and forskolin --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Fatty acid composition of porcine cumulus oocyte complexes (COC) during maturation: effect of the lipid modulators trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid (t10,c12 CLA) and forskolin
Article Type:	Articles (full research papers)
Keywords:	porcine oocyte maturation; fatty acids; gas chromatography; trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid; forskolin
Corresponding Author:	Rosa Maria Pereira, PhD Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária Vale de Santarem, PORTUGAL
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Rosa Maria Pereira, PhD
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Rosa Maria Pereira, PhD Elsa Graça Prates, Biologist Susana Paula Alves, PhD Carla Cruz Marques, PhD Maria Conceição Baptista, PhD António Eduardo Horta, PhD Rui José Bessa, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	The effect of maturation and of two lipid modulators supplementation along in vitro maturation (IVM) on fatty acid (FA) and dimethylacetal (DMA) composition of porcine cumulus oocyte complexes (COC) were studied. Abattoir derived immature COC were analyzed for FA and DMA or submitted to IVM as follows: control group; t10,c12 CLA group, t10,c12 CLA supplementation during 44h; Forskolin group, forskolin supplementation during the initial 2h; t10,c12 CLA+forskolin group, t10,c12 CLA during 44h and forskolin just 2h. Each experimental group had 5 replicates. FA analysis of oocytes, cumulus cells (CC), follicular fluid and culture media were performed by gas-liquid chromatography. Oocytes and their CC had different FA composition. Oocytes were richer in saturated FA (SFA) preferentially maintaining their FA profile during maturation. Mature CC had the highest polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) content. Five individual and total SFA, and monounsaturated FA (MUFA), notably oleic acid (c9-18:1), percentages were lower ($P \leq 0.023$) in mature than in immature CC. t10,c12 CLA was accumulated by COC from t10,c12 CLA and t10,c12 CLA+forskolin groups, mostly in CC where MUFA and a eicosatrienoic isomer decreased ($P \leq 0.043$). Nevertheless PUFA or FA and DMA total content were not affected. Arachidonic acid was reduced in t10,c12 CLA+forskolin CC and hexadecanal-DMA in t10,c12 CLA CC. Forskolin alone increased ($P \leq 0.043$) c9-18:1 in oocytes. In conclusion, maturation process clearly changed porcine COC FA and DMA profiles, mostly of CC, also more susceptible to modifications induced by t10,c12 CLA. This possibility of manipulating COC lipid

composition during IVM could be used to improve oocyte quality/cryopreservation efficiency.

1 Fatty acid composition of porcine cumulus oocyte complexes (COC) during maturation:
2 effect of the lipid modulators *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid (*t*10,*c*12 CLA) and
3 forskolin

4 E.G. Prates^{1,3}, S.P. Alves², C.C. Marques¹, M.C. Baptista¹, A.E.M. Horta¹, R.J.B. Bessa²
5 and R.M. Pereira^{1,4*}

6 ¹Instituto Nacional de Investigação Agrária e Veterinária, Vale de Santarém, Portugal;

7 ²CIISA, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Lisboa,
8 Portugal.

9 ³Universidade de Évora – Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas
10 (ICAAM), Évora, Portugal.

11 ⁴Escola Universitária Vasco da Gama, Mosteiro de S. Jorge de Milréu, Coimbra, Portugal

12 *corresponding author: R.M. Pereira; L-INIAV Santarém, Quinta da Fonte Boa, 2005-048

13 Vale de Santarém, Portugal; Telephone: 00351243767383; Fax: 00351243767307; e-mail:

14 rosalnp@gmail.com

15 Fatty acid composition of COC

16

17

18 **Abstract**

19 The effect of maturation and of two lipid modulators supplementation along in vitro
20 maturation (IVM) on fatty acid (FA) and dimethylacetal (DMA) composition of porcine
21 cumulus oocyte complexes (COC) were studied. Abattoir derived immature COC were
22 analyzed for FA and DMA or submitted to IVM as follows: control group; *t10,c12* CLA
23 group, *t10,c12* CLA supplementation during 44h; Forskolin group, forskolin
24 supplementation during the initial 2h; *t10,c12* CLA+forskolin group, *t10,c12* CLA during
25 44h and forskolin just 2h. Each experimental group had 5 replicates. FA analysis of
26 oocytes, cumulus cells (CC), follicular fluid and culture media were performed by gas-
27 liquid chromatography. Oocytes and their CC had different FA composition. Oocytes were
28 richer in saturated FA (SFA) preferentially maintaining their FA profile during maturation.
29 Mature CC had the highest polyunsaturated FA (PUFA) content. Five individual and total
30 SFA, and monounsaturated FA (MUFA), notably oleic acid (*c9-18:1*), percentages were
31 lower ($P\leq 0.023$) in mature than in immature CC. *t10,c12* CLA was accumulated by COC
32 from *t10,c12* CLA and *t10,c12* CLA+forskolin groups, mostly in CC where MUFA and a
33 eicosatrienoic isomer decreased ($P\leq 0.043$). Nevertheless PUFA or FA and DMA total
34 content were not affected. Arachidonic acid was reduced in *t10,c12* CLA+forskolin CC
35 and hexadecanal-DMA in *t10,c12* CLA CC. Forskolin alone increased ($P\leq 0.043$) *c9-18:1*
36 in oocytes. In conclusion, maturation process clearly changed porcine COC FA and DMA
37 profiles, mostly of CC, also more susceptible to modifications induced by *t10,c12* CLA.
38 This possibility of manipulating COC lipid composition during IVM could be used to
39 improve oocyte quality/cryopreservation efficiency.

40 **Key words:** porcine oocyte maturation, fatty acids, gas chromatography, trans-10, cis-12
41 conjugated linoleic acid, forskolin.

42

43 **Introduction**

44

45 The bi-directional exchanges between the oocyte and the surrounding cumulus cells (CC)
46 are crucial for the acquisition of oocyte developmental competence (Ouandaogo *et al.*,
47 2011). Nonetheless in terms of lipid metabolism the knowledge of this cross talk is scarce.
48 Previous reports demonstrated that mammalian oocytes contain a lipid reserve mainly
49 constituted of triacylglycerols and membrane structural phospholipids. Furthermore, the
50 abundance and utilization of this oocyte lipid reserve seems to be species-specific.
51 Undoubtedly porcine oocytes exhibit the highest fatty acid (FA) content among domestic
52 animals (McEvoy *et al.*, 2000; Fujihira *et al.*, 2004), but information about their CC FA
53 composition and function remains obscure.

54 According to Sturmey and Leese (2003) and Sturmey *et al.* (2006) the intracellular lipids of
55 porcine oocytes are available for energy supply during maturation, but uptake of
56 exogenous lipids from culture media enriched with follicular fluid or serum may also occur
57 (Kim *et al.*, 2001; Sturmey *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, exogenous FA may be metabolized or
58 accumulate in the ooplasm changing oocyte lipid content and FA composition (Sturmey *et*
59 *al.*, 2009) and probably those of CC. Besides the metabolic role of FA as energy source,
60 lipids play an essential role in cell structure and functions namely as intracellular
61 messengers. Moreover being the major components of cell membranes they have particular
62 relevance in oocyte-spermatozoon interaction (Gardner and Evans, 2006) and in cell
63 resistance to cryopreservation (Kim *et al.*, 2001; Arav and Zvi, 2008; Pereira and Marques,
64 2008). Thus the clarification of COC lipid composition and contribution to oocyte
65 metabolism during maturation is of primordial importance.

66 Several studies have reported that triacylglycerols and phospholipids of the porcine
67 reproductive tissues are notably rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), particularly

68 those of the graafian follicles and ovaries (Holman and Hofstetter, 1964) and also of the
69 immature oocytes (Homa *et al.*, 1986; McEvoy *et al.*, 2000). However, in terms of
70 qualitative and quantitative analysis of FA and lipid content, data on porcine oocytes are
71 still limited. To our knowledge the FA and other membrane constituents such as ether
72 vinylic chains from plasmalogens phospholipids [firstly identified by Homa *et al.* (1986) in
73 immature oocyte and currently analysed as dimethylacetals – DMA] composition of
74 mature porcine oocytes have not yet been reported. Additionally it has not been established
75 whether storage and lipid metabolism influence oocyte maturation and its developmental
76 potential as well as the role of CC along the entire process. Furthermore the possibility of
77 modifying intracellular lipids of oocytes/embryos by changing the composition of the
78 culture medium to increase their quality and cryotolerance is still under investigation
79 (Pereira and Marques, 2008; Sturmey *et al.*, 2009).

80 Recently, *t10,c12* CLA and forskolin (FSK) both recognized as intracellular lipid
81 modulators were shown to improve porcine and bovine embryos cryosurvival (Men *et al.*,
82 2006; Pereira *et al.*, 2007; 2008). During maturation, this conjugated dienoic FA interfered
83 on the FA profile of bovine oocytes improving their competence to develop into higher
84 quality embryos (Lapa *et al.*, 2011). Moreover porcine oocytes treated with 10 μ M FSK for
85 the initial 2h or 100 μ M *t10,c12* CLA for the entire period of in vitro maturation (IVM),
86 presented modifications on the distribution and morphology of cytoplasmic lipid droplets
87 (Prates *et al.*, 2012). At the doses tested, while oocytes supplemented with *t10,c12* CLA
88 exhibited a lighter cytoplasmic colour tone, oocytes exposed to FSK had smaller lipid
89 droplets. These findings led to the hypothesis that *t10,c12* CLA and/or FSK
90 supplementation during porcine oocyte maturation might be used to change the lipid
91 content and FA composition of these gametes.

92 The objectives of the present study were firstly to characterize the FA and DMA content
93 and composition of porcine oocytes and CC before and after maturation. Secondly we
94 investigated if *t10,c12* CLA and/or FSK culture media supplementation might change the
95 FA and DMA composition and maturation rates of porcine COC.

96

97 **Materials and Methods**

98

99 *Experimental design*

100 The effect of IVM and of the supplementation of maturation media of porcine oocytes with
101 *t10,c12* CLA (Matreya, Pleasant Gap, PA, USA; ref. 001249; 100 μ M) (Prates *et al.*, 2012)
102 and/or with FSK (10 μ M) (Men *et al.*, 2006) on the FA and DMA content and composition
103 of COC were investigated in five replicates as described below.

104 To study the FA and DMA content and composition of porcine COC during maturation,
105 COC were separated in CC and oocytes and both evaluated as immature and mature.
106 Therefore four groups were considered: 1) immature oocytes, 2) immature CC, 3) mature
107 oocytes and 4) mature CC. The FA and DMA composition of follicular fluid from the pool
108 of ovaries and of the pre-IVM media used in each session were also analysed.

109 To investigate the effect of *t10,c12* CLA and FSK on porcine COC maturation four
110 experimental groups were constituted: control group, COC were matured during 44h
111 without supplementation; (2) *t10,c12* CLA group, COC were matured during 44h
112 supplemented with 100 μ M *t10,c12* CLA, (3) FSK group- COC were supplemented with
113 10 μ M FSK during the initial 2h of IVM; (4) *t10,c12* CLA+FSK group - COC were
114 matured with 100 μ M *t10,c12* CLA during 44h plus 10 μ M FSK during the first 2h of
115 maturation. At the end of the maturation period, samples of mature oocytes were used for
116 lipid content analysis, while others (control group n=84; *t10,c12* CLA group n=87; FSK

117 group n=78; *t*_{10,c12} CLA+FSK group n=85) were fixed and stained for individual
118 evaluation of maturation rate. As above in each session for FA and DMA composition
119 analysis, COC from all treatments were divided in CC and oocytes and both evaluated as
120 control, *t*_{10,c12} CLA, FSK and *t*_{10,c12} CLA+FSK, resulting in 10 experimental groups.
121 After COC maturation, the FA and DMA content and composition were also assessed in
122 IVM culture media.

123

124 *Reagents and culture media*

125 Otherwise stated, all products were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sintra, Portugal). The
126 medium used for the collection and washing of COC was the Dulbecco's phosphate-
127 buffered saline (DPBS) composed of 4mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA; fraction V),
128 0.34 mmol/L sodium pyruvate, 5.4 mmol/L D-glucose and 70 µg/mL kanamycin (mDPBS)
129 (Gil *et al.*, 2005). Oocyte maturation medium was the BSA-free North Carolina State
130 University (NCSU23) (Petters and Weels, 1993), supplemented with 10% (v/v) porcine
131 follicular fluid, 0.1 mg/mL cysteine, 10 ng/mL epidermal growth factor and 100 µmol/L
132 glutathione.

133

134 *Cumulus oocyte complexes collection*

135 Ovaries were obtained from prepubertal gilts at a local slaughterhouse and transported at
136 38 °C in a 0.9% NaCl solution containing 70 µg/mL kanamycin. At the laboratory, the
137 ovaries were washed several times in mDPBS. Follicles of 3–6 mm in diameter were
138 aspirated and the follicular content placed in a conical flask. The supernatant was
139 centrifuged (3700 g during 30min) and the resultant follicular fluid retrieved for FA
140 analysis. The remaining COC were washed in mDPBS. Oocytes with a compact cumulus

141 mass and a dark and evenly granulated cytoplasm were selected for maturation rates
142 assessment or FA analysis.

143

144 *In vitro maturation*

145 Selected oocytes were washed and placed in 3 mL of maturation medium, supplemented
146 with 10 IU/mL equine chorionic gonadotrophin (Folligon; Intervet International, Boxmeer,
147 The Netherlands) and 10 IU/mL human chorionic gonadotrophin (Veterin Corion; Divasa
148 Farmavic, Barcelona, Spain), during 22h and then for another 22h in maturation medium
149 without hormones. Oocyte maturation (100-250 oocytes per 3 mL) was performed at 39 °C
150 in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air.

151

152 *Assessment of oocyte maturation*

153 At the end of the maturation period, CC were stripped off the oocytes by vortexing in a 1%
154 sodium citrate solution. Denuded oocytes were fixed for 3–4 days in aceto/ethanol (1:3,
155 v:v) then stained with 1% aceto/lacmoid solution. Oocytes were classified as matured
156 when metaphase II (MII) chromosomes set and the chromatin of the first polar body were
157 present. Fixed oocytes with abnormal appearance were discharged.

158

159 *Fatty acids and dimethylacetal analysis*

160 For lipid extraction, CC were removed from COC before and after maturation, by
161 vortexing for up to 10 min. Then denuded oocytes and CC were washed three times in 1%
162 sodium citrate. Cell concentration was determined using a haemocytometer camera. In
163 each session, immature and mature oocytes (110 to 200 per pooled group sample) and their
164 CC (7×10^4 to 2×10^6 per pooled group sample) were suspended in citrate solution (5
165 replicates or sessions in total). Also, 500 µL of culture media before and after maturation,
166 of 1% sodium citrate solution and of follicular fluid from the pool of ovaries used in each

167 session were collected. Then, fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) were prepared by direct
168 transesterification. Briefly, 1 mL of toluene and 200 μ L of 19:0 (0.5 mg/mL) as an internal
169 standard were added to each sample in fresh. After the addition of 2 mL of sodium
170 methoxide in methanol (0.5 M), the solution was vortexed and left for about 15min at 50
171 $^{\circ}$ C. After cooling to room temperature, 3 mL of 10% HCl solution was allowed to react for
172 more 30min at 80 $^{\circ}$ C. Once cooled, 6% potassium carbonate was added in two portions of
173 2 mL to prevent excessive effervescence, followed by addition of 2 mL of hexane. The
174 solution was vortexed, centrifuged and the organic layer transferred to another tube. The
175 extraction step was repeated twice. The final solution was dried using anhydrous sodium
176 sulphate.

177 The solvent was then removed under a stream of nitrogen and the final residue dissolved in
178 100 μ L *n*-hexane (GC grade > 99%). The resultant FAME were injected (2 μ L), via a
179 Hewlett Packard (Avondale, PA, USA) HP 6890 Series GC System, onto a CP-Sil 88
180 fused-silica capillary column (100 m length, 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.20 μ m film
181 thickness: Chromopack, Varian Inc., Walnut Creek, CA, USA). Injection was performed
182 using a programmed temperature and a splitless method. The injector and detector
183 temperatures were 250 $^{\circ}$ C and 280 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Initial oven temperature of 45 $^{\circ}$ C was
184 held for 5min, increased at 10 $^{\circ}$ C to 175 $^{\circ}$ C and held for 30min and increased at 50 $^{\circ}$ C/min
185 to 215 $^{\circ}$ C and held for 35min. Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.
186 Peaks were identified by comparison with a commercial standard FAME mix 4:0 to 24:0
187 purchased from Supelco Inc. (Bellefonte, PA, USA) and a bacterial acid methyl esters mix
188 purchased from Matreya LLC (Pleasant Gap, PA, USA). Quantities of each FA present
189 were calculated by reference to internal standard. Additionally, identification of some
190 unknown peaks and confirmation of FAME were performed by GLC-MS using a Varian

191 Saturn 2200 system (Varian Inc., Walnut Creek, CA, USA) equipped with a CP-Sil 88
192 capillary column.

193

194 *Statistical Analysis*

195 All results are expressed as least square means±standard error. Data representing 5
196 replicates of oocyte nuclear configuration as well as FA and DMA composition of COC
197 and culture media were analyzed using the MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute,
198 Cary, NC, USA). For FA and DMA composition evaluation of immature and mature COC,
199 the model included the effect of maturation status and cell type (oocytes and CC) and its
200 interaction. For FA and DMA composition evaluation of mature COC treated with *t10,c12*
201 CLA, FSK and FSK+*t10,c12* CLA, the model included the treatment, cell type (oocytes
202 and CC) and its interaction. The cell type was treated as repeated measures within COC
203 assuming a compound symmetry covariance matrix. Homogeneity of variance between
204 groups was tested and when necessary the group statement was included to accommodate
205 variance heterogeneity. The model was simplified when some variable were not detected in
206 one cell type or maturation status. Orthogonal contrasts comparing each treatment with the
207 control were computed. When interactions were significant ($P\leq 0.05$) differences between
208 means were determined by the PDIFF multiple comparison procedure. The mixed linear
209 model used for oocyte nuclear configuration and FA and DMA culture media composition
210 included treatment as fixed effect and session as random effect. Differences were
211 considered significant when $P\leq 0.05$.

212

213 **Results**

214

215 The FA and DMA composition of porcine follicular fluid and pre-IVM medium analyzed
216 by gas-liquid chromatography is described in table 1. The most abundant FA, in decreasing
217 order, were palmitic (16:0), oleic (*c*9-18:1), linoleic (18:2n-6), stearic (18:0) and
218 arachidonic (20:4n-6) acids. The FA composition of follicular fluid and maturation media
219 is notably similar, with the major difference being the absence of adrenic acid (22:4n-6) in
220 pre-IVM medium.

221

222 *Effect of the maturation status on the FA and DMA composition of porcine COC*

223 The FA and DMA composition of COC prior to and after IVM is presented in table 2. Both
224 oocytes and CC tended ($P=0.056$) to increase total FA and DMA content during maturation
225 (immature oocytes= 51.1 ± 4.10 vs. mature oocytes= 63.7 ± 4.10 ng per oocyte; immature
226 CC= 0.013 ± 0.010 vs. mature CC= 0.032 ± 0.010 ng per CC). Differences in FA profile
227 between cell type (C), maturation status (MS) as well as differential effects of maturation
228 status in each cell type (MS×C interaction) were observed (table 2). Oocytes presented
229 higher 16:0 ($P<0.001$) and hexadecenoic (*c*7-16:1, $P=0.031$) acids, but lower 18:0
230 ($P=0.001$), hexadecanal (DMA-16:0, $P=0.004$) and octadecanal (DMA-18:0, $P=0.006$)
231 DMAs proportions than CC. In vitro maturation increased ($P=0.018$) the 18:2n-6
232 proportion in both oocytes and CC. For the majority of FA displaying a MS×C interaction
233 (myristic, 14:0; pentadecyclic, 15:0; margaric, 17:0; *c*9-18:1; arachidic, 20:0; tricosylic,
234 23:0; and docosadienoic, 22:2n-6) the general trend was that maturation induced a decrease
235 in its proportions on CC but not in oocytes. The exception was the 20:4n-6 that increased
236 during maturation in CC. Considering the partial sums of FA, the maturation process
237 reduced SFA and MUFA in CC and increased PUFA, whereas the oocytes remained
238 unaffected.

239 Several lipid compounds were not detected at all in immature or mature oocytes and
240 immature CC (eicosatrienoic, 20:3^{niI}; clupanodonic, 22:5n-3; and octadecenal-DMA,
241 DMA-18:1). Others, were not detected only in immature oocytes and immature CC
242 (margaric, c9-17:1; and γ -linolenic, 18:3n-6) or displayed only an inconsistent occurrence
243 in immature oocytes samples (α -linolenic, 18:3n-3; gondoic, c11-20:1, 20:3^{niII};
244 eicosadienoic, 20:2n-6; 22:4n-6; and cervonic, 22:6n-3). In those cases, although data
245 available for immature oocytes were displayed in table 2, the statistical analysis, when
246 possible, involved only the comparison of mature oocytes and CC. Thus, mature CC
247 contained higher proportion of 22:6n-3 and an unidentified 20:3 isomer but lower 22:4n-6
248 than mature oocytes. Two 20:3 isomers (herein designated as 20:3^{niI} and 20:3^{niII}) were
249 detected in the porcine oocytes and CC. From gas-liquid chromatography-mass
250 spectrometry (GLC-MS) confirmation, they were identified as 20:3 FA however their
251 structures were not completely elucidated. Nevertheless, it was clear from coelution with
252 reference standards, that these 20:3 isomers were not the common 20:3n-6, 20:3n-3 and
253 20:3n-9 isomers.

254

255 *Effect of t10,c12 CLA and FSK on oocyte maturation and FA and DMA composition of*
256 *porcine COC and culture media*

257 In this study the effect of the supplementation of porcine oocyte culture medium with
258 t10,c12 CLA, FSK or both on oocyte maturation and FA and DMA composition of COC
259 and culture media after 44h of IVM were evaluated by the observation of oocyte nuclear
260 configuration and gas-liquid chromatography respectively. These supplementations did not
261 interfere ($P>0.05$) with oocyte maturation rates (MII: control group=87.99 \pm 0.04%, t10,c12
262 CLA group=84.71 \pm 0.04%, FSK group=86.94 \pm 0.04%, t10,c12 CLA+FSK
263 group=84.98 \pm 0.04%) or FA and DMA content expressed as ng/ individual cell (table 3).

264 Nonetheless differences were identified on the FA and DMA profiles of COC and culture
265 media.

266 The most expressive differences in FA composition are between types of cells (oocytes and
267 CC). Independently of treatment, mature oocytes presented higher proportions of saturated
268 (14:0, 15:0, 16:0 but not 18:0) and monounsaturated (*c*7-16:1; palmitoleic, *c*9-16:1;
269 vaccenic, *c*11-18:1 and *c*11-20:1, but not *c*9-18:1) FA than mature CC. Conversely, CC
270 contained higher proportions of 18:0 and most of PUFA (18:2n-6, 20:2n-6, 20:4n-6, 22:6n-
271 3) as well as DMA-16:0 and DMA-18:0. Consistently, mature oocytes presented higher
272 SFA and lower PUFA than mature CC. The *c*9-18:1 was higher in CC than in oocytes in all
273 treatments except on *t*10,*c*12 CLA treatment where was strongly reduced in CC to levels
274 observed in oocytes. Moreover, *t*10,*c*12 CLA treatment seems to drastically eliminate the
275 DMA-18:0 from mature CC, which was not detected only in CC cells treated with *t*10,*c*12
276 CLA. This effect seems to be reversed when FSK was also included in the maturation
277 medium. On the contrary of other groups ($P=0.053$), the 20:4n-6 proportion was equal in
278 CC and oocytes of *t*10,*c*12 CLA+FSK group. Forskolin inclusion in maturation media has
279 almost no effect on CC FA profile but slightly reduced the 15:0 ($P=0.010$) and raised the
280 *c*9-18:1 in oocytes ($P=0.043$).

281 Independently of cell type, the CLA treatment reduced ($P<0.05$) the proportions of DMA-
282 16:0, 18:3n-6 and *c*11-20:1 and tended ($P<0.10$) to reduce *c*7-16:1, *c*9-16:1, *c*11-18:1 and
283 20:4n-6. When *t*10,*c*12 CLA was included in the maturation medium with FSK (*t*10,*c*12
284 CLA+FSK) the proportions of 18:3n-6, 20:4n-6, 22:5n-3 and DMA-16 were also reduced.

285 The FA and DMA composition of culture media after COC maturation from all treatments
286 is described in table 4. The *t*10,*c*12 CLA was only detected when it was supplemented to
287 the culture media. Lower concentrations of *c*9-18:1 ($P=0.022$) and *c*11-18:1 ($P=0.004$) and
288 consequently of total MUFA ($P=0.007$) were observed in *t*10,*c*12 CLA group than in

289 control or FSK groups. However, in the *t10,c12* CLA and *t10,c12* CLA+FSK
290 supplemented media the percentages of 22:6n-3 were higher ($P=0.022$) than in control.

291

292 **Discussion**

293

294 Porcine oocytes are for long known to be highly rich in lipids although their nature and
295 function have been largely overlooked (Homa *et al.*, 1986; Sturmey *et al.*, 2006 and 2009).
296 The present study provides new insights on FA and DMA composition of porcine COC
297 prior to and during IVM. Results showed for the first time that immature oocytes and their
298 CC have different FA profiles which change differentially along maturation. While oocytes
299 are notably rich in SFA, preferentially maintaining their FA profile, immature CC are
300 characterized by an abundant MUFA content and their FA profile is greatly modified
301 during maturation. In mature CC, SFA and MUFA are reduced while PUFA content is
302 higher and diverse. However either in oocytes or in CC the FA and DMA content tends to
303 increase along maturation. Moreover, *t10,c12* CLA and/or FSK supplementation
304 influenced COC and culture media FA and DMA composition but their total contents were
305 not modified.

306 Emerging studies in the field of developmental biology revealed the extremely intricate
307 COC as a complete functional and dynamic unit. The bi-directional exchanges between the
308 oocyte and the surrounding CC are critical for the acquisition of oocyte competence, CC
309 expansion and early embryonic development. Moreover this cross-talk between CC and
310 oocyte plays a pivotal role in oocyte metabolism during maturation (Sutton *et al.*, 2003;
311 Gilchrist and Thompson, 2007; Ouandaogo *et al.*, 2011). In porcine oocytes this process is
312 long and requires different metabolites for energy production (Sturmey and Leese, 2003).
313 Since these gametes cannot use glucose directly, CC catabolise glucose by the glycolytic

314 pathway, supplying pyruvate or lactate to the oocyte as oxidative substrates (Sutton *et al.*,
315 2003). A metabolic role for triacylglycerols providing ATP through FA mitochondrial β -
316 oxidation which is essential for protein synthesis along cytoplasmic maturation and
317 meiosis was also suggested by Sturmei and Leese (2003) and Sturmei *et al.* (2006). These
318 authors showed that the triacylglycerol content of immature oocytes decreases during
319 maturation with sufficient O₂ consumption to be accounted for this depletion. Additionally
320 Romek *et al.* (2011), also through an indirect method of lipid evaluation using lipid
321 droplets staining, reported that *in vivo* total lipid content in immature porcine oocytes was
322 about 21% higher than in mature. On the contrary, our results determined by internal
323 standard gas-chromatography quantification of FA, showed that FA content of COC,
324 oocytes and CC, tend ($P=0.056$) to increase with the maturation process. As herein
325 McEvoy *et al.* (2000) reported that the most abundant FA in immature porcine oocytes was
326 the 16:0, followed by the *c*9-18:1, 18:0, 18:2n-6 and 20:4n-6, although the amount of total
327 FA was lower in the present study. This FA profile was maintained after IVM. Thus in
328 order to support maturation, the oocytes ought to accumulate but also to metabolize their
329 constituent FA and/or utilize those supplied by the CC or from the culture medium
330 enriched with follicular fluid.

331 Previously it was reported by Cetica *et al.* (2002) that during bovine oocyte maturation, CC
332 may supply FA to the oocytes for further participation on their metabolism. In addition
333 these oocytes were able to perform a selective FA uptake from culture medium during
334 maturation (Kim *et al.*, 2001; Lapa *et al.*, 2011) to maintain their intracellular FA reserves.
335 Eventually FA elongation or *de novo* synthesis may also occur. These mechanisms might
336 also take place during porcine COC maturation. In fact the FA profile of CC changed
337 drastically along this process. The SFA, in particular 14:0, 15:0, 17:0, 20:0, 23:0, as well as
338 MUFA, notably *c*9-18:1, were reduced in mature CC. Therefore it is possible that during

339 maturation porcine CC can either extensively metabolize SFA and MUFA and/or supply
340 them to the oocyte. Moreover the proportion of mature CC PUFA, composed by eleven
341 individual FA (table 2), was the highest of all COC constituents. The majority of these
342 long chain FA as well as the DMA derived from the vinyl ether chains of plasmalogens are
343 components of membrane phospholipids (Homa *et al.*, 1986; McEvoy *et al.*, 2000). Thus
344 porcine CC might undergo an extensive intracellular remodeling associated with its
345 metabolic differentiation resulting in increased amounts of internal membrane.

346 On the other hand, oocytes are found to be highly chilling sensitive due to a characteristic
347 high ratio of SFA to PUFA (Arav and Zvi, 2008). This ratio results from the existence of a
348 selective protective process to ensure that PUFA composition of oocytes is kept in a safe
349 minimum level to avoid the risk of cellular damage (Sturmey *et al.*, 2009; Lapa *et al.*,
350 2011). In accordance, porcine oocytes are mainly constituted by SFA preserving their FA
351 profile during maturation. Interestingly, although oocytes were cultured in a PUFA
352 containing media, mainly 18:2n-6, they did not uptake provisions of all these available FA.
353 The most abundant FA in porcine follicular fluid and thus in IVM media was the 16:0.
354 This SFA may be used by porcine oocytes having a beneficiary effect on their quality
355 (Warzych *et al.*, 2011), in contrast to its harmful action in bovine oocytes (Van Hoeck *et*
356 *al.*, 2011). Nonetheless when supplemented with *t10,c12* CLA porcine oocytes incorporate
357 this PUFA but in much lower amounts than in CC. Despite that, PUFA percentage was not
358 changed neither in *t10,c12* CLA oocytes nor in CC suggesting that *t10,c12* CLA replaced
359 other PUFA.

360 Several studies reported the activity of lipid modulators namely *t10,c12* CLA and FSK
361 during oocyte maturation (Lapa *et al.*, 2011; Fu *et al.*, 2011) or embryonic development
362 (Men *et al.*, 2006; Pereira *et al.*, 2007; 2008). According to Prates *et al.* (2012) during
363 porcine oocyte maturation FSK impaired meiosis beyond 2h of supplementation while

364 *t*10,*c*12 CLA had no effect on meiotic progression. Moreover both substances interfered
365 with the distribution and morphology of lipid droplets. However if these morphological
366 modifications of IVM porcine oocytes (Fu *et al.* 2011, Prates *et al.*, 2012) reflect changes
367 of their FA composition was not investigated. Herein we found differences in FA and also
368 in DMA composition of COC supplemented with *t*10,*c*12 CLA, FSK or both. This isomer
369 was accumulated by COC from *t*10,*c*12 CLA and *t*10,*c*12 CLA+FSK groups, mostly in CC
370 where MUFA and a 20:3 isomer decreased ($P \leq 0.043$) though PUFA, total FA and DMA
371 contents were not affected. Thus in the present conditions, the identified *t*10,*c*12 CLA
372 activity in reducing lipid accumulation (Pariza, 2001; Pereira *et al.*, 2007; 2008) was not
373 demonstrated for porcine oocytes. Equally although FSK can induce lipolysis in porcine
374 embryo cells and oocytes reducing their lipid content (Men *et al.*, 2006; Fu *et al.*, 2011),
375 we could not confirm this reduction, since the FA and DMA contents were not different
376 from control in FSK mature oocytes. Nevertheless, when FSK was supplemented alone for
377 2h, the *c*9-18:1 increased in mature oocytes.

378 Although *t*10,*c*12 CLA and FSK did not interfere on nuclear meiosis progression, a
379 possible additive effect of these substances on 20:4n-6 metabolization by CC, probably
380 through eicosanoid cascade (Nuttinck *et al.*, 2008, Lapa *et al.*, 2011), seems to occur. In
381 fact during maturation the 20:4n-6 decreases 3.85% in *t*10,*c*12 CLA+FSK CC when
382 compared to control ($P=0.023$). Both products can affect the protein kinase A and cAMP
383 signaling pathways (Men *et al.*, 2006; Ashwell *et al.*, 2010) thus exerting a synergic effect.
384 Interestingly, CC of porcine COC treated with *t*10,*c*12 CLA revealed more modifications
385 in the FA profile than those of bovine where only 20:4n-6 were reduced in mature oocytes
386 but not in CC (Lapa *et al.*, 2011). These divergences may be related to differences in COC
387 acquisition of developmental competence between these species. Furthermore, herein both
388 DMA-16:0 and DMA-18:0 were higher in CC than in oocytes from all groups. However

389 *t10,c12* CLA treatment reduced the DMA-16:0 in COC and the DMA-18:0 (which became
390 undetectable) from CC of *t10,c12* CLA group. These effects of *t10,c12* CLA seem to be
391 mitigated when FSK was also present. A decrease in plasmalogens of cellular membranes
392 might interfere with their dynamics and functions (Nagan *et al.*, 2001). The membranes
393 composition can therefore interfere in the communication between oocytes and CC or CC
394 adherence looseness during CC expansion, being crucial for appropriate oocyte maturation
395 and fertilization (Sutton *et al.*, 2003; Gilchrist and Thompson, 2007). The importance of
396 plasmalogens in porcine COC maturation requires further investigation.

397

398 **Conclusions**

399 In conclusion, the findings from the present study indicate that 16:0, 18:0, *c9-18:1*, 18:2n-6
400 and 20:4n-6 are the major constituents of porcine follicular fluid and COC although in
401 different orders of abundance. Moreover it highlights the distinct FA and DMA profiles of
402 oocytes and CC during maturation. Both immature and mature porcine oocytes are rich in
403 SFA preferentially maintaining their profile as well as their SFA and PUFA ratio during
404 maturation probably to prevent the significant risk of free radical damage currently
405 associated to FA unsaturations. The marked variation in FA profile of CC during this
406 process could indicate a major participation in supplying substrates to the oocyte and an
407 extensive intracellular remodeling resulting in increased amounts of internal membrane
408 and consequently an enrichment in PUFA and DMA at the end of maturation. Besides CC
409 PUFA reservoir, mostly 18:2n-6 and 20:4n-6 might be involved in FA elongation or PG
410 synthesis. On the other hand, porcine COC can uptake and accumulate *t10,c12* CLA from
411 the culture media, being CC more susceptible to FA and DMA modifications induced by
412 this CLA isomer than oocytes whereas FSK during 2h induced an increase of *c9-18:1* in
413 oocytes. Therefore, data of FA and DMA composition of porcine COC suggest a pivotal

414 role of both cumulus cells and oocytes in lipid metabolism through maturation. This
415 information can complete previous morphological evaluations and should be used to
416 enhance oocyte quality and the efficiency of *in vitro* embryo production and
417 cryopreservation.

418

419

420 **Acknowledgments**

421 The “Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia” is greatly acknowledged for funding a grant
422 for E.G. Prates (SFRH/BD/42359/2007).

423

424 **References**

425 Arav A, Zvi R (2008) Do chilling injury and heat stress share the same mechanism of
426 injury in oocytes? *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 282: 150–152.

427 Ashwell MS, Ceddia RP, House RL, Cassady JP, Eisena EJ, Eling TE, Collins JB, Grissom
428 SF, Odle J (2010) Trans-10, cis-12-conjugated linoleic acid alters hepatic gene expression
429 in a polygenic obese line of mice displaying hepatic lipidosis. *J Nutr Biochem* 21: 848–
430 855.

431 Cetica P, Pintos L, Dalvit G, Beconi M (2002) Activity of key enzymes involved in
432 glucose and triglyceride catabolism during bovine oocyte maturation *in vitro*.
433 *Reproduction* 124: 675–681.

434 Fu X, Wu G, Li G, Hou Y, Zhou G, Wang Y, Zhu S (2011) Positive effects of forskolin
435 (stimulator of lipolysis) treatment on cryosurvival of *in vitro* matured porcine oocytes.
436 *Theriogenology* 75: 268–275.

437 Fujihira T, Kinoshita M, Sasaki M, Ohnishi M, Ishikawa H, Ohsumi S, Fukui Y (2004)
438 Comparative studies on lipid analysis and ultrastructure in porcine and southern minke
439 whale (*Balaenoptera Bonaerensis*) oocytes. *J Reprod Dev* 50: 525-532.

440 Gardner AJ, Evans JP (2006) Mammalian membrane block to polyspermy: new insights
441 into how mammalian eggs prevent fertilisation by multiple sperm. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 18:
442 53-61.

443 Gil MA, Roca J, Cremades T, Hernández M, Vázquez JM, Rodríguez-Martínez, Martínez
444 EA (2005) Does multivariate analysis of post-thaw sperm characteristics accurately
445 estimate in vitro fertility of boar individual ejaculates? *Theriogenology* 64: 305-316.

446 Gilchrist RB, Thompson JG (2007) Oocyte maturation: Emerging concepts and
447 technologies to improve developmental potential *in vitro*. *Theriogenology* 67: 6–15

448 Holman RT, Hofstetter HH (1964) The fatty acid composition of the lipids from bovine
449 and porcine reproductive tissues. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* 42: 540-544.

450 Homa ST, Racowsky C, McGaughey RW (1986) Lipid analysis of immature pig oocytes. *J*
451 *Reprod Fertil* 77: 425-434.

452 Kim JY, Kinoshita M, Ohnishi M, Fukui Y (2001) Lipid and fatty acid analysis of fresh
453 and frozen-thawed immature an *in vitro* matured bovine oocytes. *Reproduction* 122: 131–
454 138.

455 Lapa M, Marques CC, Alves SP, Vasques MI, Baptista MC, Carvalhais I, Silva Pereira M,
456 Horta AEM, Bessa RJB, Pereira RM (2011) Effect of trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic
457 acid on bovine oocyte competence and fatty acid composition. *Reprod Dom Anim* 46:
458 904–910.

459 McEvoy TG, Coull GD, Broadbent PJ, Hutchinson JS, Speak BK (2000) Fatty acid
460 composition of lipids in immature cattle, pig and sheep oocytes with intact zona pellucida.
461 *J Reprod Fertil* 118: 163–170.

462 Men H, Agca Y, Riley LK, Critser JK (2006) Improved survival of vitrified porcine
463 embryos after partial delipitation through chemically stimulated lipolysis and inhibition of
464 apoptosis. *Theriogenology* 66: 2008-2016.

465 Nagan N, Zoeller RA (2001) Plasmalogens: biosynthesis and functions. *Prog Lipid Res*
466 40: 199-229.

467 Nuttinck F, Guienne BM, Clément L, Reinaud P, Charpigny G, Grimard B (2008)
468 Expression of genes involved in prostaglandin E₂ and progesterone production in bovine
469 cumulus–oocyte complexes during *in vitro* maturation and fertilization. *Reproduction* 13:
470 593–603.

471 Ouandaogo ZG, Haouzi D, Assou S, Dechaud H, Kadoch IJ, De Vos J, Hamamah S 2011.
472 Human cumulus cells molecular signature in relation to oocyte nuclear maturity stage.
473 *PLoS ONE* 6: e27179.

474 Pariza MH, Park Y, Cook ME (2001) The biologically active isomers of conjugated
475 linoleic acid. *Prog Lipid Res* 40: 283–298.

476 Pereira RM, Marques CC (2008) Animal oocyte and embryo cryopreservation. *Cell Tissue*
477 *Bank* 9 267–277.

478 Pereira RM, Baptista MC, Vasques MI, Horta AEM, Portugal PV, Bessa RJB, Chagas e
479 Silva J, Silva Pereira M, Marques CC (2007) Cryosurvival of bovine blastocysts is
480 enhanced by culture with trans-10 cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid (10t,12c CLA). *Anim*
481 *Reprod Sci* 98: 293–301.

482 Pereira RM, Carvalhais I, Pimenta J, Baptista MC, Vasques MI, Horta AEM, Santos IC,
483 Marques MR, Reis A, Silva Pereira M, Marques CC (2008) Biopsied and vitrified bovine
484 embryos viability is improved by trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid supplementation
485 during *in vitro* embryo culture. *Anim Reprod Sci* 106: 322-332.

486 Prates EG, Marques CC, Baptista MC, Vasques MI, Carolino N, Horta AEM, Charneca R,
487 Nunes JT, Pereira RM (2012). Fat area and lipid droplets morphology of porcine oocytes
488 during *in vitro* maturation with trans-10, cis-12 conjugated linoleic acid (t10,c12 CLA) and
489 forskolin. *Animal* (in press).

490 Petters RM, Wells KD (1993) Culture of pig embryos. *J Reprod Fertil* 48: 61–73.

491 Romek M, Gajda B, Krzysztofowicz E, Kepczynski M, Smorag Z (2011) New technique to
492 quantify the lipid composition of lipid droplets in porcine oocytes and pre-implantation
493 embryos using Nile Red fluorescent probe. *Theriogenology* 75: 42-54.

494 Sturmey RG, Leese HJ (2003) Energy metabolism in pig oocytes and early embryos.
495 *Reproduction* 126: 197–204.

496 Sturmey RG, Toole PJO, Leese HJ (2006) Fluorescence resonance energy transfer analysis
497 of mitochondrial:lipid association in the porcine oocyte. *Reproduction* 132: 829–837.

498 Sturmey RG, Reis A, Leese HJ, McEvoy TG (2009) Role of fatty acids in energy provision
499 during oocyte maturation and early embryo development. *Reprod Dom Anim* 44: 50–58.

500 Sutton ML, Gilchrist RB, Thompson JG (2003) Effects of in-vivo and in-vitro
501 environments on the metabolism of the cumulus-oocyte complex and its influence on
502 oocyte developmental capacity. *Hum Reprod Update* 9: 35-48.

503 Van Hoeck V, Sturmey RG, Bermejo-Alvarez P, Rizos D, Gutierrez-Adan A, Leese HJ.
504 Bols PEJ, Leroy JLMR (2011) Elevated non-esterified fatty acid concentrations during
505 bovine oocyte maturation compromise early embryo physiology. *PLoS ONE* 6: e23183.

506 Warzych E, Cieslak A, Pawlak P, Renska N, Pers-Kamczyc E, Lechniak D (2011)
507 Maternal nutrition affects the composition of follicular fluid and transcript content in gilt
508 oocytes. *Vet Med (Praha)* 56: 156–167.

- 1 Table 1. Fatty acid and dimethylacetal (DMA) composition of porcine follicular fluid
 2 and maturation media prior to culture (pre-IVM medium). Data are least square means
 3 (LSM) \pm standard error (SE) percentages (w/w) of total fatty acids in five replicates.

Name	Formula	Follicular fluid	Pre-IVM medium	
Myristic	14:0	0.56 \pm 0.038	0.58 \pm 0.067	4
Myristoleic	<i>c</i> 9-14:1	0.053 \pm 0.010	0.210 \pm 0.042	5
Pentadecyclic	15:0	0.24 \pm 0.016	0.27 \pm 0.039	6
Palmitic	16:0	24.1 \pm 0.40	22.4 \pm 0.60	7
Hexadecenoic	<i>c</i> 7-16:1	1.02 \pm 0.074	0.87 \pm 0.045	8
Palmitoleic	<i>c</i> 9-16:1	1.27 \pm 0.58	1.08 \pm 0.115	9
Heptadecanoic	17:0	0.71 \pm 0.098	0.75 \pm 0.040	10
Margaric	<i>c</i> 9-17:1	0.31 \pm 0.067	0.25 \pm 0.011	11
Stearic	18:0	13.4 \pm 0.50	14.7 \pm 0.50	12
Oleic	<i>c</i> 9-18:1	19.4 \pm 0.62	20.8 \pm 0.39	13
Cis-Vaccenic	<i>c</i> 11-18:1	2.37 \pm 0.206	1.97 \pm 0.104	14
Linoleic	18:2n-6	17.3 \pm 1.12	19.4 \pm 0.70	15
Arachidic	20:0	0.24 \pm 0.045	0.38 \pm 0.050	16
γ -Linolenic	18:3n-6	0.42 \pm 0.059	0.30 \pm 0.026	17
α -Linolenic	18:3n-3	0.32 \pm 0.048	0.28 \pm 0.016	18
Gondoic	<i>c</i> 11-20:1	0.20 \pm 0.022	0.15 \pm 0.018	19
Eicosadienoic	20:2n-6	0.37 \pm 0.066	0.29 \pm 0.037	20
Eicosatrienoic ^I	20:3 ^{niI}	0.62 \pm 0.050	0.57 \pm 0.091	21
Eicosatrienoic ^{II}	20:3 ^{niII}	0.76 \pm 0.087	0.56 \pm 0.022	22
Arachidonic	20:4n-6	11.6 \pm 0.56	10.4 \pm 0.31	23
Tricosylic	23:0	0.05 \pm 0.012	0.23 \pm 0.055	24
Docosadienoic	22:2n-6	0.08 \pm 0.032	0.23 \pm 0.014	25
Adrenic	22:4n-6	0.33 \pm 0.036	nd	26
Clupanodonic	22:5n-3	1.34 \pm 0.048	1.11 \pm 0.078	27
Cervonic	22:6n-3	0.73 \pm 0.089	0.44 \pm 0.061	28
Other FA	Other FA	0.30 \pm 0.031	0.33 \pm 0.087	29
Dimethylacetal	DMA			30
Hexadecanal-	DMA-16:0	0.87 \pm 0.062	0.57 \pm 0.063	31
Octadecanal-	DMA-18:0	0.77 \pm 0.111	0.57 \pm 0.049	32
Octadecenal-	DMA-18:1	0.34 \pm 0.049	0.38 \pm 0.045	33
Partial sums				34
SFA		39.3 \pm 0.61	39.3 \pm 0.68	35
MUFA		24.9 \pm 0.95	25.6 \pm 0.50	36
PUFA		33.8 \pm 0.56	33.5 \pm 0.69	37
n6/n3 ratio		12.7 \pm 0.70	16.9 \pm 1.08	38

48 SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; nd, not
 49 detectable; ni^I and ^{II}, non identified 20:3 isomers.

50

1 Table 2. Effect of the maturation status (immature vs. mature, MS) on fatty acid and
 2 dimethylacetal (DMA) composition of porcine oocytes and cumulus cells. Data are least
 3 square means (LSM) \pm standard error (SE) percentages (w/w) of total fatty acids in five
 4 replicates.
 5

	Immature		Mature		MS	<i>P</i> values	
	Oocytes	Cumulus cells	Oocytes	Cumulus cells		Cell type (C)	MS x C
14:0	1.66 ^{ab} \pm 0.529	1.75 ^{ab} \pm 0.529	2.11 ^b \pm 0.101	1.06 ^a \pm 0.101	0.832	0.004	0.001
15:0	0.57 ^{ab} \pm 0.126	0.98 ^b \pm 0.126	0.80 ^b \pm 0.045	0.43 ^a \pm 0.045	0.161	0.865	0.004
16:0	44.9 \pm 1.81	24.1 \pm 0.74	45.2 \pm 1.06	26.4 \pm 1.20	0.365	<0.001	0.434
c7-16:1	1.50 \pm 0.097	0.96 \pm 0.069	1.95 \pm 0.295	1.45 \pm 0.216	0.072	0.031	0.898
c9-16:1	1.30 \pm 0.047	1.33 \pm 0.120	1.58 \pm 0.117	1.27 \pm 0.152	0.390	0.258	0.190
17:0	1.30 ^a \pm 0.258	2.64 ^b \pm 0.258	1.10 ^a \pm 0.147	0.86 ^a \pm 0.147	0.036	0.002	<0.001
c9-17:1	nd	nd	0.29 \pm 0.031	0.23 \pm 0.016	-	0.150	-
18:0	11.6 \pm 0.86	15.6 \pm 0.86	10.7 \pm 0.33	13.4 \pm 0.33	0.093	0.001	0.324
c9-18:1	17.0 ^{ab} \pm 0.43	29.2 ^c \pm 1.45	16.5 ^a \pm 0.43	20.4 ^b \pm 1.45	0.012	<0.001	0.005
c11-18:1	3.08 \pm 0.286	2.19 \pm 0.278	2.82 \pm 0.119	2.62 \pm 0.331	0.765	0.074	0.228
18:2n-6	5.18 \pm 0.323	6.59 \pm 0.304	6.24 \pm 0.277	8.31 \pm 0.501	0.018	0.001	0.387
20:0	0.87 ^a \pm 0.333	2.43 ^b \pm 0.333	1.10 ^a \pm 0.333	0.49 ^a \pm 0.333	0.067	0.180	0.010
18:3n-6	nd	nd	0.73 \pm 0.206	0.90 \pm 0.103	-	0.507	-
18:3n-3	0.19 \pm 0.019*	nd	0.29 \pm 0.049	0.20 \pm 0.021	-	0.221	-
c11-20:1	0.30 \pm 0.009*	nd	0.29 \pm 0.099	0.39 \pm 0.042	-	0.438	-
20:2n-6	0.23 \pm 0.030*	nd	0.10 \pm 0.186	0.71 \pm 0.078	-	0.093	-
20:3 ^{niI}	nd	nd	nd	0.42 \pm 0.022	-	-	-
20:3 ^{niII}	0.69 \pm 0.078*	nd	0.43 \pm 0.114	0.94 \pm 0.066	-	0.018	-
20:4n-6	5.95 ^a \pm 0.768	5.71 ^a \pm 0.768	5.28 ^a \pm 0.444	13.4 ^b \pm 0.44	0.004	<0.001	<0.001
23:0	0.70 ^a \pm 0.234	1.74 ^b \pm 0.234	0.50 ^a \pm 0.062	0.47 ^a \pm 0.062	0.030	0.001	0.001
22:2n-6	1.09 ^a \pm 0.177	3.34 ^b \pm 0.594	0.75 ^a \pm 0.177	0.54 ^a \pm 0.594	0.023	0.048	0.023
22:4n-6	0.68 \pm 0.113*	nd	0.70 \pm 0.043	0.45 \pm 0.025	-	0.007	-
22:5n-3	nd	nd	nd	1.00 \pm 0.051	-	-	-
22:6n-3	0.29 \pm 0.035*	nd	0.28 \pm 0.032	0.45 \pm 0.019	-	0.011	-
Other FA	nd	nd	nd	0.60 \pm 0.126	-	-	-
DMA							
DMA-16:0	0.71 \pm 0.123	0.98 \pm 0.123	0.41 \pm 0.123	1.27 \pm 0.123	0.972	0.004	0.072
DMA-18:0	0.70 \pm 0.198	1.12 \pm 0.216	0.37 \pm 0.134	0.79 \pm 0.123	0.219	0.006	0.985
DMA-18:1	nd	nd	nd	0.51 \pm 0.027	-	-	-
Partial Sums							
SFA	61.6 ^c \pm 1.45	49.2 ^b \pm 1.45	61.3 ^c \pm 1.45	43.1 ^a \pm 1.45	0.116	<0.001	0.049
MUFA	23.0 ^a \pm 1.09	33.5 ^c \pm 1.09	23.3 ^a \pm 1.09	27.0 ^b \pm 1.09	0.050	<0.001	0.013
PUFA	14.0 ^a \pm 0.50	15.7 ^a \pm 1.17	14.6 ^a \pm 0.50	27.4 ^b \pm 1.17	0.002	<0.001	<0.001

6 Data within the same row with different superscripts letters are statistically different due to an interaction
 7 between cell type and maturation status ($P \leq 0.05$). SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated
 8 fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids, MS, maturation status; C, cell type ; nd, not detectable; ni^I
 9 and ^{II}, non identified 20:3 isomers; § data not included in statistical analysis;

10
 11

- 1 Table 3. Effect of *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid (*t10,c12* CLA), forskolin (FSK) or both (*t10,c12* CLA+FSK) supplementation
 2 during in vitro maturation on fatty acid and dimethylacetal (DMA) composition of mature porcine oocytes and cumulus cells. Data are least
 3 square means (LSM) \pm standard error (SE) percentages (w/w) of total fatty acids in five replicates.

	Control		<i>t10,c12</i> CLA		FSK		<i>t10,c12</i> CLA+FSK		<i>P</i> values	
	Oocytes	Cumulus cells	Oocytes	Cumulus cells	Oocytes	Cumulus cells	Oocytes	Cumulus cells	C	T x C
14:0	2.11 \pm 0.195	1.06 \pm 0.424	1.93 \pm 0.195	1.23 \pm 0.424	1.95 \pm 0.195	1.99 \pm 0.424	2.33 \pm 0.195	1.49 \pm 0.242	0.015	0.402
15:0	0.80 ^c \pm 0.059	0.43 ^a \pm 0.042	0.73 ^{bc} \pm 0.046	0.43 ^a \pm 0.063	0.60 ^b \pm 0.013	0.58 ^{ab} \pm 0.063	0.65 ^{bc} \pm 0.113	0.53 ^{ab} \pm 0.093	<0.001	0.010
16:0	45.2 \pm 1.43	26.4 \pm 0.05	44.5 \pm 1.97	25.84 \pm 0.66	45.9 \pm 1.63	25.9 \pm 0.63	44.5 \pm 0.98	25.0 \pm 0.50	<0.001	0.926
<i>c7-16:1</i>	1.95 \pm 0.233	1.46 \pm 0.104	1.83 \pm 0.233	0.91 \pm 0.104	1.94 \pm 0.233	1.16 \pm 0.104	1.97 \pm 0.233	0.95 \pm 0.119	<0.001	0.514
<i>c9-16:1</i> ^{1,3}	1.58 \pm 0.088	1.27 \pm 0.088	1.39 \pm 0.088	1.00 \pm 0.088	1.54 \pm 0.088	1.22 \pm 0.088	1.47 \pm 0.088	0.83 \pm 0.088	<0.001	0.355
17:0	1.17 \pm 0.063	0.93 \pm 0.078	1.07 \pm 0.063	1.17 \pm 0.211	1.11 \pm 0.108	1.42 \pm 0.151	1.04 \pm 0.043	1.33 \pm 0.287	0.288	0.070
<i>c9-17:1</i>	0.27 \pm 0.023	0.23 \pm 0.032	0.24 \pm 0.017*	nd	0.15 \pm 0.020	0.25 \pm 0.036	0.24 \pm 0.020	0.23 \pm 0.042	0.537	0.085
18:0	10.7 \pm 0.37	13.4 \pm 2.05	9.98 \pm 0.365	17.6 \pm 2.05	9.80 \pm 0.365	15.4 \pm 2.05	10.3 \pm 0.37	15.1 \pm 2.05	<0.001	0.432
<i>c9-18:1</i>	16.5 ^a \pm 0.34	20.4 ^{cd} \pm 0.97	17.4 ^{ab} \pm 0.34	18.2 ^{bc} \pm 0.97	17.8 ^b \pm 0.34	22.4 ^d \pm 0.97	16.8 ^{ab} \pm 0.34	21.8 ^d \pm 0.97	<0.001	0.043
<i>c11-18:1</i> ³	2.82 \pm 0.230	2.62 \pm 0.175	2.57 \pm 0.127	1.99 \pm 0.273	2.77 \pm 0.158	2.16 \pm 0.211	2.67 \pm 0.163	1.90 \pm 0.235	0.002	0.557
18:2n-6	6.24 \pm 0.343	8.31 \pm 0.538	6.13 \pm 0.058	8.74 \pm 0.619	6.09 \pm 0.173	7.56 \pm 0.300	5.97 \pm 0.143	8.47 \pm 0.384	<0.001	0.231
20:0	1.10 \pm 0.328	0.49 \pm 0.095	0.59 \pm 0.139	0.60 \pm 0.112	0.69 \pm 0.026	1.15 \pm 0.192	0.75 \pm 0.058	1.13 \pm 0.282	0.655	0.067
18:3n-6 ^{1,3}	0.74 \pm 0.193	0.90 \pm 0.171	0.35 \pm 0.038	0.32 \pm 0.007	0.49 \pm 0.134	0.76 \pm 0.118	0.29 \pm 0.064	0.42 \pm 0.143	0.163	0.310
18:3n-3	0.32 \pm 0.031	0.20 \pm 0.024	0.24 \pm 0.024	0.22 \pm 0.024	0.20 \pm 0.027	0.27 \pm 0.031	0.24 \pm 0.027	0.25 \pm 0.027	0.438	0.084
<i>c11-20:1</i> ¹	0.29 \pm 0.030	0.39 \pm 0.026	0.31 \pm 0.026	0.27 \pm 0.026	0.29 \pm 0.026	0.42 \pm 0.030	0.30 \pm 0.026	0.36 \pm 0.027	0.025	0.137
<i>t10,c12</i> CLA	nd	nd	1.17 \pm 0.294	6.46 \pm 2.053	nd	nd	1.25 \pm 0.294	6.29 \pm 2.053	0.008	0.932
20:2n-6	0.27 \pm 0.030	0.71 \pm 0.133	0.25 \pm 0.021	0.58 \pm 0.133	0.19 \pm 0.025	0.59 \pm 0.149	0.25 \pm 0.021	0.56 \pm 0.133	<0.001	0.900
20:4n-6 ³	5.28 ^a \pm 0.340	13.4 ^c \pm 0.50	5.18 ^a \pm 0.198	10.3 ^c \pm 1.46	5.44 ^a \pm 0.218	11.4 ^c \pm 0.89	5.04 ^a \pm 0.410	9.55 ^b \pm 1.441	<0.001	0.053
20:3 ni ¹²	nd	0.42 \pm 0.143	nd	0.38 \pm 0.143	nd	0.97 \pm 0.143	nd	0.56 \pm 0.143	-	-
20:3 ni ^{II}	0.43 ^a \pm 0.106	0.94 ^b \pm 0.103	0.74 ^{ab} \pm 0.171	0.55 ^a \pm 0.071	0.58 ^{ab} \pm 0.140	1.00 ^b \pm 0.091	0.75 ^{ab} \pm 0.122	0.49 ^a \pm 0.067	0.149	0.004
23:0 ²	0.50 \pm 0.108	0.47 \pm 0.108	0.69 \pm 0.108	0.61 \pm 0.108	0.62 \pm 0.108	0.91 \pm 0.123	0.91 \pm 0.058*	nd	0.496	0.246
22:2n-6	0.75 \pm 0.212	0.54 \pm 0.235	0.79 \pm 0.212	0.56 \pm 0.235	0.57 \pm 0.212	1.13 \pm 0.266	1.04 \pm 0.212	1.08 \pm 0.235	0.804	0.330

22:4n-6	0.70±0.056	0.45±0.034*	0.73±0.056	nd	0.64±0.056	nd	0.74±0.056	nd	-	-4
22:5n-3 ³	nd	1.00±0.051	nd	1.00±0.051	nd	0.88±0.051	nd	0.77±0.051	-	-5
22:6n-3	0.28±0.023	0.45±0.029	0.27±0.019	0.41±0.050	0.27±0.024	0.43±0.018	0.31±0.023	0.37±0.018	<0.001	0.13 ⁶
DMA										7
DMA-16:0 ^{1,3}	0.41±0.050	1.27±0.119	0.37±0.050	0.74±0.119	0.46±0.050	1.02±0.119	0.42±0.050	0.82±0.119	<0.001	0.05 ⁸
DMA-18:0	0.38±0.079	0.79±0.069	0.24±0.203*	nd	0.27±0.092	0.63±0.069	0.44±0.080	0.63±0.080	<0.001	0.35 ⁹
DMA-18:1 ¹	nd	0.51±0.027	nd	0.25±0.027	nd	nd	nd	nd	-	11
Partial sums										12
SFA	61.3±0.99	43.1±1.06	59.3±0.56	47.3±4.95	60.5±0.31	46.7±0.77	59.9±0.61	44.3±2.21	<0.001	0.03 ¹³
MUFA ¹	23.3 ^{ab} ±0.60	27.0 ^c ±1.05	24.4 ^{ab} ±0.60	22.4 ^a ±1.05	24.5 ^{ab} ±0.60	27.4 ^c ±1.05	23.4 ^{ab} ±0.60	25.7 ^{bc} ±1.05	0.012	0.01 ¹⁴
PUFA	14.6±0.35	27.4±2.32	15.8±0.35	29.5±2.32	14.4±0.35	24.3±2.32	15.8±0.35	28.6±2.32	<0.001	0.6 ¹⁵
FA (ng/un) ^{III}	63.7±6.12	0.03±0.011	65.6±6.12	0.04±0.011	62.7±6.12	0.01±0.011	69.1±6.12	0.02±0.011	<0.001	0.88 ¹⁶

18 Data in the same line with different superscript letters are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$) due to an interaction between cell type and treatment; different superscript numbers
19 (1 to 3) represent the contrasts of each treatment against the control: 1 – contrast for t10c12CLA treatment against control ($P \leq 0.05$), 2 – contrast for FSK treatment against
20 control ($P \leq 0.05$), 3 – contrast for t10c12CLA+FSK against control ($P \leq 0.05$). C, cell type; T, treatment; SFA, saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA,
21 polyunsaturated fatty acids, nd, not detectable; * data not included in statistical analysis; ^I and ^{II} – non identified 20:3 isomers; ^{III} – total FA content per individual cell.

1 Table 4. Effect of *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid (*t10,c12* CLA), forskolin
 2 (FSK) or both (*t10,c12* CLA+FSK) supplementation during in vitro maturation of
 3 porcine cumulus oocyte complexes on fatty acid and dimethylacetal (DMA)
 4 composition of culture media. Data are least square means (LSM) \pm standard error (SE)
 5 percentages (w/w) of total fatty acids in five replicates.

	Culture media				<i>P</i> values
	Control	<i>t10,c12</i> CLA	FSK	<i>t10,c12</i> CLA+FSK	
14:0	0.82 \pm 0.029	0.74 \pm 0.075	0.78 \pm 0.030	0.78 \pm 0.022	0.682
<i>c9-14:1</i>	0.30 \pm 0.054	0.27 \pm 0.075	0.43 \pm 0.063	0.46 \pm 0.075	0.241
15:0	0.31 \pm 0.024	0.24 \pm 0.024	0.31 \pm 0.024	0.31 \pm 0.028	0.140
16:0	21.9 \pm 0.38	21.5 \pm 0.38	22.3 \pm 0.38	21.8 \pm 0.38	0.542
<i>c7-16:1</i>	0.94 \pm 0.051	1.03 \pm 0.051	0.98 \pm 0.051	0.83 \pm 0.059	0.131
<i>c9-16:1</i>	1.22 \pm 0.051	1.23 \pm 0.051	1.20 \pm 0.051	1.05 \pm 0.058	0.142
17:0	0.86 \pm 0.078	0.77 \pm 0.078	0.72 \pm 0.078	0.75 \pm 0.078	0.653
<i>c9-17:1</i>	0.244 \pm 0.014	0.22 \pm 0.014	0.24 \pm 0.014	0.23 \pm 0.016	0.713
18:0	13.9 \pm 0.59	14.7 \pm 0.59	13.4 \pm 0.59	14.0 \pm 0.59	0.495
<i>c9-18:1</i>	21.0 ^b \pm 0.35	19.4 ^a \pm 0.35	21.0 ^b \pm 0.35	20.2 ^{ab} \pm 0.35	0.022
<i>c11-18:1</i>	2.07 ^b \pm 0.090	1.56 ^a \pm 0.090	1.97 ^b \pm 0.090	2.16 ^b \pm 0.104	0.004
18:2n-6	19.8 \pm 0.53	19.2 \pm 0.53	20.3 \pm 0.53	19.3 \pm 0.53	0.441
20:0	0.41 \pm 0.080	0.51 \pm 0.080	0.44 \pm 0.080	0.42 \pm 0.092	0.820
18:3n-6	0.41 \pm 0.029	0.41 \pm 0.029	0.44 \pm 0.029	0.40 \pm 0.033	0.775
18:3n-3	0.28 \pm 0.039	0.29 \pm 0.039	0.32 \pm 0.039	0.37 \pm 0.45	0.466
<i>c11-20:1</i>	0.13 \pm 0.357	0.13 \pm 0.357	0.20 \pm 0.357	0.88 \pm 0.357	0.410
<i>t10,c12</i> CLA	nd	2.74 \pm 1.017	nd	2.35 \pm 1.017	0.797
20:2n-6	0.24 \pm 0.079	0.37 \pm 0.079	0.34 \pm 0.079	0.27 \pm 0.091	0.608
20:3 ^{niI}	0.50 \pm 0.027	0.47 \pm 0.027	0.46 \pm 0.027	0.46 \pm 0.032	0.756
20:3 ^{niII}	0.50 \pm 0.021	0.43 \pm 0.021	0.47 \pm 0.021	0.51 \pm 0.024	0.120
20:4n-6	9.84 \pm 0.249	9.89 \pm 0.249	10.4 \pm 0.25	9.97 \pm 0.25	0.396
23:0	0.34 \pm 0.078	0.45 \pm 0.078	0.31 \pm 0.078	0.42 \pm 0.090	0.602
22:2n-6	0.24 \pm 0.457	0.45 \pm 0.457	0.29 \pm 0.457	0.22 \pm 0.457	0.428
22:4n-6	0.22 \pm 0.119	0.34 \pm 0.119	nd	nd	0.520
22:5n-3	1.09 \pm 0.177	1.15 \pm 0.177	1.13 \pm 0.177	1.62 \pm 0.177	0.167
22:6n-3	0.43 ^a \pm 0.025	0.56 ^b \pm 0.025	0.50 ^{ab} \pm 0.025	0.54 ^b \pm 0.029	0.022
Other FA	0.41 \pm 0.059*	nd	nd	nd	
DMA					
DMA-16:0	0.84 \pm 0.103	0.59 \pm 0.103	0.64 \pm 0.103	0.59 \pm 0.119	0.328
DMA-18:0	0.56 \pm 0.050	0.56 \pm 0.069	0.50 \pm 0.050	0.51 \pm 0.058	0.806
DMA-18:1	0.38 \pm 0.032	0.28 \pm 0.046	0.22 \pm 0.046	0.26 \pm 0.046	0.083
Partial sums					
SFA	38.4 \pm 0.97	38.8 \pm 0.97	38.2 \pm 0.97	37.8 \pm 0.97	0.891
MUFA	26.3 ^c \pm 0.45	23.7 ^a \pm 0.45	25.9 ^{bc} \pm 0.45	24.7 ^{ab} \pm 0.45	0.007
PUFA	33.6 \pm 0.96	36.3 \pm 0.96	34.7 \pm 0.96	36.4 \pm 0.96	0.159

6 Data within the same row with different superscripts letters are statistically different ($P \leq 0.05$). SFA,
 7 saturated fatty acids; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; nd, not
 8 detectable; ni^I and ni^{II}, non identified 20:3 isomers.

Editor of In vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology- Animal

Vale de Santarém, January 2nd, 2013

Dear Sir

Please find enclosed a manuscript entitled "Fatty acid composition of porcine cumulus oocyte complexes during maturation: effect of the lipid modulators *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid (*t*10,*c*12 CLA) and forskolin " that we wish to publish in In vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Animal.

Porcine oocytes are for long known to be highly rich in lipids although their nature and function has been largely overlooked. The present study provides new insights on fatty acid (FA) and dimethyl-acetal (DMA) composition of porcine cumulus-oocyte complexes (COC) prior to and during in vitro maturation. Moreover the effect of two lipid modulators, the *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid (*t*10,*c*12 CLA) and forskolin, on this composition was studied. Our results showed for the first time that the maturation process differentially affected the FA and DMA composition of oocytes and their cumulus cells. While oocytes are notably rich in saturated FA, preferentially maintaining their FA profile, immature cumulus cells are characterized by an abundant MUFA content and their FA profile is greatly modified during maturation. This marked variation in the FA profile of cumulus cells could indicate a major participation in supplying substrates to the oocyte and an extensive intracellular remodeling resulting in increased amounts of internal membrane and consequently an enrichment in PUFA and DMA at the end of maturation. Moreover, *t*10,*c*12 CLA and/or forskolin supplementation influenced porcine oocyte COC and culture media FA and DMA composition. This possibility of manipulating lipid composition of COC during maturation could be used as a tool to improve oocyte quality and cryopreservation efficiency.

We feel that the importance of the reported findings makes this work of interest for the readership of In vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Animal. The present manuscript has not been published previously and is not being considered currently for publication in any other journal. All authors have approved the final article. The corresponding author is R.M. Pereira; Fax: +351 243 767 307; Phone: +351 243 767 380; INIAV Santarém, Quinta da Fonte Boa, 2005-048 Vale de Santarém, Portugal. Email: rosalnp@gmail.com. Finally, possible referees that are familiar with the methodologies used include the following:

Tom McEvoy

Scottish Agricultural College, Animal Biology Division, Craibstone Estate, Bucksburn, Aberdeen AB21 9YA, UK.

t.mcevoy@ab.sac.ac.uk

Luis Lopes Costa

Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Av. da Universidade Técnica 1300 - 477 Lisboa.

lcosta@fmv.utl.pt

Looking forward to hear from you,

Best regards

RM Pereira

DVM, MsC, PhD