

SDMC

ESTUDOS EM DESENVOLVIMENTO MOTOR DA CRIANÇA XVII

Eds. André Pombo Carla Rocha Carlos Luz

EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF SCREEN-TIME EXPOSURE ON MOTOR COMPETENCE AND HEALTH-RELATED PHYSICAL FITNESS PARAMETERS IN 4th GRADE CHILDREN

ANÁLISE DO IMPACTO DA EXPOSIÇÃO AO TEMPO DE ECRÃ NA COMPETÊNCIA MOTORA E NOS PARÂMETROS DE APTIDÃO FÍSICA RELACIONADOS COM A SAÚDE EM CRIANÇAS DO 4.º ANO DE ESCOLARIDADE

Bruno Figueira¹, Bruno Gonçalves¹, Rodrigo Silva¹, Tomás Aleixo¹, Raul Rosa¹, Gabriela Almeida¹

¹ Departamento de Desporto e Saúde, Escola de Saúde e Desenvolvimento Humano, Universidade de Évora, Portugal. Comprehensive Health Research Centre (CHRC), Universidade de Évora, Portugal

Abstract

Children are spending large periods of the day engaged in screen-based activities, despite research demonstrating potential harms related to obesity, sleep, fitness, and cognitive, social, and emotional development, among others. Although there are evidence-based guidelines for physical activity, sleep patterns, and healthy screen-time levels, no studies have addressed how children's screen-time exposure affects motor competence levels and health-related physical fitness variables in the scholarly context. This study included a cross-sectional design with 143 children in the 4th grade (males: n = 74, 9.9 ± 0.4 years; females; = 69, 10.1 ± 0.4 years) in the municipality of Évora, who attend elementary school. The findings highlight the impact of screen time duration on specific aspects of physical fitness and motor competence, with lower screen time being associated with better performance in several key tests.

Key words

Elementary school; technology; aerobic capacity; vertical jump; body mass index.

Resumo

As crianças passam grandes períodos do dia em atividades que envolvem ecrãs, apesar da investigação demonstrar os potenciais danos relacionados com a obesidade, sono, aptidão física, desenvolvimento cognitivo, social e emocional, entre outros. Embora já existam diretrizes baseadas em evidências para o tempo de atividade física, padrões de sono e níveis saudáveis de tempo de ecrã, nenhum estudo aborda como a exposição ao tempo de ecrã das crianças afeta os níveis de competência motora e variáveis de aptidão física relacionadas com a saúde no contexto escolar. Este estudo incluiu um desenho transversal com 143 crianças a frequentar o 4.º ano de escolaridade no município de Évora (sexo masculino = 74, 9.9 \pm 0.4 anos; feminino = 69, 10.1 \pm 0.4 anos). Os resultados destacam o impacto da duração do tempo de ecrã em aspetos específicos da aptidão física e competência motora, sendo que menos tempo de ecrã está associado a um melhor desempenho em vários testes-chave.

Palavras-chave

Escola primária; tecnologia; capacidade aeróbia; impulsão vertical; índice de massa corporal.

INTRODUCTION

Physical inactivity (PI) is a significant risk factor for the development of diabetes, depression, cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases, oncological, and respiratory, incurring an associated cost of \notin 80.4 billion per year on the EU-28 (1). Additionally, PI is estimated to contribute to approximately 1 million deaths and 8.3 million disability-adjusted life-years annually in the WHO European Region (2). These estimates seem to be conservative since they do not account for mental health and musculoskeletal issues. Furthermore, the direct societal costs, which encompass potential environmental benefits arising from greater walking, cycling, or the utilization of public transportation, along with the subsequent decrease in fossil fuel consumption, have not been factored into the overall impact assessment (3).

Despite the associated health benefits, the majority of young Portuguese citizens do not meet international recommendations for physical activity. It is estimated that only approximately 28.6% of young children (aged between 4- and 6-year-old) meet the physical activity recommendation considering the WHO 24-Hour Movement Guidelines for Children and Youth (4). Some early evidence has shown that children's lifestyle behaviors concerning physical activity levels, sleep patterns, and excessive screen time might interactively or independently affect cognition (5). Additionally, recent studies suggest that excessive screen time is negatively associated with levels of attention, memory, impulse control, and academic performance (6). Conversely, further research has documented a positive relationship between cognitive skills and sports performance, with the largest effect sizes observed for information-processing speed (7). This positive effect on general cognitive skills appears to be linked to increased aerobic capacity (8), the coordinative demands of sports environments (9), constant memory updating (9), and decision-making processes (10). Considering this, the goal of the present research is to determine how children's screen-time exposure affects motor competence levels and health-related physical fitness variables.

METODOLOGY

Participants

Participants were 143 children (males: n = 74, 9.9±0.4 years; females: n = 69, 10.1±0.4 years) residing in the municipality of Évora and attending 4th year of elementary school. All children were free from disabilities, medical or neurodevelopmental conditions associated with motor clumsiness, and were not diagnosed with any physical, sensory, or cognitive impairments. Participants and their parents were thoroughly informed about the research procedures, requirements, benefits, and risks, and written consent was obtained prior to the commencement of the study. Ethical approval was obtained from Ethics Committee of University of Évora (22814), Ethics Research Committee of NMS/FCM-UNL (127/2023/CEFCM), Ethics Committee for Health of the Alentejo Regional Health Administration (24/CE/2023) and Ministry of Education (MIME) *Procedures*

Data collected incorporating input from both children and their parents, alongside a multi-methodological framework employing both questionnaires and tasks. Evaluation of children occurred within small group settings, with each assessment session spanning approximately 45 to 60 minutes. These assessments were administered collectively during school hours, either within a designated gymnasium area or within a secluded and noise-controlled environment. Meanwhile, parents were entrusted with the completion of questionnaires within the confines of their homes, subsequently returning them to the research team. All data were collected by an expert team in the field of human kinetics, with assessment experience in school-age children. Furthermore, a meticulously crafted protocol delineating the assessment procedures is slated for development, with the aim of optimizing the acquisition of variable data, as explicated in Table 1.

Testing procedures for physical fitness components are consistent with national guidelines for school-based fitness assessment (DGE/MEC). Children's motor competence was measured using the Motor Competence Assessment (MCA) instrument. The MCA instrument assesses the three theoretical categories through six motor tasks: (1) stability (i. jumping sideways and ii. shifting platforms), (2) locomotor (iii. standing long jump and iv. shuttle run) and (3) manipulative skills (v. ball kicking velocity and vi. ball throwing velocity). All tasks were carried out according to the descriptions provided by the authors (11). Screen time levels were assessed using ScreenQ (12). The survey measures access, frequency, content, and caregiver-child co-viewing.

Dimensions of analysis	Variables/Instruments				
Health-related physical fitness	i) Anthropometry and Body Composition - height (H) - weight (W) - waist circumference (WC) - hip circumference (HP) ii) Cardiorespiratory fitness/20-meter shuttle run test (SR-20m)				
Motor competence	i) MC/Motor Competence Assessment instrument (MCA)				
Health-related behavior	i) Screen-based activity/ ScreenQ				

SDMC

Statistical Analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to confirm that the data were normally distributed, thus permitting parametric tests. Descriptive statistics were performed to calculate mean \pm SD and frequencies. Group comparison was performed using a one-way (Screen-time) analysis of variance (ANOVA). When significant main effects were achieved, Bonferroni post hoc analyses were performed to locate the pairwise. To estimate the strength of significant findings, effect sizes (ES) were determined using the Cohen's *d*. Effect size values were interpreted as follows: < 0.20 represents a trivial effect, 0.20 to 0.49 is classified as a small effect, 0.50 to 0.79 corresponds to an intermediate effect, and 0.80 and higher is considered as a large effect (13,14). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 20 for Mac; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and statistical significance was set at p < .05.

RESULTS

Table 2 displays the results of the effect of screen time duration on various health-related physical fitness and motor competence variables. The following performance variables showed significant differences among the screen time categories: waist circumference (F = 3.43; p < .05, ηp^2 = .05), shuttle run 20m (F = 4-.05; p < .02, ηp^2 = .07), standing long jump (F = 5.93; p < .01, ηp^2 = .09), locomotor (F = 4.36; p < .05, ηp^2 = .07), and motor competence (F = 3.34; p < .05, ηp^2 = .05).

For WC, participants with more than 180 minutes of screen time had significantly higher values compared to those with 60-179 minutes (Cohen's *d* [95% confidence Interval]; -0.88 [-1.60; 0.16]) and less than 59 minutes (-0.30 [[-0.65; 0.08]). In the SR-20m, participants with less than 59 minutes of screen time performed significantly better than those with more than 180 minutes (0.51 [[-0.11; 0.92]). Standing long jump performance was significantly better in the less than 59 minutes group compared to the more than 180 minutes group (0.98 [0.26; 1.70]). Similarly, for locomotor, participants with less than 59 minutes of screen time outperformed those with more than 180 minutes (0.86 [0.14; 1.58]). The motor competence assessment (MCA) also showed that participants with less than 59 minutes of screen time had better performance compared to those with more than 180 minutes (0.45 [0.08; 0.82]). Conversely, no significant differences were observed in the following variables W, BMI, HC, JS, SP, SR, BT, BK, and S.

Variables	<59min	60-179min	>180min	F	р	ηp²	Post-Hoc Cohen's d		
							а	b	С
W (kg)	35.3 <u>+</u> 7.60	36.80 <u>+</u> 7.32	40.50 <u>+</u> 7.66	2.02	.14	.03	-0.19 [-0.56; 0.17]	-0.70 [-1.41; 0.02]	-0.50 [-1.20; 0.21]
BMI (kg/m²)	18.10 <u>+</u> 2.88	19.10 <u>+</u> 3.17	20.30 <u>+</u> 3.56	2.70	.07	.04	-0.32 [-0.68; 0.05]	-0.71 [-1.43; 0.01]	-0.40 [-1.10; 0.31]
WC (cm)	63.70 <u>+</u> 7.51	66.10 <u>+</u> 8.26	71.00 <u>+</u> 12.60	3.43	.04*	.05	-0.30 [-0.65; 0.08]	-0.88 [-1.60; -0.16]	-0.51 [-1.30; 0.12]
HC (cm)	74.10 <u>+</u> 7.42	75.40 <u>+</u> 7.64	77.90 <u>+</u> 6.42	1.12	.33	.02	-0.16 [-0.53; 0.20]	-0.50 [-1.22; 0.21]	-0.34 [-1.05; 0.37]
SR-20m (au)	37.40 <u>+</u> 19.50	28.50 <u>+</u> 16.00	24.10 <u>+</u> 13.50	4.05	.02*	.07	0.51 [-0.11; 0.92]	0.76 [-0.05; 1.57]	0.25 [-0.55; 1.05]
JS (%)	62.00 <u>+</u> 27.00	52.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	45.00 <u>+</u> 30.00	2.73	.07	.04	0.37 [0.00; 0.74]	0.61 [-0.10; 1.33]	0.24 [-0.46; 0.95]
SP (%)	57.00 <u>+</u> 28.00	48.00 <u>+</u> 23.00	54.00 <u>+</u> 29.00	2.09	.13	.03	0.37 [0.00; 0.74]	-0.12 [-0.60; 0.83]	-0.25 [-0.96; 0.45]
SLJ (%)	72.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	59.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	47.00 <u>+</u> 28.00	5.93	<.01*	.09	0.51 [0.14; 0.88]	0.98 [0.26; 1.70]	0.47 [-0.24; 1.20]
SR (%)	64.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	58.00 <u>+</u> 25.00	49.00 <u>+</u> 27.00	1.79	.17	.03	0.26 [-0.11; 0.62]	0.59 [-0.13; 1.30]	0.33 [-0.38; 1.03]
BT (%)	52.00 <u>+</u> 30.00	51.00 <u>+</u> 33.00	48.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	0.05	.95	.00	0.01 [-0.35; 0.38]	0.11 [-0.60; 0.83]	0.10 [-0.60; 0.81]
BK (%)	16.00 <u>+</u> 18.00	13.00 <u>+</u> 17.00	25.00 <u>+</u> 29.00	1.84	.16	.03	0.15 [-0.22; 0.51]	-0.52 [-1.24; 0.19]	-0.70 [-1.38; 0.04]
S (%)	59.00 <u>+</u> 24.00	50.00 <u>+</u> 20.00	50.00 <u>+</u> 25.00	2.95	.06	.05	0.43 [0.06; 0.80]	0.44 [-0.27; 1.16]	0.08 [-0.70; 0.71]
L (%)	68.00 <u>+</u> 24.00	58.00 <u>+</u> 23.00	48.00 <u>+</u> 26.00	4.36	.02*	.07	0.43 [0.06; 0.80]	0.86 [0.14; 1.58]	0.43 [-0.28; 1.13]
M (%)	34.00 <u>+</u> 19.00	32.00 <u>+</u> 20.00	37.00 <u>+</u> 23.00	0.24	.80	.00	0.07 [-0.30; 0.44]	-0.16 [-0.87; 0.56]	-0.23 [-0.93; 0.45]
MCA total (%)	54.00 <u>+</u> 17.00	47.00 <u>+</u> 15.00	45.00 <u>+</u> 14.00	3.34	.04*	.05	0.45 [0.08; 0.82]	0.56 [-0.16; 1.27]	0.11 [-0.60; 0.82]

Table 2. Effect of Screen Time Duration on Health-Related Physical Fitness and Motor Competence Variables.

Abbreviations: p = between group-subject effect; ηp^2 = effect size; m = minutes; a = <59m vs 60-179m; b = <59m vs >180m; c = 60-179m vs >180m; W = Weight; BMI = Body Mass Index; WC = Waist Circumference; HC = Hip Circumference; SR = Shuttle Run; JS = Jumping Sideways; SP = Shifting Platforms; SLJ = Standing Long Jump; BL = Ball Throwing; BK = Ball Kicking; S = Stability; L = Locomotor; M = Manipulative; MCA = Motor Competence Assessment



DISCUSSION

The investigation into children's screen-time exposure and its effects on motor competence levels and healthrelated physical fitness variables revealed significant differences in various performance variables based across different screen time categories. Participants with lower screen time demonstrated better results in tests such as waist circumference, shuttle run, standing long jump, locomotor skills, and motor competence assessment. Conversely, no significant differences were observed in other variables like weight, BMI, and height, suggesting that screen time did not significantly affect these measures (15). These findings corroborate prior research that has explored the relationship between motor competence, physical activity, and health outcomes in children, underscoring the critical importance of encouraging children who experience extended periods of sedentary behavior to comply with established physical activity and exercise guidelines.

Previous studies have shown that actual motor competence and perceived motor competence are linked to physical activity levels and weight status in children (16). Furthermore, our results contribute to bridging a gap in the literature regarding the impact of screen time on physical activity and motor skills in preschoolers (17). The combined influence of screen time, motor competence levels, and health-related physical fitness variables exerts a substantial impact on overall health. A prior study involving school children revealed that those with low cardiorespiratory fitness levels had a 15% increased risk of elevated waist circumference, independent of screen time. When screen time was considered, this risk increased to 24% (18). Thus, understanding the relationships among motor competence, physical activity, and weight status is crucial for fostering healthy development in children.

Available research have highlighted the importance of interventions to improve motor skills, physical fitness, and overall well-being in children with different levels of motor competence(19). Additionally, the adherence to movement guidelines can positively influence physical fitness components in adolescents (20). Given that children increasingly spend substantial portions of their day engaged in screen-based activities, it is imperative to adhere to international physical activity guidelines to mitigate the adverse effects associated with the convergence of various risk factors.

CONCLUSION

The findings emphasize the effect of screen time duration on specific aspects of physical fitness and motor competence, with reduced screen time associating with improved performance in several key tests such as WC, SR-20m, SLJ and MCA.

Funding Entity - This work is funded by national funds through the Foundation for Science and Technology, under the project UIDB/04923/2020

REFERENCES

1. Nikitara K, Odani S, Demenagas N, Rachiotis G, Symvoulakis E, Vardavas C. Prevalence and correlates of physical inactivity in adults across 28 European countries. Eur J Public Health. 11 de outubro de 2021;31(4):840–5.

2. Physical Activity Factsheets for the 28 European Union Member States of the WHO European Region [Internet]. 2018 [citado 16 de janeiro de 2024]. World Health Organization. Disponível em: https://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0005/382334/28fs-physical-activity-euro-rep-eng.pdf?ua=1

3. Santos AC, Willumsen J, Meheus F, Ilbawi A, Bull FC. The cost of inaction on physical inactivity to public health-care systems: a population-attributable fraction analysis. Lancet Glob Health. janeiro de 2023;11(1):e32–9.

4. Pizarro A, Oliveira-Santos JM, Santos R, Ribeiro JC, Santos MP, Coelho-E-Silva M, et al. Results from Portugal's 2022 report card on physical activity for children and youth. J Exerc Sci Fit. julho de 2023;21(3):280–5.

5. Donnelly JE, Hillman CH, Castelli D, Etnier JL, Lee S, Tomporowski P, et al. Physical Activity, Fitness, Cognitive Function, and Academic Achievement in Children: A Systematic Review. Med Sci Sports Exerc. junho de 2016;48(6):1197–222.

6. Walsh JJ, Barnes JD, Cameron JD, Goldfield GS, Chaput JP, Gunnell KE, et al. Associations between 24 hour movement behaviours and global cognition in US children: a cross-sectional observational study. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. novembro de 2018;2(11):783–91.



7. Vestberg T, Gustafson R, Maurex L, Ingvar M, Petrovic P. Executive functions predict the success of topsoccer players. PloS One. 2012;7(4):e34731.

8. Hillman CH, Erickson KI, Kramer AF. Be smart, exercise your heart: exercise effects on brain and cognition. Nat Rev Neurosci. janeiro de 2008;9(1):58–65.

9. Voelcker-Rehage C, Godde B, Staudinger UM. Cardiovascular and coordination training differentially improve cognitive performance and neural processing in older adults. Front Hum Neurosci. 2011;5:26.

10. Raab M. SMART-ER: a Situation Model of Anticipated Response consequences in Tactical decisions in skill acquisition - Extended and Revised. Front Psychol. 2014;5:1533.

11. Luz C, Rodrigues LP, Almeida G, Cordovil R. Development and validation of a model of motor competence in children and adolescents. J Sci Med Sport. julho de 2016;19(7):568–72.

12. Monteiro R, Fernandes S, Hutton JS, Huang G, Ittenbach RF, Rocha NB. Psychometric properties of the ScreenQ for measuring digital media use in Portuguese young children. Acta Paediatr Oslo Nor 1992. outubro de 2022;111(10):1950–5.

13. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences: L. Erlbaum Associates.; 1988.

14. Cumming G. Introduction to the New Statistics: Estimation, Open Science, and Beyond. Routledge & CRC Press;

15. LeGear M, Greyling L, Sloan E, Bell RI, Williams BL, Naylor PJ, et al. A window of opportunity? Motor skills and perceptions of competence of children in Kindergarten. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 15 de março de 2012;9(1):29.

16. De Meester A, Stodden D, Brian A, True L, Cardon G, Tallir I, et al. Associations among Elementary School Children's Actual Motor Competence, Perceived Motor Competence, Physical Activity and BMI: A Cross-Sectional Study. PloS One. 2016;11(10):e0164600.

17. Webster EK, Martin CK, Staiano AE. Fundamental motor skills, screen-time, and physical activity in preschoolers. J Sport Health Sci. março de 2019;8(2):114–21.

18. Tornquist D, Tornquist L, Sehn AP, Schneiders L de B, Pollo Renner JD, Rech Franke SI, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness, screen time and cardiometabolic risk in South Brazilian school children. Ann Hum Biol. fevereiro de 2022;49(1):10–7.

19. Coppens E, Bardid F, Deconinck FJA, Haerens L, Stodden D, D'Hondt E, et al. Developmental Change in Motor Competence: A Latent Growth Curve Analysis. Front Physiol. 2 de outubro de 2019;10:1273.

20. Duncan MJ, Jones V, O'Brien W, Barnett LM, Eyre ELJ. Self-Perceived and Actual Motor Competence in Young British Children. Percept Mot Skills. abril de 2018;125(2):251–64.