

Economic and Social Research Council



R&D intensity at industry level: how does UK compare with top performing OECD countries?

ERC Insight Paper

November 2022

www.enterpriseresearch.ac.uk



R&D intensity at industry level: how does UK compare with top performing OECD countries?

Adão Carvalho Universidade de Évora, Portugal. <u>acarvalho@uevora.pt</u>

The Enterprise Research Centre is an independent research centre which focusses on SME growth and productivity. ERC is a partnership between Warwick Business School, Aston Business School, Queen's University School of Management, Leeds University Business School and University College Cork. The Centre is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC); Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy (BEIS); Innovate UK, the British Business Bank and the Intellectual Property Office. The support of the funders is acknowledged. The views expressed in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of the funders.



CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT | 4 |
|--|----|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 5 |
| 2. R&D INTENSITY: MORE THAN A BUZZWORD | 6 |
| 3. DATA AND METHODS | 9 |
| 4. STRUCTURE OF BERD | 11 |
| 5. BUSINESS R&D INTENSITY | |
| 6. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS | |
| REFERENCES | |
| APPENDIX | |



ABSTRACT

This study aims to compare R&D intensity at industry level between the UK and top performing OECD countries. The UK Government has set the target of spending 2.4% of GDP in R&D by 2027 and aims to place the UK in the top quartile of OECD countries. Increasing business expenditure on R&D (BERD) is pivotal to achieve this ambitious target. Industries have very different R&D intensities and the higher the R&D intensity of an industry, the greater its potential to increase BERD. From a policy perspective, it is important to know how R&D intensities of UK industries compare with those of the top performing OECD countries. The study uses data from the OECD ANBERD and STAN databases to calculate R&D intensity (R&D as percentage of value added) for more than 40 industries and for 17 OECD countries over a period of 10 years. The results show that more than 60% of BERD comes from the service sector in the UK while in 11 of the top performing OECD countries the manufacturing sector represents between 51% and 87.5% of BERD. The structure of BERD appears to be an important factor in explaining the stagnation of R&D intensity in the UK in recent decades. Concerning the high and medium R&D intensity industries, the UK's R&D intensity performance is mixed: relatively good in industries like air and spacecraft and related machinery, weapons and ammunition or motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers; but relatively poor in industries such as pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products, computer, electronic and optical products, software publishing, medical and dental instruments and supplies, chemicals and chemical products or electrical equipment.

Keywords: R&D intensity, industry, BERD, R&D target, United Kingdom.



1. INTRODUCTION

Rresearch and development (R&D) intensity targets have become widely used across the European Union and OECD countries in recent decades (Carvalho, 2018). Governments set R&D intensity targets (Gross Domestic Expenditure on R&D (GERD) as a percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)) to foster innovation and, ultimately, increase competitiveness and economic growth. This relation is complex and not linear, and the effects may take several years to unfold. The analysis of this relation is beyond the scope of this study, but it is worth noting that Governments believe that increasing R&D intensity has multiplying effects in the economy, and increasing R&D spending, both public and private, is key to face the structural economic and societal changes in the coming years related to digitalization, climate change or energy transition. Therefore, the challenge of any Government is to be able to increase R&D intensity to the desired level.

In 2017 the UK Government has set the target of spending 2.4% of GDP in R&D by 2027 and 3% in the longer term, aiming to place the UK in the top quartile of OECD countries (The UK Government, 2017, p.66). It is a challenging target to achieve since the UK's global R&D intensity has been stagnant at around 1.6% - 1.7% of GDP for more than two decades.¹ No explicit R&D target was set for the business sector, but business expenditure on R&D (BERD) is pivotal to achieve the 2.4% target. An unwritten economic law supported by empirical evidence and followed by many Governments indicates that BERD should be at least two thirds of GERD, preferably higher, particularly if a country aims to be among the top R&D performing countries as the UK does. A country's industrial structure is an important factor in its ability to increase R&D intensity.

Industries have very different R&D intensities (R&D as a share of value added) (Galindo-Rueda & Verger, 2016), and the potential contribution of high R&D intensity industries (e.g., pharmaceutical or chemical) to increase business R&D intensity is much higher than low R&D intensity industries (e.g., construction or transportation industries). So, it is expected that countries specializing in high and medium-high R&D intensity industries will have higher business R&D intensities than those that do not. Sheehan and Wyckoff (2003, p.20)

¹ On 29 September UK's Office for National Statistics published an article pointing towards a very substantial upward revision in Business Expenditures on R&D between 2018-2020 due to a methodological improvement. This revision suggests the "value of expenditure on R&D performed by UK businesses according to ONS' BERD survey were £15.0 billion, £15.6 billion, and £16.1 billion higher in 2018, 2019 and 2020 respectively than previously estimated" (Office for National Statistics, 2022, p.3).



conclude that "countries with high R&D intensities have a high share of their business R&D and a significant part of their economic output in high technology sectors".

How do R&D intensities of UK industries compare with those of the top performing OECD countries? An answer to this question allows a more targeted intervention of the UK R&D policy to increase business R&D spending. So, the purpose of this article is to compare business R&D intensity at the industry level in the UK with those of the OECD countries that exhibit a GERD to GDP ratio above 2% to inform policy thinking. It is aimed to compare R&D intensity (R&D as a share of value added) by industry in the UK with the top five OECD countries with the highest R&D intensity in each industry. To do that, we will use data from the OECD ANBERD database (BERD data by industry), and STAN database (value added data by industry) for 16 OECD countries with R&D intensities above 2% plus the UK and for more than forty industries. This hopefully will help policymakers to identify industries with relative low levels of R&D intensity that might be the target of specific measures to stimulate R&D spending. The study does not aim to address the causes for the observed differences in R&D intensity performance across industries and countries.

The structure of the paper is as follows. Section 2 briefly addresses the concept of R&D intensity, the limitations of aggregate R&D intensity indicators and the importance of industrial structure to increase business R&D spending. Section 3 describes the methodology employed in this study. Section 4 compares the structure of BERD in the UK with the other OECD countries to identify structural and industry differences that might be relevant for R&D policy. Section 5 compares the R&D intensity (BERD as a share of value added) in the UK with the other OECD countries by industry and level of R&D intensity to understand the relative performance of each industry in relation to the top performing countries. A set of tables with detailed data on R&D intensity by industry is provided in the Appendix.

2. R&D INTENSITY: MORE THAN A BUZZWORD

R&D intensity is more than a buzzword. Governments across the European Union and OECD countries have set R&D intensity targets over recent decades because R&D is seen as a key driver of innovation. Prior research found some support for a positive correlation between the two (Becker & Pain, 2008.), but if the main rationale for setting R&D intensity targets is that it is considered "a proxy for innovation performance" (OECD, 2021, p.5) it may lead to the misuse of this R&D policy instrument due to lack of understanding of the innovation process and the role the composition of R&D may play in that process, namely



the composition of business R&D. R&D is an important input to the innovation process, more important in some industries than others, but it is one of several sources of innovation. R&D targets should be a part of a larger strategy to foster innovation in a country and be set taking into consideration a country's industrial structure and the differences in R&D spending across industries. Otherwise, it may prove difficult to achieve the policy targets (Carvalho, 2018). This section makes a brief overview of three issues: the definition of R&D intensity, the limitations of aggregate R&D indicators and the importance of industrial structure to business R&D.

The concept of R&D intensity

R&D intensity is a widely used concept for setting R&D targets, for making international comparisons and for researching purposes. Generally, it refers to the ratio of R&D expenditure to an output variable, and can be measured at different levels (Smith, 1994). At the country level, R&D intensity is the ratio of a country's R&D expenditure (GERD) to its GDP or, for the business sector, the ratio of a country's business R&D expenditure (BERD) to its GDP (or gross value added). At the industry level, R&D intensity is the ratio of an industry's R&D expenditure to its gross value added (GVA) (hereafter, value added or VA) or the ratio of an industry's R&D expenditure to its gross output. At the enterprise level, R&D intensity is the ratio of a firm's R&D expenditure to its sales. Here, we are mostly using the concept of R&D intensity at the industry level and value added as the output variable. According to OECD, GVA "is the value of output less the value of intermediate consumption; it is a measure of the contribution to GDP made by an individual producer, industry or sector". Value added is a better variable than gross output because is "less sensitive to sector specific reliance on material inputs like raw goods" (Galindo-Rueda & Verger, 2016, p.7).

Limitations of aggregate R&D indicators

Governments normally set R&D intensity targets at country level, less frequently at sector level, namely for the business sector, and rarely (if ever) at industry level. R&D intensity targets are often used as a flagship of a country's science, technology and innovation strategy. Statistics show that in top R&D performing countries more than two thirds of GERD comes from the business sector.² So, when a Government sets national R&D

² The ratio of BERD to GERD in 14 of the 17 countries under analysis in this study ranged from 66.4% (France) to 79.2% (Korea) in 2020. In Israel it was 90.3 percent.



intensity targets (GERD/GDP) is implicitly assuming a business R&D target too, particularly when it has the ambition to become a world innovation leader or a top R&D spending country. But, national R&D intensity targets, namely business R&D targets, have some drawbacks, For van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie (2008) there is "no basis" for setting business R&D targets at the country level since there are "critical aspects that aggregate R&D indicators do not capture" (OECD, 2021, p.6) and "it diverts attention from the vast differences in R&D spending across industries" (Mazzucato & Lazonick, 2010). R&D tends to be concentrated in a small number of firms, sectors and/or regions but the aggregate R&D measure does not capture this trend (Rakic et al., 2021). Direct comparisons of business R&D intensities are flawed because aggregate business R&D spending is heavily influenced by the industrial structure of each country (Reinstaller & Unterlass, 2012; Kayal, 2015), that is, the mix of low and high-R&D industries it possesses (Smith, 1994). Low aggregate R&D intensity in a country does not reflect low R&D intensity within all industries (lorwerth, 2005). However, despite these important limitations of aggregate R&D intensity indicators, there is no systematic production of statistics on R&D intensity by industry to allow international comparison as it is frequently done with business R&D intensity (BERD as share of GDP), and the available data to carry out such analysis are quite incomplete, as will see below. As a result, R&D policy analysis and R&D reports are still very much based on the comparison of R&D intensity indicators at the country level (e.g., by performing sector, by type of R&D), and much less based on the comparison of R&D intensity by industry.

R&D intensity and industry structure

A country's sectoral composition of economic activity is likely to have an important influence on BERD and R&D intensity indicators. The study by Mathieu and van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie (2010, p.59) concluded that business R&D intensity is strongly linked to a country's industrial structure. Industries have very different R&D intensities and the aggregate business R&D intensity of a country will reflect its particular composition of industries (Cincera & Veugelers, 2013). High R&D intensity industries such as *air and spacecraft and related machinery* may have R&D intensities of 30% or more, while low R&D intensity industries such as *construction* have R&D intensities most likely lower than 1% (Galindo-Rueda & Verger, 2016). Countries with high R&D intensities tend to have a high percentage of their business R&D in high technology industries (Sheehan & Wyckoff, 2003). The experience of Australia exemplifies how a country's industrial structure – significant mining and aggregate R&D intensity: "Given Australia's industrial structure – significant mining and rural sectors and less high-tech manufacturing – [has] relatively low overall R&D



relative to GDP. [A]djusting for its industrial structure Australia's R&D spending is not a significantly smaller share of GDP than the OECD average" (Parliament of Australia, 2007, p.142). The issue is also important at sector level, particularly the manufacturing and service sectors, which account for most of business R&D expenditure in OECD and EU countries. Typically, service industries tend to invest less in R&D because it is more difficult to scale service activities for a global market and companies in sectors with lower degrees of competition or those that produce commoditized products have less need/capability to differentiate themselves from rivals (BEIS, 2020, p.11, 23). This helps to explain why in the top performing OECD countries most business R&D expenditure comes from the manufacturing sector.

To increase overall R&D spending and reach policy targets, R&D policy needs to take into consideration the country's industrial structure and the many determinants of business R&D (Becker & Pain, 2008; Moncada-Paternò-Castello, 2016) whose importance varies from industry to industry. According to Sheehan and Wyckoff (2003, p.9, 35), achieving R&D targets "can demand significant structural and regulatory change beyond those that are immediately apparent in what appears to be a straightforward financial goal", whose implementation "require policy initiatives across a broad range of domains".

3. DATA AND METHODS

This study aims to calculate and compare R&D intensity by industry in the UK with 16 other OECD countries. For an industry, R&D intensity is defined as the ratio of an industry's R&D expenditure to its gross value added (GVA). Industries are classified according to the International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC), Revision 4 (United Nations, 2008) (See Table A1 in the Appendix). The level of industry disaggregation and the number of industries covered by this study is related to the availability of data on R&D by industry and value added in OECD ANBERD and STAN databases. The study analyses 47 industries at 2-digit and 3-digit level ISIC Rev.4.³ Industries are grouped by R&D intensity according to Galindo-Rueda and Verger's (2016) taxonomy.

The data source is the OECD. Data on business R&D (BERD) by industry comes from the ANBERD database (<u>http://oe.cd/anberd</u>) and data on gross value added (GVA), at current prices, by industry comes from the STructural ANalysis (STAN) database

³ We use the word industry to refer to segments of the economy with different levels of disaggregation (2-digit and 3-digit ISIC codes). Industry and sector are used here indistinctively.



(<u>http://oe.cd/stan</u>). All data were accessed on 6 July 2022. To calculate R&D intensity (R&D as a share of GVA) it is necessary to use the ANBERD and STAN databases together. As the STAN database has data up to the year 2019, and not for all countries, the calculation of R&D intensity is limited to 2019 or the most recent year for which data are available. Data were processed using Excel software.

The UK has set the target of spending 2.4% of GDP in R&D by 2027. For comparison purposes, we have considered appropriate to select all the OECD countries with R&D intensities (GERD/GDP) of 2% or more in 2020, which resulted in the following list of countries (acronym): Austria (AUT), Belgium (BEL), Denmark (DNK), Finland (FIN), France (FRA), Germany (DEU), Iceland (ISL), Israel (ISR), Japan (JPN), Korea (KOR), the Netherlands (NLD), Norway (NOR), Slovenia (SVN), Sweden (SWE), Switzerland (CHE), United Kingdom (GBR), United States (USA). To compare R&D intensity by industry between the UK with the other 16 OECD countries, two types of analysis have been carried out. First, R&D intensity of each of the 47 industries was calculated for a ten-year period, from 2010 to 2019, with the purpose of trend analysis. Second, five-year average R&D intensity was calculated for the 2015-2019 period (or latest years) with the purpose of ranking countries.

The study has some limitations that should be noted. One is that the most recent data are from 2019, which does not allow to capture the recent evolution of the variables used. Another limitation is that the value added data is not available for all countries up to 2019, implying that the comparative analysis between countries for a given industry is not always done for the same years for all countries. Yet another limitation has to do with the impossibility of comparing all 17 countries for all industries due to lack of data. In several countries there are no data for specific industries implying that the analysis is carried out with a smaller number of countries, which in some cases is less than half of the total number of countries under analysis. The lack of data is particularly significant in the cases of Israel, Sweden and Switzerland. Finally, it is important to note that the R&D to value added ratio may vary depending on the change in the numerator (R&D expenditure), the denominator (added value) or both. For example, R&D intensity may increase only because value added has decreased and R&D expenditure has remained constant. It is a general problem of ratios. The study somewhat minimizes this issue by doing a 5-year average R&D intensity analysis.



4. STRUCTURE OF BERD

This section looks at the composition of business expenditure on R&D (BERD) and examines the relative contribution of each industry to the total R&D spending by the business sector in the UK and the other OECD countries under analysis. First, it looks at the contribution of major economic sectors to BERD, then it breaks down business R&D spending by level of R&D intensity, and finally compares the contribution of industries to BERD in the UK with the top five OECD countries.

Structure of BERD by sector

Figure 1 compares the structure of BERD broken down by major economic sector at two points in time, ten years apart, in 17 OECD countries. Some conclusions can be drawn: in 2019, the manufacturing sector and the service sector together accounted for more than 90% of BERD in all countries and 97% in 13 of the 17 countries; the other sectors (agriculture and forestry, mining and quarrying, electricity and water, construction) account together for a small percentage of BERD, except Norway (9-10%), Iceland and the Netherlands (around 5% each); in 2019, the manufacturing sector represented more than 50% of BERD in 11 countries; the relative weight of the service sector in total business R&D spending increased in all the countries in 2019 compared to 2010; in 2019, the service sector represented 60.5% of BERD in the UK and only in three other countries – Norway, Iceland and Israel – that percentage was higher, all of them much smaller economies; in 2019, the relative weight of the manufacturing sector in total business R&D spending exceeded that of the United Kingdom in 13 countries by between 32 and 137 percent.

In the UK the weight of the manufacturing and service sectors R&D in total business R&D spending is inverse to that of most of the OECD countries with R&D intensities (GERD/GDP) of 2% or more. The fact that most of business R&D spending comes from the service sector in the UK is not new but it is a structural factor that may help to explain the recent evolution of the R&D intensity indicator. Sheehan and Wyckoff (2003, p.21) consider that in the UK "declines in manufacturing R&D intensity (...) were not matched by increases in the service sector"; "services sectors tend to invest less in traditional R&D activities than manufacturing industries", which is compatible with highly innovative service sectors, as is the case of UK (OECD, 2021, p. 6,15).



Figure 1: Structure of BERD by major economic sector in 17 OECD countries in 2010 and 2019* (%)



■ Manufacturing □ Services □ Other sectors

Source: Table A2 in the Appendix. The values for Switzerland, United States, Iceland and Israel do not take into account the missing data for some sectors (see Table A2 in the Appendix).

Structure of BERD by level of R&D intensity

Figure 2 shows the 2015–2019 average business R&D spending by level of R&D intensity in 13 OECD countries according to the Galindo-Rueda and Verger's (2016) taxonomy. Some conclusions can be drawn from Figure 2: the UK ranks below average in business R&D spending in high R&D intensity industries (8th), medium-high R&D intensity industries (9th), and medium R&D intensity industries (12th); it ranks 9th if one adds up the shares of high and medium-high R&D intensity industries; the UK is the fifth country with the highest share of business R&D expenditure in the medium-low plus low R&D intensity industries. On average, between 2015 and 2019 66.7% of BERD came from high or medium-high R&D intensity industries, which is higher than France (61.7%), the Netherlands (63.0%) or Belgium (65.5%), but much lower than Germany (87.5%), Korea (85.6%), Japan (84.2%), Iceland (83.5%) or the United States (79,5%).







Source: Calculations based on OECD ANBERD data (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Except for France and the Netherlands (2013-2017). Israel, Sweden, Switzerland and Slovenia were excluded due to missing data.

Structure of BERD by industry

As seen above, the manufacturing and service sectors are the two major sources of business R&D, which together accounted for at least 95% of BERD in 16 of the 17 OECD countries in 2019. Within the manufacturing sector, two industries – *chemical, rubber and plastics* (ISIC 19–23) and *computer, electronic, electrical equipment, machinery and motor vehicles* (ISIC 26–30) – together accounted for between 84.2% (UK) and 95.4% (Switzerland) of total R&D in manufacturing in 2019, except Island (45.6%) and Norway (63.8%). Figure 3 shows that, in 2019, the *computer, electronic, electrical equipment, machinery and motor vehicles* industry (ISIC 26–30) is the main source of R&D in the manufacturing sector in 14 countries, accounting for between 7.6% (Iceland) and 72.0% (Korea) of BERD, while the *chemical, rubber and plastics* industry (ISIC 19–23) is the main source of I&D in the manufacturing sector in Denmark (26.5% of BERD), Belgium (31.5%) and Switzerland (36.7%). Table 1 breaks down these two broad sectors by industry and shows the 5-year average percentage of R&D spending of each industry in the top five



OECD countries and the UK. The far right column (Avg) in Table 1 shows the 2010-2019 average percentage of R&D spending of each industry for the top five OECD countries. Table 1 shows that the UK's performance is rather poor in comparison to the other 16 OECD countries and the top five in particular. The UK's *chemical, rubber and plastics* (ISIC 19–23) sector accounted for an average of 4.7% of BERD between 2015 and 2019, placing the UK in 15th place only ahead of Israel and Iceland, while the top five countries accounted for between 20.5% and 36.9% of BERD, having a ten-year average around 28%. The UK's *computer, electronic, electrical equipment, machinery and motor vehicles* sector (ISIC 26–30) accounted for an average of 28.5% of BERD between 2015 and 2019, ranking 11 out of 17 countries, while the top five countries accounted for between 45.3% and 72.8% of BERD, having a ten-year average around 58.7%.



Figure 3: Breakdown of BERD by manufacturing industries (ISIC 10-33) in 2019 (%)

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD database (accessed on 6 July 2022).



Within the *chemical, rubber and plastics* sector (ISIC 19–23) stands out the *chemical and pharmaceutical* industry (ISIC 20 and 21) in all the countries under analysis in 2019 (see Table A3 in the Appendix). In the UK it accounted for 3.7% of BERD in 2019 which compares to 36.7% in Switzerland, 29.0% in Belgium, 20.5% in Denmark, 19.6% in the US and 16.1% in Japan. Within the *computer, electronic, electrical equipment, machinery and motor vehicles* sector (ISIC 26–30), the *computer, electronic and optical* industry (ISIC 26) accounted for 50.8% of BERD in Korea in 2019, 24.6% in Finland, 18.7% in Japan, 17.3% in the US and 4.9% in the UK; the *motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers* industry (ISIC 29) accounted for 37.3% of BERD in Germany in 2019, 27.1% in Japan, 15.6% in Sweden, 11.8% in Korea, 9.8% in the UK and 7.5% in Austria; the *electrical equipment* industry (ISIC 27) accounted for 14.9% of BERD in Slovenia in 2019, 10% in Austria, 6.1% in the Netherlands, 5.5% in Finland and 0.7% in the UK; the *other transport equipment* industry (ISIC 30) accounted for 10.4% of BERD in Sweden in 2019, 8.8% in France, 7.6% in the UK, 4.3% in the US, and 3.6% in Austria (see Table A3 in the Appendix for detailed data).

Table 1: Business R&D expenditure by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| | ISIC Industries | | ndustr | <u>y R&E</u> |) / BEF | RD (% |) | Avg** |
|-----|---|------|--------|------------------|---------|-------|------|-------|
| | 10-12 Food products, beverages and tobacco | NLD | NOR | BEL | ISL | JPN | GBR | |
| | | 4.6 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 2.8 |
| | 13-15 Textiles, wearing apparel, leather and | JPN | SVN | KOR | BEL | FRA | GBR | |
| ب | related products | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| cto | 16-18 Wood, paper, printing and reproduction of | FIN | SWE | NOR | SVN | AUT | GBR | |
| Sē | recorded media | 2.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.2 |
| bg | 19-23 Chemical, rubber, plastics, fuel products and | CHE | BEL | SVN | DNK | USA | GBR | |
| uri | other non-metallic mineral products | 36.9 | 32.6 | 31.1 | 29.3 | 20.5 | 4.7 | 28.0 |
| act | 24-25 Basic metals and fabricated metal products, | AUT | NOR | BEL | SVN | SWE | GBR | |
| Juf | except machinery and equipment | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 4.3 |
| lar | 26-30 Computer, electronic and optical products, | KOR | DEU | JPN | FIN | AUT | GBR | |
| 2 | electrical equi-pment, machinery, motor vehicles | 72.8 | 64.4 | 59.2 | 47.0 | 45.3 | 28.5 | 58.7 |
| | and other transport equipment | | | | | | | |
| | 31-33 Furniture, other manufacturing and repair | ISL | USA | DNK | AUT | FRA | GBR | |
| | and installation of machinery and equipment | 7.8 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.7 |
| | 45-47 Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor | NLD | SWE | FRA | DNK | AUT | GBR | |
| | vehicles and motorcycles | 6.3 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.9 |
| | 49-53 Transportation and storage | NLD | NOR | USA | BEL | FRA | GBR | |
| | | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| ŝ | 58-63 Information and communication | ISR | NOR | USA | SWE | ISL | GBR | |
| sec | | 44.0 | 27.0 | 24.1 | 17.4 | 15.7 | 15.0 | 22.9 |
| ě | 64-66 Financial and insurance activities | DNK | NOR | BEL | NLD | FIN | GBR | |
| Ξ | | 12.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 4.8 |
| Sel | 68-82 Real estate activities; professional, scientific, | GBR | ISR | FRA | ISL | NOR | BEL | |
| ••• | technical, administrative and support service | 34.8 | 33.7 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 25.9 | 22.9 | 31.8 |
| | activities | | | | | | | |
| | 84-99 Community, social and personal services | ISR | GBR | ISL | FIN | NLD | DNK | |
| | | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| | | | | | | | | |



Source: Calculations based on OECD ANBERD data (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Except for France and the Netherlands (2013-2017), and Slovenia (2012-2016 for ISIC 19-23). (**) 2010-2019 average for the top five OECD countries.

The service sector is divided in two major groups of industries: services of the business enterprise (ISIC 45-82), which accounted for between 96.8% (UK) and 99.9% (Denmark) of the business R&D of the service sector in 2019, and community, social and personal services (ISIC 84-99), which accounted for a tiny share of the business R&D of the service sector in 2019 in all the countries under analysis. The performance of the UK is better in the service sector than in the manufacturing sector, though perhaps less than expected as more than 60% of business R&D in 2019 came from the service sector. Of the six industries presented in Table 1, the UK is among the top five countries in just two of them, ranking 1st place in both of them. The UK's real estate activities; professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support service activities (ISIC 68-82) accounted for an average of 34.8% of BERD between 2015 and 2019, which is above the three countries that have a higher percentage of BERD coming from the service sector, Israel (33.7%), Iceland (26.8%) and Norway (25.9%), and higher than the ten-year average of 31.8% for the top five countries. In the UK and in Israel the community, social and personal services (ISIC 84-99) accounted for 1.8% of BERD between 2015 and 2019, which is higher than the ten-year average of 1.3% for the top five countries.

Figure 4 breaks down the *services of the business enterprise* (ISIC 45-82) by services industries for 2019. In 2019 the *real estate activities; professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support service activities* (ISIC 68-82) and *information and communication* (ISIC 58-63) industries accounted together for most of the business R&D expenditure of the *services of the business enterprise* (ISIC 45-82) in all the countries under analysis except Denmark (Figure 4).





Figure 4: Breakdown of BERD by service industries (ISIC 45-82) in 2019 (%)



The real estate activities; professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support service activities industry (ISIC 68-82) accounted for 47.6% of BERD in Iceland in 2019, 34.9% in the UK, 32.2% in Israel, 26.5%% in France, 25.6% in Norway and 24% in Belgium. The *information and communication* industry (ISIC 58-63) accounted for 47.7% of BERD in Israel in 2019, 28.4% in Norway, 26.6% in the US, 24.8% in Sweden, 24.8% in Iceland and 15.5% in the UK. The *wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles* industry (ISIC 45–47) accounted for 6.4% of BERD in France in 2019, 6.3% in the Netherlands, 6.2% in Sweden, 5.1% in the UK and 4.7% in Denmark; the *financial and insurance* industry (ISIC 64–66) accounted for 14.9% of BERD in Denmark in 2019, 4.7% in Norway, 4.2% in Belgium, 3.5% in the Netherlands, 2.7% in the UK and 2.4% in Iceland; the *transportation and storage* industry (ISIC 49–53) accounted for 2.1% of BERD in the US in 2019, 1.1% in the Netherlands, 1% in Norway, 0.7% in Belgium, 0.5% in France and 0.2% in the UK.



5. BUSINESS R&D INTENSITY

This section looks at the business R&D intensity (R&D as a percentage of value added) by industry and examines how much of the value added generated by each industry is spent in R&D activities in the UK and other 16 OECD countries. It addresses the issue in three ways. First, by comparing the ten-year average business R&D intensity in major economic sectors in the UK and other OECD countries; second, by determining the percent difference between the UK's five-year average R&D intensity and the five-year R&D intensity of all the countries under analysis by industry (ISIC 2-digit and 3-digit codes); and, by comparing the UK's five-year average R&D intensity with the top five OECD countries by industry and level of R&D intensity.

R&D intensity by sector

Table 2 shows the ten-year average business R&D intensity (R&D as a share of value added) of major economic sectors in all the OECD countries under analysis. As expected, there is a large disparity in R&D intensity values between sectors and, within each sector, between countries. The manufacturing sector has by far the highest R&D intensity of all sectors in all countries except Israel. Between 2010 and 2019, the R&D intensity of the manufacturing sector in the US was 11.4% on average, 10.9% in Japan, 10.7% in Sweden, 10.1% in Korea, 9.9% in Finland and 4.5% in the UK. The service sector has the second highest R&D intensity and Israel stands out with an average score of 7.1% between 2010 and 2019, followed by Iceland (2.6%), Denmark (2.3%), Sweden (2%), Norway (2%) and the UK (1.5%). With very few exceptions, the R&D intensity of *agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing* (ISIC 01–03), *electricity, gas and water* (ISIC 35–39), and *construction* (ISIC 41–43) sectors was below 1% on average in the period 2010-2019. The overall R&D intensity (BERD/value added) reached 6% in Israel, 4.4% in Korea, 3.7% in Finland, 3.6% in Sweden and about 1.8% in the UK.



| Table 2: R&D intensity of major economic sectors in 17 OECD countries, 20 | 10-2019* |
|---|----------|
| average (%) | |

| Sector Country | 01-03 Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | 05-09 Mining and quarrying | 10-33 Manufacturing | 35-39 Electricity, gas and water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities | 41-43 Construction | 45-99 Services (**) | Total (**) |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------|---------------------|------------|
| ISR | — | 0.23 | 7.05 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 7.11 | 6.02 |
| KOR | 0.12 | 1.03 | 10.06 | 1.39 | 1.09 | 0.78 | 4.39 |
| FIN | 0.06 | 1.31 | 9.88 | 0.17 | 0.64 | 1.80 | 3.70 |
| SWE | 0.32 | 0.74 | 10.67 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 2.04 | 3.62 |
| JPN | 0.05 | 1.22 | 10.86 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.67 | 3.50 |
| DNK | 0.40 | 0.19 | 8.83 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 2.33 | 3.32 |
| AUT | 0.12 | 0.64 | 7.89 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 1.90 | 3.19 |
| USA | — | 1.08 | 11.40 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 1.52 | 3.18 |
| DEU | 0.64 | 0.38 | 8.53 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.77 | 3.15 |
| CHE | — | — | 7.78 | — | _ | 1.45 | 2.94 |
| BEL | 0.50 | 1.42 | 7.63 | 0.83 | 0.28 | 1.64 | 2.72 |
| SVN | 0.04 | 3.01 | 5.99 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 1.36 | 2.55 |
| FRA | 0.52 | 0.71 | 6.95 | 0.70 | 0.12 | 1.74 | 2.47 |
| ISL | 0.35 | 0.35 | 2.99 | 1.09 | 0.02 | 2.61 | 2.13 |
| GBR | 0.13 | 0.85 | 4.54 | 0.23 | 0.10 | 1.51 | 1.76 |
| NLD | 1.51 | 0.21 | 5.85 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.94 | 1.65 |
| NOR | 1.18 | 0.31 | 4.47 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 2.00 | 1.52 |

Source: Based on OECD ANBERD and STAN data (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) 2019 or latest year available. (**) It excludes the value added of the ISIC (68 84-88, 97-98) industries as recommended by OECD (2022). (–) Missing data.

Figure 5 shows the relative position of UK 's R&D intensity on a 0-100 points scale by economic sector, where zero (0) corresponds to the lowest value of R&D intensity of a given economic sector and one hundred (100) corresponds to the highest value of R&D intensity of that sector. In the *agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing* sector (ISIC 01-03), for instance, the lowest value is 0.04% (Slovenia = 0) and the highest is 1.51% (Netherlands = 100). The UK's R&D intensity of 0.13% corresponds to 6.2 points on this new scale, that is, the value of UK's R&D intensity of the *agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing* sector (ISIC 01-03) is just 6.2% above the country with the worst performance. Overall, the performance of UK is quite poor in all individual sectors and the economy as a whole since its best position is 23.4 points (manufacturing sector), but there may be outliers that may affect the interpretation of the results. The observations of the manufacturing sector (ISIC 10–33) are distributed along the scale and the UK's score (18.4) is likely to assess well its performance compared to the other OECD countries. In the case of the



service sector (ISIC 45–99), however, most observations are located in the first third of the scale and then there is an outlier (Israel) which affects significantly the overall analysis. In principle, the results would be more consistent if Israel was taken out of the analysis. But, on the other hand, in Israel like in the UK much of the business R&D comes from the service sector and thus Israel might be seen as an example of what the UK might do to increase business R&D intensity. The same reasoning can be done for the overall R&D intensity (variable "Total" in Figure 5).

Figure 5: UK's R&D intensity relative position on a 0-100 points scale, by economic sector



Source: Based on data from Table 2.

Percent difference between the UK's R&D intensity and top five OECD countries average by industry

Figure 6 shows the percent difference between five-year average R&D intensity in the UK and five-year average R&D intensity in the top five OECD countries by industry and level of R&D intensity, for the 2015-2019 period or the latest years available for each country (see Tables A4 to A50 in the Appendix). The UK's average R&D intensity (R&D/value added) was higher than that of the top five OECD countries in just 4 of the 45 industries, one medium-high R&D intensity industry – *weapons and ammunition* (ISIC 252), 72.6% higher – and three low R&D intensity industries – *audiovisual and broadcasting activities* (ISIC 59–60), 137.7% higher, *administrative and support service activities* (ISIC 77–82),



22.5% higher, and accommodation and food service activities (ISIC 55–56), 95.7% higher. All the high R&D intensity industries in the UK had lower R&D intensity than those of the top five OECD countries, and the percent variation ranging from –20.8% (*air and spacecraft and related machinery*, ISIC 303) to –87.4% (*pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products*, ISIC 21). Six of the seven medium-high R&D intensity industries also had a relative bad performance in the period, with percentage variations between – 9.9% (*motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers*, ISIC 29) and –73% (*electrical equipment*, ISIC 27). However, this does not mean that R&D intensity of high and medium-high intensity R&D industries in the United Kingdom is lower than the cut-off point for each industry (see below), as the cases of *scientific research and development* (42.4% R&D intensity) and *motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers* (16.5%) industries show.

Figure 6: Percent difference between 5-year average R&D intensity* in the UK and in the top five OECD countries, by industry and level of R&D intensity (%)



Source: Based on data from Tables A4 to A50 in the Appendix. (*) In the 2015-2019 period or latest years available. Information is missing for two medium-high R&D intensity industries: *military fighting vehicles* (ISIC 304) and *railroad equipment and transport equipment n.e.c.* (ISIC 302+309).



It should be noted that similar percent differences of R&D intensity in industries with different R&D intensity correspond to decreasing absolute differences from high to low R&D intensity industries. For example, a difference of -87.6% in the *pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products* industry (ISIC 21) correspond to -25.3 percentage points difference in R&D intensity while a difference of -88.9% in the *financial and insurance* industry (ISIC 64–66) correspond to -3.1 percentage points difference in R&D intensity. This is important from a policy perspective because high-technology industries offer considerably more room than low-technology industries for increasing R&D intensity (Sheehan & Wyckoff, 2003, p.22).

R&D intensity by industry in the UK and top five OECD countries

The Galindo-Rueda and Verger's (2016) study suggest the following cut-off points of R&D intensity (R&D as a percentage of value added) to classify industries: R&D/VA > 20% (high R&D intensity industry); 5% < R&D/VA \leq 20% (medium-high R&D intensity industry); 2% < R&D/VA \leq 5% (medium R&D intensity industry); 0.5% < R&D/VA \leq 2% (medium-low R&D intensity industry); and, 0% < R&D/VA \leq 0.5% (low R&D intensity industry). See page 10 (Table 1) of Galindo-Rueda and Verger's (2016) study for information on average R&D as a percentage of value added by industry. Tables 3 to 7 below group industries by level of R&D intensity and compare the five-year average R&D intensity by industry in the UK with the top five OECD countries. Tables A4 to A50 in the Appendix complement the results shown in Tables 3 to 7 by presenting detailed results about the R&D intensity of each industry for the 2010-2019 period and for all the countries under analysis.

High R&D intensity industries

Table 3 compares five-year average R&D intensity of high R&D intensity industries in the UK with the top five OECD countries.⁴ The ratio of R&D to value added of these industries is expected to be at least 20%. In the UK, average R&D intensity in the *air and spacecraft and related machinery* (ISIC 33) and *scientific research and development* (ISIC 72) industries, 18.2% and 42.4% respectively, are within the expected range, but in the other high R&D intensity industries the performance is quite poor compared to the top five

⁴ Figure A1 in the Appendix shows the evolution of R&D intensity in the 2010 -2019 period of each high R&D intensive industry for the top 5 countries plus the UK.



countries and the expected results for these industries. R&D intensity of *air and spacecraft and related machinery* industry (ISIC 33) showed a tendency to decrease between 2010 and 2019 in countries like Austria, France, the US and Germany (see Table A4 in the Appendix). Germany's average R&D intensity in this industry declined steadily from 32.4% in 2011 to 13.1% in 2019 and the US's average R&D intensity reached a minimum of 14% in 2019, both figures well below the cut-off point for this industry. On the other hand, Korea's average R&D intensity showed a tendency to increase in recent years, more than tripling the value between 2014 and 2018. The UK's average R&D intensity in this industry increased up to 2014, reaching a peak of 23.5%, then declined to a minimum of 14.3% in 2017, and increased again thereafter. The UK's R&D intensity in the *scientific research and development* industry (ISIC 72) showed a slight tendency to decline in recent years but its value has been in the range of 40-45% (see Table A10 in the Appendix), even so lower than the average of the top five.

The UK's *pharmaceuticals* industry (ISIC 21) average R&D intensity was 3.6% in the 2015-2019 period, which is rather lower than the cut-off point for this industry and compares negatively with 28.9% of the top five countries average. Japan's and Belgium's average R&D intensity was around 30% in that period and the US's average R&D intensity in this industry increased steadily from 35.9% in 2011 to 49.5% in 2019 (see Table A5 in the Appendix). The UK's *software publishing* industry (ISIC 582) average R&D intensity was 2.8% between 2014 and 2018 with a slight tendency to increase. This compares negatively with the average of 18.3% of the top five countries, but the real figure is likely higher due to missing data. For methodological reasons, Iceland was not included in the analysis, but Iceland's R&D intensity in this industry increased from 36.5% in 2015 to 52.4% in 2018 (see Table A11 in the Appendix).



Table 3: High R&D intensity industries: R&D intensity by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| ISIC Industries R&D / Value added (%) | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|
| 303 Air and spacecraft and related machinery | AUT | FRA | USA | GBR | KOR | DEU | | | |
| | 39.4 | 24.1 | 19.7 | 18.2 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 23.4 | | |
| 21 Pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and | USA | JPN | BEL | SVN | DEU | GBR | | | |
| botanical products | 44.0 | 30.9 | 30.2 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 3.6 | 28.9 | | |
| 26 Computer, electronic and optical products | ISL | FRA | SWE | BEL | FIN | GBR | | | |
| | 41.2 | 32.7 | 32.7 | 32.3 | 32.2 | 8.9 | 34.2 | | |
| 261-264+268 ICT manufacturing | USA | FRA | BEL | JPN | AUT | GBR | | | |
| | 46.7 | 37.5 | 34.3 | 29.7 | 28.2 | 6.7 | 35.3 | | |
| 266 Irradiation, electromedical and | BEL | ISR | DEU | DNK | FRA | GBR | | | |
| electrotherapeutic equipment | 71.3 | 38.0 | 28.3 | 25.3 | 19.9 | 10.2 | 36.6 | | |
| 265+267 Other electronic and optical products | KOR | JPN | FRA | DNK | NOR | GBR | | | |
| | 57.2 | 41.4 | 29.5 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 10.0 | 32.9 | | |
| 72 Scientific research and development | ISR | AUT | ISL | BEL | GBR | NOR | | | |
| | 100.0 | 91.7 | 75.4 | 66.3 | 42.4 | 40.5 | 75.1 | | |
| 582 Software publishing | SVN | NOR | USA | FRA | DNK | GBR | | | |
| | 29.1 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 14.9 | 12.6 | 2.8 | 18.3 | | |

Source: Tables A4 to A11 in the Appendix. (*) Or latest years available. (**) Average R&D intensity of the top five countries.

The average R&D intensity of the *computer, electronic and optical products* industry (ISIC 26) in the UK was 8.9% in the 2015-2019 period, placing the UK last among the 17 OECD countries and far from the average of 34.2%. While Iceland's average R&D intensity in this industry showed a tendency to increase, reaching a peak of 50% in 2018, Sweden's R&D intensity plummeted from 86.4% in 2015 to 9.9% in 2019, and Finland's R&D intensity fell from 72.4% in 2012 to 31.8% in 2018 (see Table A6 in the Appendix). The average R&D intensity of the *ICT manufacturing* industry (ISIC 261 to 264+268) in the UK is rather low (6.7%) compared to the cut-off point and the top five countries average (34.2%). The US's R&D intensity increased from 33.1% in 2010 to 48.9% in 2019 but France's, Belgium's, Japan's and Austria's R&D intensity in this industry was in the 28%-35% average range over the last five years (see Table A7 in the Appendix). The UK's average R&D spending as a share of value added in the *irradiation, electromedical and electrotherapeutic equipment* (ISIC 266)⁵ and *other electronic and optical products* (ISIC 265+267) industries was also low (around 10%) compared to the top five countries average (above 32%). In

⁵ The R&D intensity of this industry (ISIC 266) recorded very abnormal values in countries such as Belgium, Iceland and the Netherlands, reaching values well above 100% in some years (see Table A8 in the Appendix). This can happen, for example, at an early stage of industry development in a country or when a country's industry lags far behind the most competitive countries and, to catch up, R&D spending tends to be disproportionally high in comparison to the added value generated by the industry.



both industries, most data for 2018 and 2019 of the top five countries are missing (see Tables A8 and A9 in the Appendix).

Medium-high R&D intensity industries

Table 4 compares five-year average R&D intensity of medium-high R&D intensity industries in the UK with the top five OECD countries. R&D as a share of value added of these industries is generally expected to be in the range of 5% to 20%, of which the ISIC (29 and 252) industries are expected to fall in the range of 15% to 20% and the other industries in the range of 5% to 10%. There are not enough data to assess and compare the *military fighting vehicles* industry (ISIC 304) and the *railroad equipment and transport equipment n.e.c.* industry (ISIC 302+309) (see Tables A18 and A19 in the Appendix). All the industries listed in Table 4 are manufacturing industries except the *IT and other information services* industry (ISIC 62-63).

The UK's average R&D intensity in the *weapons and ammunition* industry (ISIC 252) was 28%, placing the UK first amongst the countries under analysis and well above the 16.2 % average of the top five countries. However, these results should be treated with caution because data is missing and, as a consequence, Austria (1.8% R&D intensity) and Japan (0.1%) are ranked in the top five countries. For instance, there are no data for the United States and France from 2014 onwards. However, France's average R&D intensity in this industry was around 38% between 2010 and 2013 (see Table A12 in the Appendix), The UK's *motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers industry* (ISIC 29) average R&D intensity was 16.5% in the 2015-2019 period, which is within the expected range for this industry, but three percentage points below the top five countries average. The leading countries showed a tendency to increase the share of the value added spent in R&D in this industry between 2010 and 2019.The UK's R&D intensity in this industry increased from 11.4% in 2010 to 17.8% in 2018, but declined to 14.5% in 2019, and Japan's R&D intensity increased around 50 percent in that period, going from 20.8% in 2010 to 31.4% in 2019 (see Table A13 in the Appendix).

The average R&D intensity of the *medical and dental instruments and supplies* industry (ISIC 325) in the UK was 4.5% between 2014 and 2018, which is lower than the cut-off point (around 10%) and far from the top five countries average (13.4%). The US and Korea are the leading countries in this industry with R&D intensities above 20% in recent years and a steady increase in R&D intensity in the 2010-2019 period (see Table A14 in the Appendix). There are no data for 2018 and 2019 for Denmark, Norway and Austria, but the



results suggest the R&D intensities of these countries should be currently around 10%. The average R&D intensity of the machinery and equipment n.e.c. industry (ISIC 28) in the UK was 5.9% in the 2015-2019 period, placing the UK last but one among 15 OECD countries. At least since 2010, the UK's R&D intensity in this industry is one of the lowest among the OECD countries under analysis (see Table A15 in the Appendix). The results for the chemicals and chemical products industry (ISIC 20) are also poor: the UK's average R&D intensity in this industry in the 2015-2019 period was 3.3%, which is about half the cut-off point and around 40% of the top five countries average, placing the UK last among the 12 OECD countries for which data is available (see Table A16 in the Appendix). In relation to electrical equipment industry (ISIC 27) the results are not better. The UK's average R&D intensity between 2015 and 2019 was 4.1%, which is about two thirds of the cut-off point for this industry and less than 30% of the top five countries average, placing the UK last among the 15 OECD countries for which data is available (see Table A17 in the Appendix). In three countries the average R&D intensity was above 15%: the Netherlands (18.9%), but it showed a tendency to decrease in the 2010-2017 period, Sweden (15.7%) and Austria (15.5%). In the IT and other information services industry (ISIC 62-63), the UK's average R&D intensity between 2015 and 2019 was 4.2%, which is below the cut-off point for this industry and far from the top five countries average (9.5%). Unlike Denmark, R&D intensities of Iceland, Norway, Finland and Belgium showed a tendency to increase between 2014 and 2019. The UK's R&D intensity stabilized around 4.3% in that period (see Table A20 in the Appendix).



Table 4: Medium-high R&D intensity industries: R&D intensity by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| ISIC Industries | | R&D | /Value | addeo | d (%) | | Avg** |
|--|------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|
| 252 Weapons and ammunition | GBR | NOR | DEU | KOR | ÂUT | JPN | |
| | 28.0 | 24.0 | 17.9 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 16.2 |
| 29 Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers | JPN | DEU | KOR | GBR | FRA | USA | |
| | 28.1 | 18.7 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 15.7 | 19.5 |
| 325 Medical and dental instruments and supplies | USA | KOR | DNK | NOR | AUT | GBR | |
| | 23.5 | 18.2 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 13.4 |
| 28 Machinery and equipment n.e.c. | ISL | NLD | AUT | DNK | SWE | GBR | |
| | 14.1 | 12.8 | 12.4 | 9.8 | 9.5 | 5.9 | 11.7 |
| 20 Chemicals and chemical products | JPN | DEU | KOR | AUT | ISL | GBR | |
| | 12.0 | 8.7 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.9 | 3.3 | 8.5 |
| 27 Electrical equipment | NLD | SWE | AUT | FIN | BEL | GBR | |
| | 18.9 | 15.7 | 15.5 | 13.5 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 15.0 |
| 304 Military fighting vehicles | KOR | USA | | | | GBR | |
| | 19.4 | 0.7 | | | | | — |
| 302+309 Railroad equipment and transport equipment | KOR | DEU | JPN | SVN | AUT | GBR | |
| n.e.c. | 11.6 | 7.5 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.8 | | 5.9 |
| 62-63 IT and other information services | ISL | NOR | FIN | DNK | BEL | GBR | |
| | 13.2 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 4.2 | 9.5 |

Source: Tables A12 to A20 in the Appendix. (*) Or latest years available. (**) Average R&D intensity of the top five countries.

Medium R&D intensity industries

Table 5 compares five-year average R&D intensity of medium R&D intensity industries in the UK with the top five OECD countries. R&D as a share of value added of these industries is expected to fall in the range of 2% to 5%. All the industries listed in Table 5 are manufacturing industries. The average R&D intensity of the rubber and plastic products industry (ISIC 22) in the UK was 1.6% between 2015 and 2019, placing the UK last among 15 OECD countries. This is rather lower than the expected result for this industry and the top five countries average, even though this indicator registered an upward trend between 2010 and 2019. R&D intensities of the top five countries did not change much in this period, but the average share of value added spent on R&D was higher than that in the UK by between 2.5 and 5.5 percentage points in the 2015-2019 period (see Table A21 in the Appendix). The average R&D intensity of the building of ships and boats industry (ISIC 301) in the UK was 6.3% between 2015 and 2019, which is about twice as much as the expected result for this industry and placed the UK fourth out of the top five countries. These results should be treated with caution because the Slovenia's R&D intensity is unstable, plummeting from 87.7% in 2011 to 0.71% in 2016, and because there is information for only 9 of the 17 countries under analysis. It is possible that some of these 8 countries have higher R&D intensities (see Table A22 in the Appendix). The UK's



average R&D intensity in the *furniture and other manufacturing industry* (ISIC 31-32) was 2.7%, which is about the expected result for this industry but it is much lower than the top five countries average (10.5%). Average R&D intensities of these countries were higher than the UK's by between 3.2 (Norway) and 13.4 (Iceland) percentage points in the 2015-2019 period. R&D intensities in the first three of top five countries, Iceland (16.1% in 2019), the US (15.8% in 2019) and Korea (11.3% in 2018), were rather higher than expected for this industry, and this indicator registered an upward trend between 2010 and 2019 in all of these countries (see Table A23 in the Appendix).

The UK's R&D intensity performance was rather well in the *repair and installation of machinery and equipment* industry (ISIC 33) in relation to the expected result for this industry and the top five countries average, but rather poor in the *other non-metallic mineral products* industry (ISIC 23) where the UK's average R&D intensity of 1.1% compares to 3.4% of the top five countries. In relation to the *basic metals* industry (ISIC 24), the UK's average R&D intensity of 1.9% in the 2015-2019 period is within the expected range for this industry, but it is rather lower than the top five countries average (4.9%). The UK is one of the five countries out of 15 that spent on average less than 2% of the value added generated by this industry in R&D between 2015 and 2019 (see Table A25 in the Appendix). Within the basic metals sector, the UK's R&D intensity performance in the *iron and steel* industry (ISIC 241+2431) is much better than the *non-ferrous metals* industry (ISIC 242+2432). R&D intensity of the former registered an upward trend between 2013 and 2019 while the latter showed a tendency to decrease in that period (see Tables A26 e A27 in the Appendix).



Table 5: Medium R&D intensity industries: R&D intensity by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| ISIC Industries | R&D/Value added (%) Av | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|--|--|
| 22 Rubber and plastic products | AUT | FRA | JPN | BEL | FIN | GBR | | | |
| | 7.1 | 6.9 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 1.6 | 5.9 | | |
| 301 Building of ships and boats | SVN | DEU | FRA | FIN | GBR | DNK | | | |
| | 13.4 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 8.7 | | |
| 31-32 Furniture, other manufacturing | ISL | USA | KOR | DNK | NOR | GBR | | | |
| | 16.1 | 14.2 | 10.2 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 2.7 | 10.5 | | |
| 23 Other non-metallic mineral products | JPN | AUT | SVN | FIN | BEL | GBR | | | |
| | 4.9 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 3.4 | | |
| 24 Basic metals | SWE | BEL | AUT | NLD | NOR | GBR | | | |
| | 6.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 1.9 | 4.9 | | |
| 241+2431 Iron and steel | BEL | AUT | FRA | FIN | GBR | KOR | | | |
| | 5.3 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 3.9 | | |
| 242+2432 Non-ferrous metals | AUT | BEL | NOR | JPN | DEU | GBR | | | |
| | 6.6 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 1.0 | 4.5 | | |
| 33 Repair and installation of machinery and | DEU | GBR | FRA | NOR | SVN | BEL | | | |
| equipment | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | | |

Source: Tables A21 to A28 in the Appendix. (*) Or latest years available. (**) Average R&D intensity of the top five countries.

Medium-low R&D intensity industries

Table 6 compares five-year average R&D intensity of medium-low R&D intensity industries in the UK with the top five OECD countries. R&D as a share of value added of these industries is expected to fall in the range of 0.5% to 2%. The UK underperformed at least in 6 of the 13 industries listed in Table 6 in the period under analysis. The UK's average R&D intensity in the textiles industry (ISIC 13) was 0.6% in the 2015-2019 period. The UK's underperformance contrasts sharply with the Japan's average R&D intensity of 22.9% in that period, which is higher than the rate of value added spent in R&D in some high and medium-high R&D intensity industries, and a steady increase in R&D intensity from 16.7% in 2010 to 25.5% in 2019. R&D intensities of France and Norway are also high compared to the expected result for this industry and showed a tendency to increase in the period under analysis (see Table A29 in the Appendix). Belgium's 3.4% R&D intensity in 2013 suggests it is one of the top R&D investors in the textile industry. In the paper and paper products industry (ISIC 17), the UK's average R&D intensity of 0.5% places the country last among 14 countries. Sweden's R&D intensity of 3.6% in 2019 places the country in the top R&D investors in this industry, along with Norway and Finland. Unlike the Netherlands, the R&D intensities of Norway, Finland and the US showed a tendency to decrease between 2010 and 2019 (see Table A31 in the Appendix).



The UK's *wearing apparel* industry (ISIC 14) average R&D intensity was 0.1% in the 2015-2019 period, which is far lower than the expected result for this industry, and 2.6 percentage points below the top five countries average. R&D intensities of the *wearing apparel* industry in leading countries showed divergent trends from 2010 to 2018: the share of value added invested in R&D decreased in Finland but increased in Korea and Slovenia (see Table A33 in the Appendix). In the *wood and products of wood and cork, except furniture* industry (ISIC 16) the UK's average R&D intensity was 0.3%, which is about half the expected result for this industry and rather lower than the top five countries average, but the R&D intensity increased from 0.2% in 2015 to 0.5% in 2019 (see Table A36 in the Appendix). In the *printing and reproduction of recorded media* industry (ISIC 18), the UK's average R&D intensity (1.8%), Germany's (1.6%) and Finland's (1.6%) average R&D intensity. However, the share of value added spent in R&D in the UK increased steadily from 0.1% in 2010 to 0.8% in 2019 (see Table A37 in the Appendix).

Table 6: Medium-low R&D intensity industries: R&D intensity by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| ISIC Industries | R&D/Value added (%) | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| 13 Textiles | JPN | FRA | NOR | SVN | AUT | GBR | | |
| | 22.9 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 7.2 | |
| 15 Leather and related products | NLD | JPN | KOR | AUT | SVN | GBR | | |
| | 4.7 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2.8 | |
| 17 Paper and paper products | NOR | FIN | NLD | USA | AUT | GBR | | |
| | 4.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 2.4 | |
| 10-12 Food products, beverages and tobacco | KOR | NOR | NLD | USA | FIN | GBR | | |
| | 3.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.0 | 2.6 | |
| 14 Wearing apparel | FIN | KOR | SVN | FRA | AUT | GBR | | |
| | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 2.7 | |
| 25X Fabricated metal products except weapons and | AUT | NOR | KOR | GBR | DEU | JPN | | |
| ammunition | 3.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.0 | |
| 19 Coke and refined petroleum products | FIN | DEU | FRA | ISR | KOR | GBR | | |
| | 5.3 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 3.2 | |
| 16 Wood and of products of wood and cork, except | NOR | SVN | AUT | KOR | BEL | GBR | | |
| furniture | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 1.1 | |
| 18 Printing and reproduction of recorded media | NOR | DEU | FIN | KOR | JPN | GBR | | |
| | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | |
| 69-75 except 72 Professional, scientific and technical | AUT | FRA | NOR | BEL | SWE | GBR | | |
| activities except scientific R&D | 4.5 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 3.2 | |
| 61 Telecommunications | FRA | NOR | JPN | BEL | GBR | KOR | | |
| | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | |
| 05-09 Mining and quarrying | SVN | FIN | BEL | USA | GBR | JPN | | |
| | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.5 | |
| 581 Publishing of books, periodicals and other | SVN | KOR | NOR | DNK | GBR | FRA | | |
| publishing activities | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.2 | |



Source: Tables A29 to A41 in the Appendix. (*) Or latest years available. (**) Average R&D intensity of the top five countries.

The UK's average R&D intensity in most of the other industries is just under or above the expected results for those industries, but it is quite lower than that of the leading R&D investors in each industry. This is the case of the *leather and related products* industry (ISIC 15), the *food products, beverages and tobacco* industry (ISIC 10-12), the *professional, scientific and technical activities except scientific R&D* industry (ISIC 69-75 except 72) or the *telecommunications* industry (ISIC 61).

Low R&D intensity industries

Table 7 compares five-year average R&D intensity of low R&D intensity industries in the UK with the top five OECD countries. R&D as a share of value added of these industries is expected to fall in the range of 0% to 0.5%. All the industries listed in Table 7 are nonmanufacturing industries, and six of them are service industries. The UK's performance was better than average performance of the top five countries in three industries: audiovisual and broadcasting activities (ISIC 59-60), administrative and support service activities (ISIC 77-82), and accommodation and food service activities (ISIC 55-56). In the audiovisual and broadcasting activities industry (ISIC 59-60), the UK's average R&D intensity of 2.3% was well above the expected result for this industry and was higher than the other top four countries by between 1.7 (Belgium) and 1.9 (France) percentage points in the 2015-2019 period. The UK's R&D intensity in this industry increased steadily from 2011 to 2015, had an exponential growth of 188% in 2016, and stabilized around 2.7% between 2016 and 2019 (see Table A44 in the Appendix). In the financial and insurance activities industry (ISIC 64-66), the UK's R&D average R&D intensity of 0.3% is close to the expected result, but it is far from the average R&D intensity of leading countries such as Denmark (4.5%), Germany (4%) and Slovenia (5.6%), although in the latter case there was an irregular evolution of the R&D intensity between 2010 and 2018 (see Table A42 in the Appendix). In other industries the UK's average R&D intensity was lower than that of the first of the top five countries in the 2015-2019 period by between 3 percentage points in the transportation and storage industry (ISIC 49-53) and 1.6 percentage points in the agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing industry (ISIC 01-03).



Table 7: Low R&D intensity industries: R&D intensity by industry in the top five OECD countries plus the UK, 2015-2019* average (%)

| ISIC Industries | ndustries R&D/Value added (%) | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| 64-66 Financial and insurance activities | SVN | DNK | DEU | FIN | BEL | GBR | | | |
| | 5.6 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 3.4 | | |
| 35-39 Electricity, gas and water supply; sewerage, | KOR | ISL | BEL | FRA | SWE | GBR | | | |
| waste management and remediation activities | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | | |
| 59-60 Audiovisual and broadcasting activities | GBR | BEL | KOR | NOR | FRA | AUT | | | |
| | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | | |
| 45-47 Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor | SWE | AUT | FRA | DNK | BEL | GBR | | | |
| vehicles and motorcycles | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.0 | | |
| 01-03 Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing | NLD | NOR | DEU | FRA | DNK | GBR | | | |
| | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 1.0 | | |
| 41-43 Construction | KOR | FIN | JPN | NLD | AUT | GBR | | | |
| | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.6 | | |
| 77-82 Administrative and support service activities | ISL | GBR | BEL | SWE | NLD | FRA | | | |
| | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | | |
| 49-53 Transportation and storage | USA | NLD | NOR | JPN | FIN | GBR | | | |
| | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | | |
| 55-56 Accommodation and food service activities | GBR | DNK | KOR | ISL | NLD | FRA | | | |
| | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |

Source: Tables A42 to A50 in the Appendix. (*) Or latest years available. (**) Average R&D intensity of the top five countries.

6. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This study is aimed at comparing the UK's business R&D intensity with top performing OECD countries by industry. BERD accounts for between 65% and 80% of GERD in most of these countries and any successful R&D policy to increase a country's R&D intensity must take into consideration its industrial structure and the performance of its industries, namely high and medium-high R&D intensity industries which account for a large share of BERD. The findings of this research are important for all actors of the science and innovation system, and in particular for policy makers in their ongoing efforts to increase the global R&D spending and business R&D intensity.

More than 60% of BERD comes from the service sector in the UK while in 11 of the top performing OECD countries the manufacturing sector represents between 51% and 87.5% of BERD. This is nothing new. In a reference to the 1990s, Sheehan and Wyckoff (2003, p.21) concluded that in the UK "declines in manufacturing R&D intensity [...] were not matched by increases in the service sector". And the situation has not changed since then because "it is rather unlikely that the service sector will play the leading role in reaching the 2.4% target" (Brassel & Cookson, 2020, p.11). It suggests the structure of BERD is an important factor in explaining the stagnation of R&D intensity in the UK in recent decades.



Norway, Iceland and Israel, the three countries where between 61.6% and 82.4% of BERD comes from the service sector, may be a good source of learning, even though these are rather smaller economies. In general, manufacturing industries have greater potential than service industries to increase R&D expenditure and, as so, a long-term policy aiming at increasing the R&D share of the manufacturing sector in total business R&D would be justified as a way to reach a sustained increase of business R&D intensity.

On average, 66.7% of BERD comes from high and medium-high R&D intensity industries, which places the UK 9th among 13 countries and between 13 and 21 percentage points lower than the United States, Iceland, Japan, Korea and Germany. This is a significant difference because these industries have the highest potential to increase BERD and business R&D intensity and the great majority of high and medium-high R&D intensity industries are from the manufacturing sector. From a policymaker perspective, stimulating high and medium-high R&D intensity industries to increase R&D spending is a necessary condition to achieve the UK's R&D target, which also contributes to increase the R&D share of the manufacturing sector in BERD. At the industry level, the UK's performance is rather poor in comparison to the other 16 OECD countries in two industries that account for most of the manufacturing R&D, chemical, rubber and plastics (ISIC 19-23) and computer, electronic, electrical equipment, machinery and motor vehicles (ISIC 26-30). The performance of the UK is better in the service sector, though perhaps less than expected as more than 60% of business R&D came from the service sector in 2019. In 2019, the real estate activities; professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support service activities (ISIC 68-82) and information and communication (ISIC 58-63) industries accounted together for most of the business R&D of services of the business enterprise (ISIC 45-82) in all but one country. While on average 35% of BERD comes from the former, which places the UK 1st among the 17 countries, only 15% of BERD comes from the latter, placing the UK behind Iceland (16%), Norway (27%), and Israel (44%). Within the service sector, the attention should be focused on those industries with higher potential to increase R&D. The information and communication industry (ISIC 58-63) appears to be a case in point.

A ten-year analysis shows that the manufacturing sector has by far the highest average R&D intensity of all sectors in all countries except Israel. On average, the manufacturing sector in the UK spent 4.5% of the value added in R&D between 2010 and 2019, just better than Iceland and Norway, but less than half of that of the United States, Japan, Sweden, Korea, and Finland. The service sector has the second highest R&D intensity and Israel's average R&D intensity of 7.1% is much higher than Iceland's (2.6%), Denmark's (2.3%),



Sweden's (2%), Norway's (2%) and the UK's (1.5%) R&D intensity. On average, the service sector spent more than 1.5% of the value added in R&D in the 2010-2019 period in 10 of the 17 countries. More than 60% of BERD comes from the service sector in the UK but the UK's R&D intensity of the service sector is lower than most other countries. It may be related to the fact that one third of BERD comes from the *real estate activities; professional, scientific, technical, administrative and support service activities* (ISIC 68-82).

Percent difference between 5-year average R&D intensity in the UK and in the top five OECD countries was positive only in 4 of the 45 industries, one medium-high R&D intensity and three low R&D intensity industries. The UK's R&D intensity of high R&D intensity industries were lower than those of the top five OECD countries by between –20.8% and –87.4%. Six of the seven medium-high R&D intensity industries had a similar bad performance in the 2015-2019 period. All but one high and medium-high R&D intensity industries in the UK spent on average a lower percentage of the value added in R&D than the top five countries average. This is important for R&D policy because these industries have a higher potential to increase business R&D intensity and should be the focus of policymakers' attention.

The fact that the UK's business R&D intensity (BERD/GDP) was last but one among the OECD countries under analysis in 2020 does not mean this is true for every industry. Indeed, the UK's R&D intensity (R&D as a percentage of value added) was relatively higher than most other countries in industries such as *air and spacecraft and related machinery* (ISIC 303), *scientific research and development* (ISIC 72), *weapons and ammunition* (ISIC 252), *motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers* (ISIC 29), or *building of ships and boats* (ISIC 301). But, the UK's R&D intensity performance was poor in industries such as *pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products* (ISIC 261-264+268), *other electronic and optical products* (ISIC 265+267), *software publishing* (ISIC 582), *medical and dental instruments and supplies* (ISIC 325), *machinery and equipment n.e.c.* (ISIC 28), *chemicals and chemical products* (ISIC 20), *electrical equipment* (ISIC 27), or *rubber and plastic products* (ISIC 22). From a policy perspective, it may be appropriate to think of different R&D intensity targets for each group of industries, and design suitable policy measures to achieve them.

Overall, the results suggest that to increase R&D intensity in a sustained manner the UK needs to increase the R&D share of the manufacturing sector in BERD, increase the R&D spending of most high and medium-high R&D intensity industries and focus on services



industries with higher potential to increase R&D. It should be bared in mind, however, that these findings are only based on the analysis of the structure of BERD and R&D intensity indicator (R&D/Value added). Policymakers should also take into consideration that these methodological approaches and interpretation of results have limitations of robustness and they should use data from complementary sources when available (Moncada-Paternò-Castello, Amoroso, & Cincera, 2020) to better interpret the results and formulate R&D policies.

The study has some limitations. Besides the limitations referred to in the methodology section, the analysis is only based on BERD and R&D intensity indicators, but there are other ways firms can get involved in R&D projects which may not be reflected in the R&D intensity of a particular industry or BERD of a given country (e.g., foreign subsidiaries, university-industry cooperation, R&D partnerships between firms). The high level of industry aggregation (3-digit ISIC codes) allows the analysis of industry groupings but a lower level of data aggregation (2-digit ISIC codes) would permit a more analytical analysis of industries. The fact that an industry has low R&D intensity does not mean that all companies in that industry have low R&D intensity. Within an industry it is normal to have companies with very different levels of R&D intensity, but the study does not capture this phenomenon.



REFERENCES

- Becker, B. & Pain, N. (2008). What determines industrial R&D expenditure in the UK?" *The Manchester School*, 76(1), 66-87.
- BEIS (2020). Macroeconomic modelling of the 2.4% R&D target: analysis with the E3ME model. BEIS Research Paper Number 2020/009. Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. United Kingdom. (<u>https://www.gov.uk</u>)
- Brassel, S. & Cookson, G. (2020). Delivering the 2.4 percent: unlocking UK pharma R&D investment through evidence-based policies. OHE Consulting Report, London: Office of Health Economics. (<u>https://www.ohe.org/publications/delivering-24percent-unlocking-uk-pharma-rd-investment-through-evidence-based-policies</u>)
- Carvalho, A. (2018). Wishful thinking about R&D policy targets: what governments promise and what they actually deliver. *Science and Public Policy*, 45(3), 373-391. (<u>https://doi.org/10.1093/scipol/scx069</u>)
- Cincera, M. & Veugelers, R. (2013). Young leading innovators and the EU's R&D intensity gap. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 22(2), 177-198. (<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10438599.2012.731166</u>)
- Galindo-Rueda, F. & Verger, F. (2016). OECD taxonomy of economic activities based on R&D intensity. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, No. 2016/04. Paris: OECD Publishing. (<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5jlv73sqqp8r-en</u>)
- Iorwerth, A. (2005). *Canada's low business R&D intensity: the role of industry composition*. Working Paper 2005-03. Department of Finance. Canada.
- Kayal, A. (2015). R&D Intensity: an empirical analysis of its relation to the structure of the manufacturing sector in OECD countries. Available at SSRN: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2577996</u>
- Mathieu, A. & van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie, B. (2010). A note on the drivers of R&D intensity. *Research in World Economy*, 1(1), 56–65.
- Mazzucato, M & Lazonick, W. (2010). The limits to the 3% R&D target in Europe 2020: the roles of institutions, industries, and business-government complementarities in achieving equitable and stable growth. FINNOV. Position paper (May 2010). (<u>https://www.finnov-</u>

fp7.com/sites/default/files/FINNOV POSITION PAPER MAY 2010 3Percent R D.pdf)

Moncada-Paternò-Castello, P. (2016). Corporate R&D intensity decomposition: theoretical, empirical and policy issues. JRC-IPTS Working Papers on Corporate R&D and



Innovation No. 02/2016, Institute of Prospective Technological Studies, Joint Research Centre – European Commission. Seville (Spain).

- Moncada-Paternò-Castello, P., Amoroso, S. & Cincera, M. (2020) Corporate R&D intensity decomposition: different data, different results? *Science and Public Policy*, 47(4), 458–473. (doi: 10.1093/scipol/scaa026)
- OECD (2021). *R&D intensity as a policy target: lessons from 11 international case studies*. Report. Paris: OECD Secretariat.
- OECD (2022). *Main Science and Technology Indicators*. Documentation, version March 2022. (<u>https://www.oecd.org/sti/inno/MSTI_documentation_e.pdf</u>)
- Office for National Statistics (2022). Comparison of ONS business enterprise research and development statistics with HMRC research and development tax credit statistics. Office for National Statistics (ONS), released 29 September 2022, ONS website. (https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/governmentpublicsectorandtaxes/researchandd evelopmentexpenditure/articles/comparisonofonsbusinessenterpriseresearchandd evelopmentstatisticswithhmrcresearchanddevelopmenttaxcreditstatistics/2022-09-29)
- Parliament of Australia (2007). *Australian manufacturing: today and tomorrow. Inquiry into the state of Australia's manufactured export and import competing base now and beyond the resources boom.* Australia. Parliament. House of Representatives Standing Committee on Economics, Finance and Public Administration. Canberra.
- Rakic, R. et al. (2021). Fostering R&D intensity in the European Union: Policy experiences and lessons learned. Case study contribution to the OECD TIP project on R&D intensity. (https://community.oecd.org/community/cstp/tip/rdintensity)
- Reinstaller, A. & Unterlass, F. (2012). Comparing business R&D across countries overtime: a decomposition exercise using data for the EU 27. *Applied Economics Letters*, 19, 1143-1148. (<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13504851.2011.615724</u>)
- Sheehan, J. & Wyckoff, A. (2003). Targeting R&D: economic and policy implications of increasing R&D spending. OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, No. 2003/8. OECD Publishing: Paris. (<u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/072772055603</u>)
- Smith, K. (1994). Interactions in knowledge systems: foundations, policy implications and empirical methods. STEP Group, Report No. 10.
- The UK Government (2017). *Industrial Strategy: Building a Britain fit for the future*. Industrial Strategy White Paper. (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/industrial-strategy-building-a-britain-<u>fit-for-the-future</u>)



- United Nations (2008). International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC), Rev. 4. Statistical Papers Series M No.4, Rev.4. New York: United Nations. (https://unstats.un.org/unsd/classifications/Econ/isic)
- van Pottelsberghe de la Potterie, B. (2008) Europe's R&D: missing the wrong targets? *Intereconomics*, 43(4): 220–225.



APPENDIX

Table A1: ISIC industries

| able AT | . ISIC Industries |
|----------|--|
| 01-03 | Agriculture, hunting, forestry and fishing |
| 05-09 | Mining and quarrying |
| 10-33 | Manufacturing |
| 10-12 | Food products, beverages and tobacco |
| 10-11 | Food products and beverages |
| 12 | Tobacco products |
| 13-15 | Textiles, wearing apparel, leather and |
| | related products |
| 13 | Textiles |
| 14 | Wearing apparel |
| 15 | Leather and related products, footwear |
| 16-18 | Wood, paper, printing and reproduction of |
| | recorded media |
| 16 | Wood and of products of wood and cork. |
| | except furniture: articles of straw and |
| | plaiting materials |
| 17 | Paper and paper products |
| 18 | Printing and reproduction of recorded |
| | media |
| 19-23 | Chemical, rubber, plastics, fuel products |
| | and other non-metallic mineral products |
| 19 | Coke and refined petroleum products |
| 20-21 | Chemical and pharmaceutical products |
| 20 | Chemicals and chemical products |
| 21 | Pharmaceuticals medicinal chemical and |
| | botanical products |
| 22 | Rubber and plastic products |
| 23 | Other non-metallic mineral products |
| 24-25 | Basic metals and fabricated metal |
| 2120 | products except machinery and |
| | equipment |
| 24 | Basic metals |
| 241 2431 | Iron and steel |
| 242 2432 | Non-ferrous metals |
| 25 | Fabricated metal products except |
| 20 | machinery and equipment |
| 252 | Weapons and ammunition |
| 25X | Eabricated metal products excent |
| 207 | weapons and ammunition |
| 26-30 | Computer electronic and ontical products |
| 20-30 | electrical equipment machinery motor |
| | vehicles and other transport equipment |
| 26 | Computer, electronic and ontical products |
| 261- | ICT manufacturing |
| 264+268 | To Financia during |
| 266 | Manufacture of irradiation electromedical |
| 200 | and electrotherapeutic equipment |
| 265+267 | Other electronic and ontical products |
| 200-207 | Electrical equipment |
| 28 | Machinery and equipment n e c |
| 20 | |

| 29 | Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers |
|--------------------|---|
| 30 | Other transport equipment |
| 301 | Building of ships and boats |
| 303 | Air and spacecraft and related machinery |
| 304 | Military fighting vehicles |
| 302,309 | Railroad equipment and transport |
| | equipment n.e.c. |
| 31-33 | Furniture, other manufacturing and repair |
| | and installation of machinery and |
| | equipment |
| 31-32 | Furniture, other manufacturing |
| 325 | Medical and dental instruments and |
| | supplies |
| 33 | Repair and installation of machinery and |
| | equipment |
| 35-39 | Electricity, gas and water supply; |
| | sewerage, waste management and |
| 11.10 | remediation activities |
| 41-43 | Construction |
| 45-99 | |
| 45-82 | Services of the business economy |
| 45-47 | Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor |
| 10.50 | vehicles and motorcycles |
| 49-53 | I ransportation and storage |
| 55-56 | Accommodation and food service activities |
| 58-63 | Information and communication |
| 58-60 | Publishing, audiovisual and broadcasting |
| | activities |
| 58 | Publishing activities |
| 581 | Publishing of books, periodicals and other |
| 500 | publishing activities |
| 582 | Software publishing |
| <u>59-60</u> | Audiovisual and broadcasting activities |
| 61 | |
| 62-63 | II and other information services |
| 64-66 | Financial and insurance activities |
| 68-82 | Real estate activities; professional, |
| | scientific, technical, administrative and |
| | support service activities |
| 68 | Real estate activities |
| 69-82 | Professional, scientific, technical, |
| | administrative and support service |
| 70 | |
| 12 | Scientific research and development |
| 69-75 | Professional, scientific and technical |
| | activities (except scientific research and |
| 77.00 | Administrative and evenent contine |
| 11-82 | Auministrative and support service |
| 94.00 | Community appial and personal convices |
| 04-99 | |
| arder \vdash (2) | UTEL ANRERI and STAN databases |

Source: United Nations (2008), Galindo-Rueda, F. & Verger, F. (2016), ANBERD and STAN databases terminology.







Source: Tables A4 to A11 in the Appendix.



| | | | | 20 | 10 | | | 2019 | | | | | | | |
|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| | ISIC | 01-03 | 05-09 | 10-33 | 35-39 | 41-43 | 45-99 | 01-03 | 05-09 | 10-33 | 35-39 | 41-43 | 45-99 | | |
| KOR | | 0.08 | 0.06 | 87.61 | 0.96 | 2.27 | 9.03 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 87.48 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 10.60 | | |
| JPN | | 0.04 | 0.08 | 87.14 | 0.55 | 0.95 | 11.23 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 87.05 | 0.38 | 1.13 | 11.40 | | |
| DEU | | 0.30 | 0.03 | 85.75 | 0.42 | 0.16 | 13.34 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 84.87 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 14.45 | | |
| SVN | | 0.05 | 1.05 | 78.06 | 0.20 | 0.08 | 20.56 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 73.67 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 25.05 | | |
| CHE | | I | _ | 71.91 | _ | — | 28.09 | _ | — | 67.80 | _ | _ | 32.20 | | |
| AUT | | 0.03 | 0.10 | 65.47 | 0.32 | 0.78 | 33.23 | 0.05 | 0.18 | 66.22 | 0.38 | 0.52 | 32.42 | | |
| FIN | | 0.07 | 0.17 | 79.66 | 0.85 | 1.19 | 18.06 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 60.25 | 1.71 | 2.67 | 35.01 | | |
| USA | | I | 0.91 | 70.51 | 0.15 | 0.39 | 28.04 | _ | 0.61 | 57.82 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 41.31 | | |
| NLD | | 2.54 | 0.42 | 56.87 | 0.59 | 1.50 | 38.07 | 3.13 | 0.12 | 56.53 | 0.78 | 1.00 | 38.45 | | |
| SWE | | 0.23 | 0.19 | 72.58 | 0.13 | 0.20 | 26.66 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 51.45 | 0.60 | 0.20 | 47.43 | | |
| BEL | | 0.50 | 0.10 | 59.99 | 1.01 | 1.27 | 37.14 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 51.00 | 1.20 | 1.08 | 46.47 | | |
| DNK | | 0.15 | 0.12 | 52.33 | 0.67 | 0.16 | 46.57 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 49.71 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 49.72 | | |
| FRA | | 0.50 | 0.05 | 50.36 | 1.83 | 0.60 | 46.66 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 48.65 | 1.72 | 0.40 | 48.54 | | |
| GBR | | 0.08 | 0.85 | 37.15 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 61.49 | 0.05 | 0.64 | 36.87 | 0.91 | 1.03 | 60.51 | | |
| NOR | | 1.59 | 5.92 | 31.94 | 1.00 | 0.63 | 58.92 | 2.82 | 4.99 | 28.33 | 1.34 | 0.95 | 61.57 | | |
| ISL | | 1.03 | 0.03 | 24.79 | 4.24 | _ | 69.91 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 19.55 | 1.61 | _ | 78.03 | | |
| ISR | | _ | 0.05 | 30.18 | _ | _ | 69.30 | _ | 0.02 | 17.49 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 82.44 | | |

Table A2: Structure of BERD by major economic sectors in 2010 and 2019 (%)

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD database (accessed on 6 July 2022). See Table A1 in the Appendix for ISIC codes. (–) Missing data.

| Table A3: R&D expenditure as a percentage of BERD by industry in 2019 |
|---|
|---|

| ISIC | 19 | 20-21 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 45-47 | 49-53 | 55-56 | 58-63 | 64-66 | 68-82 |
|------|------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| AUT | 0.11 | 5.89 | 2.03 | 1.12 | 11.70 | 9.95 | 15.08 | 7.51 | 3.56 | 4.61 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 7.71 | 0.12 | 19.90 |
| BEL | 0.23 | 29.03 | 1.22 | 1.01 | 5.03 | 1.54 | 3.33 | 1.76 | 1.00 | 3.61 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 13.70 | 4.22 | 23.99 |
| CHE | _ | 36.71 | _ | _ | 13.15 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | _ | 12.94 |
| DEU | 0.21 | 12.98 | 1.76 | 0.50 | 11.50 | 3.55 | 9.82 | 37.26 | 2.61 | 0.74 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 5.66 | 0.44 | 7.41 |
| DNK | _ | 20.48 | 0.45 | 0.07 | 8.74 | 1.76 | 7.12 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 4.65 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 9.19 | 14.92 | 20.82 |
| FIN | 0.81 | 6.78 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 24.62 | 5.50 | 12.23 | 1.28 | 0.76 | 2.62 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 15.75 | 2.30 | 13.08 |
| FRA | 0.14 | 5.58 | 2.21 | 0.46 | 11.39 | 2.53 | 3.46 | 7.28 | 8.81 | 6.37 | 0.53 | 0.00 | 13.71 | 1.07 | 26.48 |
| GBR | 0.32 | 3.72 | 0.55 | 0.32 | 4.86 | 0.74 | 3.15 | 9.75 | 7.62 | 5.08 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 15.53 | 2.66 | 34.95 |
| ISL | 0.16 | 0.58 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 2.18 | 0.24 | 5.19 | - | 0.04 | 0.70 | 0.12 | - | 24.80 | 2.36 | 47.59 |
| ISR | 0.56 | 1.09 | _ | _ | 11.23 | _ | - | - | _ | 0.11 | - | - | 47.66 | 0.18 | 32.15 |
| JPN | 0.34 | 16.13 | 2.47 | 1.09 | 18.68 | 3.03 | 9.50 | 27.13 | 0.79 | 0.61 | 0.44 | - | 3.79 | 0.04 | 6.52 |
| KOR | 0.37 | 7.76 | 1.43 | 0.41 | 50.77 | 3.01 | 5.26 | 11.76 | 1.20 | 1.47 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 4.81 | 0.26 | 3.69 |
| NLD | 2.13 | 9.32 | 1.15 | 0.19 | 7.98 | 6.10 | 17.46 | 2.26 | 1.07 | 6.27 | 1.10 | 0.02 | 12.08 | 3.51 | 14.74 |
| NOR | _ | 1.06 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 5.26 | 1.51 | 3.89 | 0.53 | 1.23 | 1.92 | 0.95 | - | 28.40 | 4.71 | 25.59 |
| SVN | _ | - | _ | 0.62 | 4.74 | 14.87 | 5.45 | 6.39 | 0.83 | 1.45 | 0.06 | - | 6.58 | 0.21 | _ |
| SWE | _ | 5.69 | 0.22 | 0.11 | 2.02 | 2.50 | 7.33 | 15.62 | 10.37 | 6.18 | 0.05 | _ | 24.83 | 1.58 | 14.69 |
| USA | 0.28 | 19.62 | 0.63 | 0.30 | 17.31 | 1.11 | 3.09 | 4.89 | 4.31 | 2.01 | 2.05 | _ | 26.56 | 1.97 | 6.74 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD database (accessed on 6 July 2022). See Table A1 in the Appendix for ISIC codes. (*) 2017 for France and the Netherlands. (–) Missing data.



| (ISIC 30 | 3) (%) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| AUT | 38.13 | 57.45 | 41.89 | 37.02 | 48.51 | 42.23 | 42.24 | 26.88 | _ | _ | 39.4 |
| FRA | 29.79 | 32.50 | 28.39 | 28.99 | 27.73 | 23.21 | 20.84 | 19.49 | _ | - | 24.1 |
| USA | 31.12 | 26.15 | 24.78 | 25.79 | 23.19 | 22.69 | 22.39 | 21.09 | 18.51 | 13.96 | 19.7 |
| GBR | 18.45 | 14.30 | 18.78 | 21.11 | 23.45 | 20.00 | 20.59 | 14.31 | 17.24 | 18.74 | 18.2 |
| KOR | 6.61 | 8.43 | 14.89 | 8.90 | 5.44 | 15.47 | 16.77 | 21.93 | 17.70 | | 15.5 |
| DEU | 31.71 | 32.38 | 28.76 | 21.34 | 20.21 | 18.12 | 17.73 | 11.41 | 16.22 | 13.10 | 15.3 |
| SVN | 36.80 | 30.01 | 8.96 | 27.70 | 14.92 | 11.97 | 8.71 | _ | _ | - | 14.4 |
| JPN | 2.95 | 3.85 | 6.55 | 7.68 | 8.03 | 10.60 | 8.11 | 10.46 | 10.52 | 8.75 | 9.7 |
| FIN | 4.89 | 5.59 | 6.58 | 5.38 | 5.28 | 9.50 | 6.32 | 9.45 | _ | - | 7.2 |
| NOR | 4.60 | 3.26 | 2.90 | 2.15 | 1.64 | 1.18 | 0.84 | 0.80 | _ | - | 1.3 |
| BEL | 21.62 | 17.48 | 15.11 | 18.93 | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | |
| NLD | 9.50 | 10.22 | 13.84 | 15.32 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |

Table A4: R&D intensity of the *air and spacecraft and related machinery* industry (ISIC 303) (%)

Table A5: R&D intensity of the *pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products* industry (ISIC 21) (%)

| p | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| USA | 37.67 | 35.94 | 39.74 | 40.37 | 41.45 | 40.68 | 41.60 | 41.94 | 46.28 | 49.45 | 44.0 |
| JPN | 28.83 | 28.70 | 30.56 | 35.12 | 39.24 | 33.02 | 28.42 | 32.35 | 31.61 | 28.91 | 30.9 |
| BEL | 19.76 | 28.86 | 27.81 | 24.85 | 25.95 | 27.44 | 31.86 | 31.89 | 33.90 | - | 30.2 |
| SVN | 20.40 | 20.11 | 19.89 | 17.96 | 18.45 | 21.25 | 22.82 | - | - | - | 20.1 |
| DEU | 18.20 | 19.12 | 18.51 | 18.31 | 17.09 | 17.35 | 17.76 | 21.08 | 20.60 | 20.96 | 19.6 |
| SWE | - | - | 13.51 | 14.51 | 15.90 | 15.93 | 19.66 | 24.16 | 19.68 | - | 19.1 |
| KOR | 15.47 | 16.69 | 20.74 | 20.63 | 19.59 | 20.17 | 17.55 | 17.53 | 18.36 | - | 18.6 |
| DNK | 20.63 | 18.13 | 18.07 | 17.01 | 16.49 | 15.02 | 15.79 | 14.44 | 12.85 | - | 14.9 |
| CHE | 17.11 | 16.64 | 15.15 | 14.34 | 14.72 | 14.41 | 14.19 | 14.38 | - | - | 14.4 |
| AUT | 9.32 | 9.08 | 12.10 | 14.40 | 14.25 | 13.42 | 13.57 | 13.25 | 13.38 | - | 13.6 |
| NLD | 13.35 | 11.20 | 8.09 | 12.01 | 12.66 | 10.65 | 8.68 | 9.16 | - | - | 10.6 |
| ISR | - | 9.37 | 8.32 | 12.05 | 10.41 | 8.66 | 11.76 | - | - | - | 10.2 |
| FIN | 10.72 | 11.37 | 11.08 | 10.40 | 10.24 | 9.89 | 9.35 | 9.32 | 9.57 | _ | 9.7 |
| FRA | 7.08 | 7.01 | 6.86 | 6.68 | 7.42 | 7.17 | 6.78 | 6.66 | _ | - | 6.9 |
| NOR | 9.68 | 14.00 | 8.61 | 7.49 | 6.48 | 5.09 | 4.67 | 4.76 | - | _ | 5.7 |
| GBR | 3.27 | 3.88 | 4.07 | 3.96 | 3.55 | 3.33 | 2.94 | 3.76 | 3.49 | 4.45 | 3.6 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.61 | 3.47 | 6.09 | _ | _ | 4.76 | |



| | <i>'</i>) (/º) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| ISL | _ | - | - | 41.38 | 32.13 | 30.80 | 34.24 | 44.88 | 50.00 | 46.05 | 41.2 |
| FRA | 26.97 | 28.88 | 30.79 | 32.94 | 33.75 | 32.89 | 32.50 | 31.35 | - | - | 32.7 |
| SWE | 66.36 | 87.06 | 67.07 | 69.24 | 73.16 | 86.40 | 47.50 | 10.06 | 9.45 | 9.85 | 32.7 |
| BEL | 36.31 | 35.02 | 35.30 | 37.61 | 33.31 | 35.19 | 32.04 | 32.94 | 27.95 | - | 32.3 |
| FIN | 39.16 | 46.43 | 72.40 | 44.98 | 41.55 | 35.23 | 27.74 | 24.60 | 31.77 | - | 32.2 |
| JPN | 26.82 | 32.78 | 35.91 | 35.69 | 33.83 | 31.77 | 30.49 | 30.97 | 30.14 | 32.06 | 31.1 |
| USA | 24.73 | 26.13 | 26.71 | 27.26 | 29.19 | 26.79 | 28.65 | 27.88 | 27.28 | 28.11 | 27.7 |
| KOR | 19.57 | 20.62 | 22.95 | 24.03 | 26.40 | 25.16 | 25.02 | 23.31 | 23.97 | _ | 24.8 |
| AUT | 24.50 | 19.25 | 21.11 | 21.23 | 23.62 | 25.43 | 23.84 | 23.57 | 23.65 | _ | 24.0 |
| DNK | 17.19 | 15.97 | 18.21 | 18.89 | 19.73 | 20.20 | 21.02 | 20.54 | 20.22 | - | 20.3 |
| NOR | 16.55 | 18.23 | 17.38 | 16.53 | 17.17 | 18.40 | 20.15 | 21.44 | 23.47 | - | 20.1 |
| DEU | 20.00 | 20.33 | 23.40 | 22.66 | 22.03 | 19.77 | 18.94 | 19.15 | 19.13 | 20.20 | 19.4 |
| ISR | _ | 23.21 | 16.06 | 17.90 | 17.36 | 17.36 | 18.11 | - | - | - | 17.4 |
| NLD | 11.52 | 13.00 | 14.24 | 15.27 | 15.99 | 12.99 | 16.83 | 16.92 | - | - | 15.6 |
| SVN | 16.08 | 16.47 | 15.74 | 16.63 | 16.75 | 17.09 | 13.45 | 11.82 | 9.55 | - | 13.7 |
| CHE | 9.28 | 8.65 | 8.65 | 9.01 | 9.07 | 9.99 | 10.09 | 9.51 | _ | _ | 9.5 |
| GBR | 7.58 | 8.73 | 8.91 | 9.10 | 9.02 | 9.27 | 9.14 | 8.13 | 8.77 | 9.04 | 8.9 |

Table A6: R&D intensity of the *computer, electronic and optical products* industry (ISIC 26) (%)

| Table A7: R&D intensity of the ICT manufacturing industry (IS | ISIC 261-264+268) (% | b) |
|---|----------------------|----|
|---|----------------------|----|

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| USA | 33.09 | 36.03 | 40.73 | 43.63 | 46.64 | 43.70 | 49.47 | 44.93 | 46.36 | 48.86 | 46.7 |
| FRA | 27.05 | 30.02 | 31.96 | 40.07 | 39.16 | 36.55 | 36.27 | 35.25 | _ | - | 37.5 |
| BEL | 35.69 | 39.55 | 37.81 | 39.18 | 33.19 | 39.31 | 29.67 | 30.30 | - | - | 34.3 |
| JPN | 25.29 | 31.78 | 36.39 | 35.41 | 33.61 | 30.38 | 29.04 | 29.29 | 28.30 | 31.47 | 29.7 |
| AUT | 28.38 | 21.87 | 24.12 | 25.29 | 28.88 | 30.15 | 28.64 | 27.87 | - | - | 28.2 |
| KOR | 19.54 | 20.58 | 22.99 | 24.44 | 26.94 | 25.87 | 25.78 | 23.82 | 24.47 | - | 25.4 |
| DEU | 21.54 | 25.18 | 31.81 | 27.25 | 25.22 | 24.01 | 22.20 | 21.09 | 20.80 | 21.37 | 21.9 |
| NOR | 19.46 | 20.84 | 20.97 | 19.25 | 21.10 | 18.88 | 21.21 | 22.95 | _ | - | 20.7 |
| ISR | 24.07 | 13.29 | 16.25 | 14.56 | 14.46 | 13.86 | - | - | _ | - | 14.5 |
| SVN | 17.45 | 19.97 | 19.90 | 20.28 | 18.53 | 17.42 | 12.00 | 12.55 | 8.71 | - | 13.8 |
| DNK | 7.73 | 9.54 | 12.74 | 10.98 | 10.88 | 12.91 | 10.20 | - | - | - | 11.5 |
| GBR | 5.56 | 6.22 | 6.35 | 6.48 | 7.08 | 7.86 | 6.82 | 5.55 | 6.15 | - | 6.7 |
| FIN | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 76.52 | 32.95 | 27.67 | _ | _ | |
| NLD | _ | 8.62 | 11.19 | 12.08 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |



| equipin | ent mu | ພວແງ (ເ | SIC 200 |)(/0) | | | | | | | |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| BEL | 350.36 | 164.10 | 153.59 | 106.98 | 74.44 | 49.59 | 54.61 | 70.67 | _ | _ | 71.3 |
| ISR | 42.08 | 32.30 | 36.92 | 33.93 | 37.80 | 49.23 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 38.0 |
| DEU | 36.57 | 52.25 | 59.32 | 62.68 | 65.16 | 21.58 | 21.23 | 23.29 | 54.32 | 21.12 | 28.3 |
| DNK | 20.20 | 15.62 | 18.58 | 23.89 | 26.61 | 26.52 | 31.05 | _ | _ | _ | 25.3 |
| FRA | 21.92 | 25.70 | 23.71 | 21.67 | 22.45 | 20.62 | 19.73 | 14.79 | _ | _ | 19.9 |
| AUT | 20.61 | 14.76 | 18.18 | 14.20 | 17.60 | 22.10 | 19.75 | 25.32 | _ | _ | 19.8 |
| JPN | 17.24 | 16.56 | 18.77 | 21.87 | 19.20 | 16.94 | 21.99 | 19.11 | 18.28 | 19.52 | 19.2 |
| NOR | 26.79 | 30.52 | 23.08 | 15.83 | 6.67 | 19.80 | 19.37 | 24.61 | _ | _ | 17.3 |
| GBR | 6.62 | 7.74 | 8.41 | 11.16 | 13.55 | 9.74 | 10.36 | 8.47 | 8.86 | _ | 10.2 |
| USA | 15.00 | 16.34 | 13.67 | 7.54 | 12.24 | 7.95 | 7.42 | 7.02 | 7.10 | 7.11 | 7.3 |
| KOR | 3.49 | 2.76 | 3.14 | 3.04 | 2.58 | 2.66 | 3.03 | 1.84 | 2.31 | _ | 2.5 |
| FIN | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | 1.60 | 1.90 | 1.68 | _ | _ | |
| ISL | _ | - | _ | _ | _ | 468.67 | 588.24 | 173.56 | 106.28 | _ | |
| NLD | _ | 221.17 | 227.25 | 462.55 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| SVN | 19.86 | _ | _ | 17.22 | 13.27 | 14.94 | 14.24 | _ | _ | _ | |

Table A8: R&D intensity of the *irradiation*, *electromedical and electrotherapeutic equipment* industry (ISIC 266) (%)

Table A9: R&D intensity of the *other electronic and optical products* industry (ISIC 265+267) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| KOR | 53.85 | 94.98 | 78.91 | 48.96 | 60.94 | 42.45 | 44.67 | 66.58 | 71.40 | - | 57.2 |
| JPN | 39.52 | 43.85 | 38.66 | 41.20 | 40.65 | 43.65 | 39.19 | 42.84 | 42.77 | 38.60 | 41.4 |
| FRA | 26.52 | 27.87 | 30.26 | 27.54 | 29.74 | 30.68 | 30.00 | 29.66 | _ | _ | 29.5 |
| DNK | 15.15 | 13.85 | 13.00 | 17.79 | 20.12 | 18.82 | 21.27 | _ | _ | - | 18.2 |
| NOR | 13.81 | 15.55 | 14.91 | 15.13 | 17.68 | 17.92 | 19.46 | 19.93 | _ | - | 18.0 |
| ISR | - | 18.97 | 17.41 | 16.24 | 18.09 | 17.15 | 17.64 | _ | _ | - | 17.3 |
| DEU | 17.29 | 14.79 | 15.96 | 17.02 | 17.04 | 16.19 | 16.12 | 17.04 | 15.42 | 19.27 | 16.8 |
| FIN | - | - | - | _ | - | 11.43 | 19.18 | 18.11 | _ | - | 16.2 |
| AUT | 16.80 | 15.05 | 15.54 | 15.80 | 15.64 | 16.91 | 15.61 | 14.43 | _ | - | 15.7 |
| BEL | 13.05 | 8.23 | 8.73 | 13.90 | 17.49 | 16.91 | 10.14 | 10.12 | _ | _ | 13.7 |
| SVN | 12.55 | 12.01 | 11.73 | 10.61 | 14.28 | 17.02 | 15.96 | 10.19 | 8.38 | - | 13.2 |
| USA | 14.18 | 13.98 | 12.72 | 13.05 | 12.42 | 11.17 | 11.32 | 11.72 | 11.54 | 12.24 | 11.6 |
| GBR | 9.86 | 10.82 | 10.80 | 10.72 | 9.45 | 9.79 | 10.58 | 9.72 | 10.35 | - | 10.0 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 27.09 | 28.36 | 36.17 | 41.15 | - | |
| NLD | 12.28 | 14.10 | 11.63 | 10.08 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |



| 1 4) (/0) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| ISR | _ | 123.59 | 104.37 | 105.19 | 93.65 | 94.18 | 102.37 | _ | _ | _ | 100.0 |
| AUT | 116.98 | 103.40 | 127.38 | 108.54 | 126.04 | 105.70 | 90.83 | 71.18 | 64.78 | _ | 91.7 |
| ISL | _ | - | - | 56.58 | 84.25 | 73.91 | 67.16 | 74.66 | 57.46 | 103.92 | 75.4 |
| BEL | 66.42 | 59.22 | 74.52 | 72.93 | 82.17 | 80.69 | 56.07 | 61.06 | 51.32 | | 66.3 |
| GBR | 40.79 | 47.18 | 39.73 | 49.86 | 46.30 | 45.34 | 43.90 | 43.35 | 39.29 | 39.99 | 42.4 |
| NOR | 39.84 | 43.27 | 43.69 | 42.38 | 42.58 | 42.80 | 40.31 | 37.68 | 38.95 | | 40.5 |
| CHE | 22.51 | 28.08 | 30.94 | 35.23 | 35.75 | 34.13 | 25.89 | 24.11 | _ | _ | 31.0 |
| NLD | 13.29 | 20.02 | 25.04 | 25.19 | 27.46 | 31.43 | 32.92 | 35.39 | _ | _ | 30.5 |
| DNK | 31.55 | 41.49 | 47.65 | 45.03 | 37.87 | 34.48 | 24.44 | 16.60 | 21.01 | _ | 26.9 |
| SVN | 14.66 | 27.35 | 41.91 | 38.53 | 31.36 | 23.54 | 17.97 | 16.86 | 18.80 | _ | 21.7 |
| FIN | 8.14 | 16.16 | 17.88 | 19.68 | 19.09 | 12.44 | 15.12 | 16.16 | 19.99 | _ | 16.6 |
| USA | 16.63 | 16.17 | 17.33 | 14.54 | 12.43 | 14.16 | 13.09 | 14.85 | 15.72 | 15.64 | 14.7 |
| SWE | 17.65 | 20.04 | 17.54 | 13.28 | 11.91 | 13.00 | 15.16 | 16.80 | 14.81 | _ | 14.3 |
| JPN | 12.43 | 11.86 | 10.42 | 10.12 | 11.24 | 11.95 | 11.29 | 11.58 | 12.57 | 11.62 | 11.8 |
| DEU | 9.64 | 9.51 | 8.87 | 8.38 | 8.37 | 9.54 | 9.85 | 12.06 | 12.04 | 11.15 | 10.9 |
| FRA | 12.34 | 11.86 | 11.76 | 10.96 | 10.78 | 11.11 | 10.99 | 10.74 | _ | _ | 10.9 |
| KOR | _ | 0.68 | 0.60 | 0.82 | 0.55 | 0.80 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.30 | _ | 1.0 |

Table A10: R&D intensity of the *scientific research and development* industry (ISIC 72) (%)

Table A11: R&D intensity of the software publishing industry (ISIC 582) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| SVN | 1.76 | 46.96 | 49.21 | 46.66 | 43.07 | 45.63 | 49.11 | 3.49 | 4.04 | _ | 29.1 |
| NOR | 15.00 | 13.66 | 13.34 | 12.17 | 15.68 | 19.92 | 19.29 | 21.29 | _ | - | 17.7 |
| USA | 22.86 | 21.96 | 21.34 | 24.01 | 23.14 | 20.36 | 19.10 | 17.65 | 15.19 | 14.16 | 17.3 |
| FRA | 13.28 | 12.97 | 13.03 | 14.90 | 14.98 | 14.98 | 14.86 | 14.61 | _ | - | 14.9 |
| DNK | 24.99 | 18.33 | 17.70 | 14.34 | 11.88 | 14.19 | 5.08 | _ | _ | - | 12.6 |
| KOR | _ | 7.84 | 11.29 | 9.07 | 9.57 | 10.32 | 8.88 | 10.78 | _ | - | 9.7 |
| GBR | 1.36 | 3.89 | 2.18 | 3.41 | 2.84 | 2.70 | 2.29 | 3.11 | 3.11 | - | 2.8 |
| ISL | _ | _ | — | _ | - | 36.50 | 43.92 | 45.30 | 52.41 | - | |
| BEL | 6.91 | 22.42 | 96.49 | 117.94 | - | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | |
| FIN | _ | _ | — | _ | - | _ | 9.34 | _ | _ | - | |
| DEU | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.95 | 1.68 | 1.52 | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| 145107 | | | 0.1.9 0.1 1 | | p00 u | | . a | maaot | ., | | , u j |
|---------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| GBR | 45.79 | 39.23 | 29.23 | 33.47 | 28.14 | 29.43 | 30.38 | 27.86 | 25.75 | 26.42 | 28.0 |
| NOR | 14.77 | 17.65 | 17.86 | 19.88 | 23.32 | 26.14 | 25.83 | 24.89 | - | _ | 24.0 |
| DEU | 5.63 | 14.68 | 12.80 | 12.49 | 13.48 | 20.50 | 18.06 | 19.61 | 15.23 | 16.21 | 17.9 |
| KOR | 3.06 | 17.30 | 11.30 | 18.76 | 9.32 | 7.35 | 5.15 | 19.05 | 5.62 | _ | 9.3 |
| AUT | 2.21 | 3.21 | 2.04 | 1.48 | 2.36 | 2.23 | 1.53 | 1.43 | - | - | 1.8 |
| JPN | _ | - | - | - | - | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.1 |
| BEL | 5.43 | 5.32 | 18.20 | 19.99 | - | - | _ | - | - | - | |
| FIN | _ | - | - | - | - | 5.97 | _ | - | - | - | |
| FRA | 37.91 | 38.96 | 38.59 | 37.72 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |

Table A12: R&D intensity of the weapons and ammunition industry (ISIC 252) (%)



| (1316 23 | 70) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| JPN | 20.80 | 26.47 | 22.91 | 25.76 | 27.33 | 26.76 | 27.43 | 26.72 | 28.42 | 31.41 | 28.1 |
| DEU | 17.76 | 17.11 | 17.69 | 17.19 | 17.35 | 17.77 | 16.51 | 18.56 | 19.61 | 21.20 | 18.7 |
| KOR | 13.91 | 14.07 | 13.42 | 13.96 | 15.49 | 15.83 | 15.78 | 19.90 | 22.38 | - | 17.9 |
| GBR | 11.44 | 13.72 | 14.55 | 12.96 | 13.42 | 15.14 | 17.52 | 17.53 | 17.82 | 14.53 | 16.5 |
| FRA | 12.57 | 13.58 | 14.81 | 15.14 | 15.29 | 15.93 | 18.11 | 17.49 | - | - | 16.4 |
| USA | 12.08 | 11.92 | 13.55 | 14.68 | 14.97 | 14.03 | 15.09 | 15.86 | 17.08 | 16.48 | 15.7 |
| SWE | 14.75 | 13.67 | 17.45 | 18.82 | 16.45 | 12.93 | 14.12 | 16.59 | 16.34 | 17.26 | 15.4 |
| AUT | 12.42 | 11.88 | 11.91 | 12.46 | 12.82 | 14.36 | 15.68 | 17.40 | 16.41 | _ | 15.3 |
| NOR | 6.05 | 9.29 | 9.49 | 9.54 | 11.68 | 8.40 | 8.25 | 9.06 | - | - | 9.4 |
| NLD | 4.05 | 6.72 | 7.72 | 8.35 | 8.82 | 7.95 | 7.49 | 7.40 | _ | - | 8.0 |
| FIN | 5.05 | 4.44 | 5.10 | 6.12 | 5.70 | 8.18 | 8.12 | 6.99 | 7.23 | - | 7.2 |
| SVN | 6.33 | 8.48 | 10.46 | 7.27 | 8.20 | 5.41 | 5.26 | 4.44 | 4.90 | - | 5.6 |
| BEL | 3.29 | 4.13 | 4.65 | 5.26 | 4.05 | 5.64 | 6.09 | 6.46 | _ | _ | 5.5 |
| DNK | 3 34 | 4 02 | 4 77 | 5.38 | 3.82 | 3.03 | 3 52 | 3.02 | 2 25 | _ | 31 |

Table A13: R&D intensity of the *motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers* industry (ISIC 29) (%)

Table A14: R&D intensity of the *medical and dental instruments and supplies* industry (ISIC 325) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| USA | 12.24 | 13.27 | 15.85 | 21.77 | 20.46 | 22.48 | 21.21 | 23.49 | 23.86 | 26.49 | 23.5 |
| KOR | 9.67 | 10.84 | 10.37 | 9.75 | 11.68 | 13.75 | 21.35 | 21.96 | 22.11 | _ | 18.2 |
| DNK | 5.86 | 7.08 | 8.89 | 9.63 | 7.81 | 9.61 | 7.67 | _ | _ | _ | 8.7 |
| NOR | 7.58 | 7.29 | 8.25 | 6.13 | 8.02 | 10.42 | 6.95 | 10.20 | _ | _ | 8.3 |
| AUT | 8.56 | 7.22 | 7.69 | 7.58 | 7.74 | 7.86 | 8.68 | 9.25 | _ | _ | 8.2 |
| FRA | 5.56 | 7.21 | 7.17 | 6.67 | 6.99 | 7.19 | 6.60 | 8.58 | _ | _ | 7.2 |
| DEU | 4.08 | 4.18 | 4.05 | 4.92 | 4.99 | 4.92 | 4.72 | 5.39 | 5.16 | 7.21 | 5.5 |
| GBR | 4.72 | 3.63 | 3.18 | 3.25 | 3.83 | 5.61 | 4.46 | 3.74 | 4.86 | - | 4.5 |
| BEL | 2.35 | 2.22 | 3.55 | 4.63 | 4.06 | 3.86 | 2.99 | 3.84 | - | - | 3.9 |
| SVN | 1.67 | 6.40 | 6.89 | 1.69 | 2.15 | 2.35 | 2.00 | 2.97 | 2.23 | - | 2.3 |
| FIN | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 7.68 | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 17.40 | 18.09 | 25.45 | 26.44 | _ | |
| NLD | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.81 | 1.06 | _ | _ | _ | |



| (/0) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| ISL | _ | - | _ | 6.88 | 6.18 | 16.98 | 13.96 | 14.59 | 14.28 | 10.46 | 14.1 |
| NLD | 8.91 | 8.85 | 11.09 | 10.84 | 12.52 | 12.95 | 14.22 | 13.37 | - | - | 12.8 |
| AUT | 10.07 | 9.27 | 11.04 | 11.56 | 12.53 | 12.38 | 12.85 | 12.02 | 12.32 | - | 12.4 |
| DNK | 14.13 | 13.63 | 12.46 | 10.15 | 10.49 | 9.91 | 6.47 | 12.45 | 9.47 | - | 9.8 |
| SWE | 7.86 | 7.53 | 8.02 | 10.30 | 9.27 | 9.39 | 9.61 | 9.57 | 9.15 | 9.77 | 9.5 |
| FIN | 8.15 | 8.66 | 10.31 | 11.75 | 10.15 | 9.19 | 8.97 | 8.75 | 9.16 | _ | 9.2 |
| USA | 7.77 | 10.11 | 9.39 | 8.05 | 7.57 | 8.86 | 8.77 | 8.61 | 9.17 | 9.33 | 8.9 |
| FRA | 8.46 | 8.28 | 8.71 | 8.53 | 8.08 | 8.54 | 9.16 | 9.85 | _ | _ | 8.8 |
| BEL | 6.92 | 6.68 | 8.29 | 8.72 | 7.18 | 8.05 | 9.13 | 9.21 | 9.00 | _ | 8.5 |
| JPN | 7.96 | 7.93 | 7.64 | 9.01 | 8.82 | 8.25 | 8.52 | 7.96 | 8.08 | 7.92 | 8.1 |
| KOR | 6.41 | 6.70 | 8.44 | 7.90 | 8.08 | 7.67 | 7.38 | 7.06 | 8.26 | - | 7.7 |
| NOR | 3.43 | 3.86 | 4.03 | 4.90 | 4.96 | 5.26 | 7.68 | 8.32 | 8.83 | - | 7.0 |
| DEU | 6.07 | 5.76 | 5.97 | 6.14 | 6.14 | 5.82 | 5.86 | 6.94 | 6.71 | 7.30 | 6.5 |
| GBR | 5.34 | 4.89 | 5.60 | 4.94 | 4.96 | 5.87 | 5.96 | 5.86 | 6.36 | 5.42 | 5.9 |
| SVN | 4.87 | 6.93 | 3.16 | 4.25 | 4.05 | 4.10 | 3.61 | 3.88 | 4.30 | _ | 4.0 |

Table A15: R&D intensity of the machinery and equipment n.e.c. industry (ISIC 28)(%)

Table A16: R&D intensity of the *chemicals and chemical products* industry (ISIC 20) (%)

| · · · | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| JPN | 12.29 | 12.94 | 13.30 | 12.96 | 12.85 | 11.95 | 11.74 | 11.81 | 11.59 | 12.93 | 12.0 |
| DEU | 7.79 | 8.11 | 8.78 | 8.38 | 8.66 | 8.33 | 8.23 | 8.18 | 8.98 | 9.75 | 8.7 |
| KOR | 6.03 | 6.48 | 6.29 | 7.20 | 6.56 | 7.06 | 7.08 | 8.74 | 8.79 | - | 7.6 |
| AUT | 10.56 | 10.05 | 11.77 | 8.71 | 7.42 | 6.52 | 5.71 | 7.29 | 8.54 | - | 7.1 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 12.97 | 12.07 | 7.48 | 7.24 | 9.32 | 7.18 | 3.21 | 6.9 |
| FIN | 7.22 | 6.37 | 6.56 | 7.12 | 5.92 | 6.00 | 6.13 | 5.42 | 5.11 | - | 5.7 |
| NLD | 8.40 | 6.24 | 5.97 | 6.44 | 6.53 | 5.45 | 5.25 | 4.82 | - | - | 5.7 |
| FRA | 7.44 | 5.27 | 5.67 | 5.32 | 5.12 | 4.81 | 5.21 | 4.93 | - | - | 5.1 |
| USA | 5.02 | 5.36 | 5.03 | 4.92 | 5.12 | 5.15 | 4.51 | 4.37 | 4.54 | 4.84 | 4.7 |
| SVN | 6.04 | 5.46 | 5.53 | 5.07 | 4.54 | 4.92 | 4.94 | 3.64 | 3.70 | _ | 4.3 |
| BEL | 3.88 | 4.48 | 4.98 | 4.87 | 4.33 | 3.98 | 3.67 | 3.89 | 3.47 | _ | 3.9 |
| GBR | 4.17 | 3.08 | 2.98 | 3.42 | 3.28 | 2.57 | 4.23 | 3.04 | 3.49 | 2.96 | 3.3 |



| Table ATT. Rob Intensity of the electrical equipment industry (1010 21) (70) | | | | | | | | | /0) | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| NLD | 22.69 | 21.16 | 20.97 | 22.35 | 20.10 | 17.65 | 17.12 | 17.46 | - | - | 18.9 |
| SWE | 11.65 | 10.70 | 12.59 | 14.62 | 17.59 | 15.38 | 15.97 | 17.58 | 14.81 | 14.83 | 15.7 |
| AUT | 17.11 | 16.88 | 14.82 | 15.13 | 15.43 | 15.42 | 15.68 | 15.51 | 15.65 | _ | 15.5 |
| FIN | 14.15 | 15.83 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 17.12 | 12.96 | 12.50 | 12.06 | 12.97 | _ | 13.5 |
| BEL | 11.37 | 13.01 | 9.34 | 9.44 | 10.05 | 10.46 | 10.01 | 10.32 | 15.76 | _ | 11.3 |
| SVN | 7.82 | 10.61 | 7.06 | 13.09 | 11.24 | 11.09 | 12.14 | 9.12 | 10.94 | - | 10.9 |
| FRA | 8.96 | 9.34 | 9.41 | 9.29 | 9.59 | 9.95 | 10.24 | 12.02 | - | _ | 10.2 |
| ISL | _ | - | - | 0.13 | 0.99 | 8.75 | 12.91 | 6.69 | 6.84 | 4.68 | 8.0 |
| USA | 6.43 | 7.28 | 5.84 | 7.08 | 7.99 | 6.79 | 8.14 | 7.04 | 6.88 | 8.60 | 7.5 |
| NOR | 5.13 | 5.33 | 5.62 | 5.68 | 6.61 | 7.38 | 7.32 | 7.03 | 7.33 | _ | 7.1 |
| DNK | 7.97 | 8.41 | 8.27 | 8.34 | 7.54 | 5.52 | 6.80 | 6.08 | 7.46 | _ | 6.7 |
| KOR | 3.88 | 4.09 | 4.55 | 4.02 | 4.24 | 5.24 | 6.78 | 6.68 | 7.12 | _ | 6.0 |
| DEU | 3.45 | 3.89 | 4.26 | 5.19 | 5.03 | 5.45 | 5.35 | 6.02 | 6.07 | 6.27 | 5.8 |
| JPN | 5.91 | 5.64 | 5.66 | 5.89 | 5.32 | 5.33 | 5.48 | 5.31 | 5.06 | 5.89 | 5.4 |
| GBR | 3.56 | 3.56 | 3.72 | 3.66 | 3.77 | 4.29 | 3.73 | 4.01 | 4.49 | 3.86 | 4.1 |

Table A17: R&D intensity of the *electrical equipment* industry (ISIC 27) (%)

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A18: R&D intensity of the *military fighting vehicles* industry (ISIC 304) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|------|---------|
| KOR | 6.24 | 1.58 | 1.08 | 7.03 | 7.22 | 8.60 | 7.49 | 40.65 | 32.87 | - | 19.4 |
| USA | 0.89 | 1.10 | 1.46 | 0.51 | 1.93 | 1.19 | 0.66 | 0.28 | 0.49 | 1.10 | 0.7 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A19: R&D intensity of the *railroad equipment and transport equipment n.e.c.* industry (ISIC 302+309) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| KOR | 5.82 | 12.67 | 11.57 | 12.42 | 16.48 | 12.02 | 7.67 | 10.29 | 11.77 | - | 11.6 |
| DEU | 3.66 | 8.01 | 8.37 | 8.02 | 6.53 | 5.72 | 5.68 | 5.96 | 6.08 | 13.83 | 7.5 |
| JPN | 2.05 | 1.75 | 1.92 | 2.40 | 4.64 | 2.74 | 2.64 | 3.12 | 4.49 | 6.18 | 3.8 |
| SVN | 4.25 | 7.80 | 2.94 | 4.16 | 4.52 | 4.96 | 2.35 | _ | _ | _ | 3.8 |
| AUT | 5.71 | 5.22 | 6.26 | 2.44 | 2.44 | 2.94 | 2.53 | 3.61 | _ | - | 2.8 |
| BEL | 9.82 | 10.29 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | |
| FIN | _ | - | _ | - | - | 4.44 | 4.29 | 1.53 | - | - | |
| NLD | 1.46 | 3.03 | 2.61 | 4.35 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |



| 00)(/0) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | 9.34 | 13.24 | 12.30 | 13.06 | 13.23 | 14.22 | 13.2 |
| NOR | 6.53 | 7.03 | 7.93 | 8.36 | 8.19 | 9.07 | 10.08 | 10.75 | 10.70 | - | 9.8 |
| FIN | 8.89 | 8.91 | 8.99 | 9.96 | 8.24 | 8.80 | 9.54 | 9.58 | 8.66 | - | 9.0 |
| DNK | 13.47 | 14.21 | 10.72 | 7.71 | 7.66 | 7.64 | 10.67 | 6.40 | 6.97 | - | 7.9 |
| BEL | 5.46 | 5.26 | 6.12 | 6.44 | 7.11 | 6.98 | 7.34 | 8.06 | 9.05 | - | 7.7 |
| AUT | 5.62 | 6.01 | 7.10 | 6.86 | 6.94 | 7.13 | 6.81 | 6.73 | 7.25 | _ | 7.0 |
| USA | 4.52 | 5.22 | 4.57 | 4.11 | 4.88 | 5.29 | 5.60 | 5.57 | 6.65 | 8.27 | 6.3 |
| SVN | 3.90 | 7.00 | 5.49 | 4.91 | 5.84 | 6.22 | 4.58 | 5.28 | 4.35 | _ | 5.3 |
| NLD | 3.72 | 4.95 | 4.76 | 4.58 | 4.69 | 4.93 | 5.17 | 4.79 | - | - | 4.8 |
| GBR | 3.13 | 3.81 | 3.98 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.20 | 3.65 | 4.42 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.2 |
| DEU | 4.32 | 4.37 | 4.31 | 4.28 | 4.10 | 4.21 | 4.29 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 4.33 | 4.2 |
| FRA | 3.67 | 3.88 | 3.90 | 4.07 | 4.05 | 3.93 | 3.72 | 4.19 | - | - | 4.0 |
| JPN | 2.34 | 2.33 | 2.13 | 1.59 | 2.67 | 2.24 | 2.06 | 2.62 | 2.62 | 2.04 | 2.3 |
| KOR | 1 21 | 1 88 | 1.34 | 1.33 | 1 27 | 1 16 | 2 1 1 | 1.83 | 2 01 | _ | 17 |

Table A20: R&D intensity of the *IT and other information services* industry (ISIC 62-63) (%)

|--|

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| AUT | 6.20 | 5.91 | 7.59 | 7.99 | 8.08 | 8.07 | 7.04 | 6.22 | 6.30 | _ | 7.1 |
| FRA | 6.42 | 6.38 | 7.23 | 6.92 | 6.97 | 7.01 | 6.87 | 6.73 | _ | - | 6.9 |
| JPN | 5.50 | 6.31 | 5.93 | 6.24 | 7.02 | 6.37 | 6.60 | 6.53 | 6.50 | 5.86 | 6.4 |
| BEL | 4.41 | 4.59 | 4.78 | 4.74 | 5.34 | 5.47 | 4.15 | 5.23 | _ | _ | 5.0 |
| FIN | 3.40 | 3.17 | 3.28 | 3.80 | 3.48 | 3.66 | 3.65 | 5.17 | 4.39 | _ | 4.1 |
| DEU | 3.61 | 3.80 | 3.83 | 3.76 | 3.81 | 3.87 | 3.94 | 3.87 | 3.97 | 4.47 | 4.0 |
| USA | 3.43 | 3.64 | 5.19 | 5.32 | 5.35 | 3.26 | 4.71 | 4.82 | 3.38 | 3.81 | 4.0 |
| NOR | 3.09 | 2.51 | 3.36 | 3.33 | 3.45 | 3.93 | 3.81 | 4.65 | _ | - | 3.8 |
| KOR | 2.55 | 2.75 | 2.64 | 3.31 | 3.33 | 3.12 | 4.37 | 3.85 | 3.81 | - | 3.7 |
| SWE | 1.45 | 1.37 | 1.58 | 1.85 | 2.32 | 3.08 | 4.01 | 4.73 | 3.01 | 1.55 | 3.3 |
| NLD | 1.60 | 4.09 | 3.44 | 3.41 | 2.61 | 2.96 | 3.04 | 3.22 | _ | - | 3.0 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 1.30 | 1.37 | 2.37 | 2.72 | 2.88 | 4.27 | 2.26 | 2.9 |
| DNK | 4.85 | 5.88 | 5.57 | 5.66 | 4.39 | 4.88 | 1.34 | 1.44 | 1.84 | - | 2.8 |
| SVN | 2.28 | 2.20 | 2.27 | 2.02 | 2.70 | 2.14 | 1.84 | 1.57 | - | - | 2.1 |
| GBR | 1.00 | 1.16 | 1.37 | 1.17 | 1.44 | 1.59 | 1.60 | 1.48 | 1.68 | 1.71 | 1.6 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| Table A22: R&D intensit | v of the <i>building</i> | a of ships and boats indust | rv (ISIC 301) (%) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| SVN | 57.08 | 87.68 | 19.09 | 36.33 | 1.14 | 9.98 | 0.71 | _ | - | - | 13.4 |
| DEU | 7.32 | 8.54 | 8.75 | 6.64 | 7.00 | 11.17 | 6.89 | 6.86 | 8.69 | 8.16 | 8.4 |
| FRA | 9.95 | 9.54 | 8.15 | 7.67 | 9.31 | 7.55 | 8.41 | 8.79 | _ | _ | 8.3 |
| FIN | 7.67 | 16.06 | 19.64 | 10.90 | 3.51 | 4.12 | 6.70 | 9.73 | _ | _ | 7.0 |
| GBR | 1.26 | 4.00 | 2.01 | 1.27 | 3.27 | 6.05 | 6.35 | 6.90 | 5.62 | 6.53 | 6.3 |
| DNK | _ | 1.46 | 3.42 | 4.65 | 5.59 | 4.47 | 3.31 | _ | _ | _ | 4.3 |
| KOR | 2.96 | 2.88 | 4.21 | 4.40 | 5.34 | 4.82 | 3.17 | 2.98 | 3.45 | _ | 4.0 |
| NOR | 1.98 | 1.97 | 2.65 | 2.41 | 2.80 | 4.18 | 2.82 | 4.27 | _ | _ | 3.3 |
| JPN | 1.64 | 1.52 | 2.16 | 2.14 | 2.58 | 2.07 | 2.61 | 2.73 | 2.27 | 3.08 | 2.6 |
| BEL | 2.35 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| NLD | 1 64 | 5 95 | 5 4 4 | 3 96 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |



| (70) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| ISL | - | - | - | 15.14 | 13.53 | 13.51 | 13.65 | 18.53 | 19.74 | 14.96 | 16.1 |
| USA | 8.87 | 9.45 | 11.38 | 14.17 | 13.05 | 13.60 | 12.86 | 13.95 | 14.54 | 15.80 | 14.2 |
| KOR | 4.18 | 6.89 | 6.48 | 6.88 | 7.87 | 8.97 | 11.81 | 10.86 | 11.27 | _ | 10.2 |
| DNK | 6.66 | 6.01 | 6.66 | 7.71 | 8.29 | 10.25 | 6.88 | 2.02 | 3.85 | _ | 6.3 |
| NOR | 4.06 | 3.94 | 4.53 | 4.43 | 5.18 | 5.62 | 5.03 | 6.03 | 7.81 | - | 5.9 |
| SWE | 5.07 | 5.05 | 5.72 | 7.10 | 5.60 | 5.77 | 4.71 | 5.12 | 5.07 | 4.41 | 5.0 |
| FRA | 3.43 | 4.10 | 4.41 | 4.53 | 4.66 | 4.70 | 4.43 | 5.13 | - | - | 4.7 |
| AUT | 4.52 | 4.73 | 4.39 | 4.15 | 3.59 | 3.57 | 3.52 | 3.99 | 4.44 | _ | 3.8 |
| FIN | 3.08 | 3.16 | 4.08 | 3.25 | 3.38 | 3.03 | 3.56 | 3.22 | - | - | 3.3 |
| DEU | 2.41 | 2.60 | 2.50 | 2.94 | 2.99 | 2.78 | 2.57 | 3.18 | 3.33 | 4.27 | 3.2 |
| ISR | - | 2.94 | 3.15 | 2.44 | 1.89 | 3.04 | 3.45 | - | - | - | 2.8 |
| GBR | 2.16 | 2.07 | 1.74 | 2.23 | 1.93 | 2.48 | 2.32 | 2.61 | 3.22 | 2.78 | 2.7 |
| BEL | 1.56 | 1.67 | 2.14 | 2.38 | 1.87 | 2.05 | 2.15 | 2.90 | _ | _ | 2.3 |
| SVN | 2.34 | 3.61 | 3.38 | 2.19 | 2.02 | 1.87 | 1.87 | _ | 1.33 | _ | 1.9 |
| NLD | 0.56 | 2.06 | 0.81 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.83 | 1.15 | 1.25 | _ | _ | 0.9 |

Table A23: R&D intensity of the furniture, other manufacturing industry (ISIC 31-32)(%)

Table A24: R&D intensity of the *other non-metallic mineral products* industry (ISIC 23) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| JPN | 4.77 | 5.01 | 5.50 | 5.37 | 5.34 | 4.52 | 4.76 | 5.03 | 5.04 | 4.93 | 4.9 |
| AUT | 3.52 | 3.80 | 4.11 | 3.81 | 3.90 | 4.17 | 4.24 | 3.99 | 3.77 | - | 4.0 |
| SVN | 1.21 | 1.19 | 2.38 | 5.58 | 2.26 | 2.17 | 2.46 | - | 1.18 | - | 2.7 |
| FIN | 2.94 | 2.61 | 3.06 | 2.52 | 2.86 | 2.79 | 2.79 | 2.42 | 2.69 | - | 2.7 |
| BEL | 2.61 | 2.61 | 2.44 | 2.50 | 2.69 | 2.72 | 2.17 | 2.29 | - | - | 2.5 |
| FRA | 2.16 | 2.27 | 2.39 | 2.48 | 2.36 | 2.46 | 2.79 | 1.90 | - | - | 2.4 |
| USA | 2.74 | 2.60 | 2.68 | 2.46 | 2.41 | 1.91 | 2.08 | 2.07 | 2.12 | 2.21 | 2.1 |
| KOR | 1.69 | 2.25 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 2.15 | 1.84 | 1.66 | 2.00 | 1.96 | - | 1.9 |
| DEU | 2.04 | 1.87 | 1.87 | 1.86 | 1.80 | 1.89 | 1.86 | 1.81 | 1.81 | 2.04 | 1.9 |
| DNK | 0.70 | 0.48 | 2.36 | 2.40 | 2.29 | 2.35 | 0.48 | 1.70 | 0.98 | _ | 1.6 |
| NOR | 1.24 | 1.40 | 1.28 | 1.31 | 1.10 | 1.03 | 1.15 | 1.16 | _ | _ | 1.1 |
| GBR | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.94 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 1.22 | 1.49 | 1.33 | 1.1 |
| NLD | 0.85 | 1.26 | 1.38 | 1.14 | 1.03 | 1.14 | 1.00 | 0.82 | - | - | 1.0 |
| SWE | 0.93 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 1.07 | 0.96 | 1.00 | 0.92 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.9 |
| ISI | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0 70 | 0.58 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.3 |



| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| SWE | 5.58 | 5.30 | 6.91 | 7.81 | 6.60 | 7.22 | 6.08 | 5.88 | 5.52 | 6.54 | 6.2 | |
| BEL | 4.14 | 5.12 | 5.96 | 5.04 | 5.46 | 5.45 | 5.04 | 5.20 | _ | - | 5.2 | |
| AUT | 3.60 | 3.39 | 4.99 | 6.66 | 6.01 | 4.56 | 5.09 | 5.24 | 5.15 | - | 5.2 | |
| NLD | 4.10 | 4.57 | 4.00 | 4.41 | 3.14 | 4.35 | 3.99 | 4.35 | _ | - | 4.0 | |
| NOR | 3.18 | 2.21 | 3.18 | 3.82 | 2.82 | 4.23 | 4.22 | 3.40 | 3.32 | - | 3.6 | |
| FRA | 1.91 | 3.28 | 4.09 | 4.08 | 4.23 | 3.35 | 2.56 | 2.26 | - | - | 3.3 | |
| DEU | 2.84 | 2.55 | 2.58 | 2.61 | 2.60 | 2.52 | 2.62 | 2.78 | 2.53 | 2.75 | 2.6 | |
| KOR | 1.91 | 1.94 | 2.49 | 2.17 | 2.29 | 2.43 | 2.32 | 2.55 | 2.53 | - | 2.4 | |
| JPN | 2.43 | 3.04 | 2.51 | 2.53 | 2.65 | 2.50 | 2.34 | 2.32 | 2.35 | 2.58 | 2.4 | |
| FIN | 4.90 | 3.93 | 4.24 | 3.20 | 2.53 | 2.39 | 2.60 | 1.68 | 1.75 | - | 2.2 | |
| GBR | 1.26 | 2.34 | 1.63 | 1.22 | 1.66 | 1.42 | 1.57 | 2.37 | 2.20 | 1.78 | 1.9 | |
| SVN | 3.42 | 2.86 | 1.97 | 3.12 | 2.90 | 1.79 | 1.34 | 0.97 | 1.47 | _ | 1.7 | |
| DNK | 1.21 | 1.08 | 1.10 | 0.96 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 1.06 | 1.20 | 0.92 | _ | 1.0 | |
| USA | 1.05 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 1.05 | 1.05 | 0.91 | 0.9 | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1.65 | 1.17 | 0.9 | |

Table A25: R&D intensity of the *basic metals* industry (ISIC 24) (%)

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A26: R&D intensity of the *iron and steel* industry (ISIC 241+2431) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| BEL | 4.71 | 6.14 | 7.07 | 5.28 | 5.57 | 5.79 | 4.99 | 5.07 | - | _ | 5.3 |
| AUT | 3.97 | 3.67 | 5.14 | 6.42 | 5.64 | 3.89 | 4.38 | 4.62 | - | _ | 5.0 |
| FRA | 1.89 | 4.01 | 5.50 | 5.01 | 4.42 | 2.80 | 2.58 | 2.09 | - | _ | 3.4 |
| FIN | 6.28 | 5.26 | 5.36 | 4.48 | 3.34 | 3.53 | 3.02 | 2.06 | _ | _ | 3.3 |
| GBR | 1.37 | 1.73 | 1.76 | 1.08 | 1.87 | 1.93 | 1.80 | 3.02 | 3.72 | 2.62 | 2.6 |
| KOR | 2.05 | 1.99 | 2.67 | 2.26 | 2.47 | 2.59 | 2.55 | 2.82 | 2.64 | _ | 2.6 |
| DEU | 2.75 | 2.27 | 2.39 | 2.31 | 2.27 | 2.20 | 2.35 | 2.48 | 2.20 | 2.37 | 2.3 |
| SVN | 3.53 | 3.08 | 2.21 | 2.57 | 2.24 | 2.07 | 2.43 | | 1.98 | _ | 2.3 |
| JPN | 1.99 | 2.68 | 2.08 | 1.92 | 1.96 | 2.05 | 2.07 | 2.07 | 2.05 | 2.33 | 2.1 |
| NOR | 0.97 | 1.11 | 1.42 | 1.47 | 1.95 | 2.13 | 2.07 | 1.26 | - | _ | 1.8 |
| DNK | 1.10 | 0.95 | 0.57 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.70 | 0.96 | - | - | _ | 0.8 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 2.03 | 3.60 | _ | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | | | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|--|
| AUT | 2.84 | 2.81 | 4.66 | 7.22 | 6.80 | 6.07 | 6.53 | 6.50 | - | _ | 6.6 | | | |
| BEL | 3.25 | 3.53 | 4.52 | 4.67 | 5.26 | 4.82 | 5.14 | 5.47 | - | - | 5.1 | | | |
| NOR | 4.07 | 2.51 | 3.75 | 4.73 | 3.07 | 4.82 | 4.84 | 4.17 | - | - | 4.3 | | | |
| JPN | 3.84 | 4.01 | 3.89 | 5.05 | 5.38 | 4.06 | 3.20 | 3.13 | 3.34 | 3.35 | 3.4 | | | |
| DEU | 3.01 | 3.11 | 2.94 | 3.16 | 3.23 | 3.08 | 3.05 | 3.29 | 3.09 | 3.30 | 3.2 | | | |
| FRA | 1.93 | 1.82 | 2.02 | 2.58 | 3.98 | 4.08 | 2.53 | 2.51 | _ | _ | 3.1 | | | |
| SVN | 3.23 | 2.42 | 1.55 | 3.97 | 3.86 | 1.44 | 0.53 | _ | 1.08 | _ | 2.2 | | | |
| KOR | 1.32 | 1.76 | 1.83 | 1.85 | 1.66 | 1.88 | 1.63 | 1.70 | 2.09 | _ | 1.8 | | | |
| DNK | 1.43 | 1.35 | 2.33 | 1.41 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.26 | _ | _ | _ | 1.4 | | | |
| FIN | 2.14 | 1.21 | 2.34 | 1.08 | 1.27 | 0.73 | 1.88 | 0.90 | _ | _ | 1.2 | | | |
| GBR | 1.13 | 3.65 | 1.37 | 1.50 | 1.29 | 0.55 | 1.21 | 1.51 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 1.0 | | | |
| ISI | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.69 | 1 19 | _ | | | | |

Table A27: R&D intensity of the non-ferrous metals industry (ISIC 242+2432) (%)



Table A28: R&D intensity of the *repair and installation of machinery and equipment* industry (ISIC 33) (%)

| | , | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| DEU | 4.14 | 4.44 | 4.02 | 4.27 | 4.47 | 8.01 | 7.12 | 1.31 | 1.32 | 1.16 | 3.8 |
| GBR | 2.56 | 1.28 | 1.19 | 1.33 | 1.59 | 2.14 | 1.89 | 1.94 | 1.67 | 1.46 | 1.8 |
| FRA | 1.01 | 1.34 | 1.46 | 1.41 | 1.66 | 1.76 | 1.56 | 1.95 | - | - | 1.7 |
| NOR | 1.09 | 1.17 | 1.23 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 1.62 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.53 | - | 1.5 |
| SVN | 2.02 | 1.97 | 0.75 | 1.86 | 1.62 | 0.47 | 1.46 | 1.61 | 1.86 | - | 1.4 |
| BEL | 0.82 | 0.82 | 1.53 | 1.63 | 1.01 | 1.18 | 1.63 | 1.43 | _ | _ | 1.4 |
| NLD | 0.70 | 0.76 | 0.87 | 1.03 | 1.23 | 1.22 | 1.14 | 1.30 | _ | _ | 1.2 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.04 | 0.40 | 1.29 | 1.06 | 1.16 | 1.09 | 1.11 | 1.1 |
| SWE | 4.88 | 3.14 | 1.90 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.88 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.68 | 0.67 | 0.8 |
| FIN | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.66 | _ | _ | 0.6 |
| DNK | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | - | 0.0 |
| KOR | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.18 | _ | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A29: R&D intensity of the *textiles* industry (ISIC 13) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| JPN | 16.67 | 20.00 | 17.65 | 19.74 | 19.74 | 17.59 | 19.70 | 25.93 | 25.61 | 25.48 | 22.9 |
| FRA | 4.34 | 3.60 | 3.67 | 3.96 | 4.22 | 4.38 | 5.24 | 6.06 | - | - | 4.8 |
| NOR | 2.54 | 3.09 | 3.10 | 2.87 | 3.04 | 3.42 | 3.35 | 3.63 | _ | _ | 3.3 |
| SVN | 4.30 | 4.97 | 4.64 | 5.74 | 2.90 | 2.98 | 3.15 | 2.42 | 2.89 | - | 2.9 |
| AUT | 2.09 | 2.05 | 2.68 | 2.67 | 2.59 | 2.36 | 2.38 | 2.34 | 2.40 | - | 2.4 |
| KOR | 1.19 | 1.58 | 1.43 | 1.48 | 1.51 | 1.86 | 2.07 | 2.16 | 2.27 | - | 2.0 |
| NLD | 1.46 | 1.30 | 1.96 | 1.64 | 1.61 | 1.68 | 1.63 | 2.34 | | - | 1.8 |
| FIN | 0.64 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.84 | 0.36 | 2.08 | 2.36 | 1.77 | - | - | 1.5 |
| DEU | 1.79 | 1.54 | 1.63 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 1.27 | 1.30 | 1.27 | 1.21 | 1.52 | 1.3 |
| DNK | 1.20 | 0.72 | 0.81 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 1.41 | _ | - | - | 1.0 |
| GBR | 0.32 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.6 |
| BEL | 3.32 | 3.77 | 3.06 | 3.40 | _ | _ | _ | _ | - | - | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.88 | 0.90 | _ | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| Table A30: R&D intensity of the leather and related products industry (ISIC 15) (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | |
| NLD | 0.88 | 0.51 | 2.24 | 3.42 | 2.79 | 5.60 | 6.34 | 5.34 | - | _ | 4.7 | |
| JPN | 3.77 | 2.63 | 2.83 | 3.12 | 2.80 | 2.84 | 2.75 | 2.52 | 3.10 | 5.76 | 3.4 | |
| KOR | 0.92 | 1.44 | 1.69 | 1.92 | 2.03 | 2.44 | 2.87 | 3.29 | 3.39 | _ | 2.8 | |
| AUT | 1.19 | 1.10 | 1.23 | 1.48 | 1.21 | 1.17 | 1.69 | 1.95 | 2.15 | _ | 1.6 | |
| SVN | 2.14 | 1.23 | 1.34 | 1.34 | 2.15 | 1.80 | 1.65 | 1.12 | 1.24 | _ | 1.6 | |
| GBR | 0.25 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.59 | 0.58 | 1.02 | 1.39 | 1.22 | 1.0 | |
| DEU | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 0.72 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.45 | 0.53 | 0.5 | |
| FRA | 0.80 | 0.44 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.47 | - | _ | 0.4 | |
| FIN | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.05 | - | _ | 0.1 | |
| BEL | 4.89 | 9.42 | 11.12 | 10.49 | _ | - | _ | _ | - | _ | | |
| DNK | - | - | - | _ | _ | - | 0.09 | _ | - | _ | | |
| ISL | - | - | - | _ | _ | 6.60 | 24.44 | _ | - | _ | | |
| NOR | 1.55 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | |



| Table A31: R&D intensity of the paper and paper products industry (ISIC 17) (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | | |
| NOR | 4.82 | 12.45 | 4.62 | 4.77 | 5.38 | 4.84 | 4.09 | 3.86 | 3.23 | _ | 4.3 | | |
| FIN | 3.31 | 2.48 | 3.04 | 2.96 | 2.68 | 2.89 | 2.75 | 2.65 | 2.64 | _ | 2.7 | | |
| NLD | 0.96 | 0.37 | 1.06 | 1.09 | 1.37 | 1.51 | 2.84 | 1.99 | _ | _ | 1.8 | | |
| USA | 2.26 | 2.50 | 1.42 | 1.63 | 1.28 | 1.29 | 1.46 | 2.39 | 1.58 | 1.88 | 1.7 | | |
| AUT | 1.19 | 1.36 | 1.39 | 1.30 | 1.28 | 1.34 | 1.35 | 1.45 | 1.49 | _ | 1.4 | | |
| BEL | 0.91 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.19 | 1.65 | 1.55 | 1.19 | 1.33 | - | - | 1.4 | | |
| SVN | 2.36 | 1.20 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.81 | 1.38 | 1.00 | - | 2.07 | - | 1.2 | | |
| JPN | 1.77 | 1.53 | 1.20 | 0.99 | 1.40 | 1.11 | 1.10 | 1.16 | 1.27 | 1.14 | 1.2 | | |
| KOR | 0.61 | 0.76 | 1.10 | 0.76 | 0.80 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 1.18 | 1.14 | - | 1.0 | | |
| DEU | 0.79 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.9 | | |
| FRA | 0.69 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 1.04 | 0.91 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.93 | _ | _ | 0.9 | | |
| DNK | 1.03 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 1.39 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.38 | 0.37 | - | 0.5 | | |
| GBR | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.43 | 0.56 | 0.69 | 0.5 | | |
| SWE | _ | 2.52 | _ | 3.58 | _ | _ | _ | 3.45 | _ | 3.55 | | | |

SWE – 2.52 – 3.58 – – – 3.45 – 3.55 Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Five-

year average of latest years available. (-) Missing data.

Table A32: R&D intensity of the *food products, beverages and tobacco* industry (ISIC 10-12) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2012 | 2014 | 201E | 2016 | 2017 | 2010 | 2010 | EV ava* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2010 | 2017 | 2010 | 2019 | əravy |
| KOR | 2.00 | 2.52 | 2.89 | 2.61 | 2.61 | 4.93 | 2.89 | 3.06 | 3.45 | _ | 3.4 |
| NOR | 1.67 | 1.70 | 1.86 | 1.72 | 1.87 | 2.61 | 2.97 | 2.77 | 2.79 | - | 2.6 |
| NLD | 2.90 | 3.22 | 3.05 | 2.91 | 2.64 | 2.20 | 2.46 | 2.07 | _ | - | 2.5 |
| USA | 2.30 | 2.76 | 2.50 | 2.88 | 2.90 | 2.45 | 2.43 | 2.36 | 2.37 | 2.19 | 2.4 |
| FIN | 2.46 | 2.48 | 2.22 | 2.55 | 2.68 | 2.31 | 1.88 | 2.13 | 2.08 | _ | 2.2 |
| BEL | 1.61 | 1.78 | 1.53 | 1.48 | 1.74 | 1.84 | 2.31 | 2.45 | 2.59 | _ | 2.2 |
| JPN | 1.91 | 1.88 | 1.82 | 1.96 | 1.72 | 1.66 | 1.66 | 2.02 | 1.99 | 2.19 | 1.9 |
| DNK | 1.29 | 1.86 | 2.34 | 1.71 | 1.41 | 1.56 | 1.61 | 1.75 | 1.82 | _ | 1.6 |
| SVN | 0.32 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 1.37 | 1.28 | 1.48 | 1.12 | _ | 1.21 | _ | 1.3 |
| GBR | 0.87 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 1.16 | 1.18 | 0.90 | 1.14 | 1.06 | 0.94 | 1.02 | 1.0 |
| FRA | 1.02 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.85 | _ | _ | 0.9 |
| SWE | 0.93 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.89 | 0.99 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.9 |
| AUT | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.69 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 0.80 | 0.73 | _ | 0.8 |
| DEU | 0.88 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.73 | 0.7 |
| ISL | _ | - | _ | 0.53 | 0.61 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 1.12 | 0.7 |
| CHE | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.62 | 0.59 | 0.56 | _ | _ | 0.6 |
| ISR | _ | 0.62 | 0.72 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.33 | 0.40 | _ | _ | _ | 0.5 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A33: R&D intensity of the *wearing apparel* industry (ISIC 14) (%)

| | | | | | | | | ` | / \ / | | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|-------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| FIN | 4.28 | 3.45 | 2.73 | 3.48 | 6.43 | 5.56 | 2.09 | 1.90 | _ | - | 3.9 |
| KOR | 1.04 | 2.00 | 2.35 | 2.65 | 2.65 | 2.87 | 3.18 | 3.92 | 3.86 | - | 3.3 |
| SVN | 0.26 | 0.28 | 1.89 | 2.07 | 2.21 | 2.21 | 1.93 | 2.93 | 3.18 | - | 2.5 |
| FRA | 2.56 | 1.77 | 1.73 | 2.18 | 1.69 | 1.45 | 2.53 | 2.31 | _ | - | 2.0 |
| AUT | 2.12 | 1.79 | 1.81 | 1.55 | 1.31 | 1.08 | 1.44 | 2.08 | 2.49 | - | 1.7 |
| DEU | 2.21 | 2.02 | 2.12 | 2.10 | 1.96 | 1.45 | 1.46 | 1.69 | 1.56 | 1.86 | 1.6 |
| DNK | _ | _ | 1.30 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.61 | 0.27 | _ | _ | _ | 0.6 |
| NLD | - | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 0.34 | _ | _ | _ | 0.5 |
| JPN | 0.49 | 0.61 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 0.60 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.72 | 0.36 | 0.4 |
| GBR | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.22 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.1 |
| BEL | 2.12 | 2.41 | 2.99 | 3.57 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| ISL | - | - | - | - | - | 0.71 | 1.01 | - | - | - | |
| NOR | 2.93 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |



| Table A34: R&D i | ntensity o | f the | fabricated | metal | products | except | weapons | and |
|------------------|-------------|--------|------------|-------|----------|--------|---------|-----|
| ammunition indus | try (ISIC 2 | 5X) (% |) | | | | | |

| | | | ` | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| AUT | 3.18 | 3.01 | 3.37 | 3.19 | 3.35 | 3.27 | 3.23 | 3.21 | - | - | 3.2 |
| NOR | 1.64 | 2.05 | 2.19 | 2.22 | 2.55 | 3.24 | 3.55 | 3.31 | - | - | 3.0 |
| KOR | 0.86 | 1.17 | 1.23 | 1.07 | 1.13 | 1.36 | 1.42 | 1.51 | 1.71 | - | 1.4 |
| GBR | 0.74 | 0.87 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 1.23 | 1.05 | 0.93 | 1.55 | 1.38 | 1.46 | 1.3 |
| DEU | 1.53 | 1.22 | 1.26 | 1.21 | 1.18 | 1.16 | 1.13 | 1.27 | 1.27 | 1.45 | 1.3 |
| JPN | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.97 | 0.98 | 1.12 | 1.03 | 1.12 | 1.0 |
| BEL | 2.27 | 2.23 | 2.18 | 2.30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| FIN | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 1.34 | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
| FRA | 1.74 | 1.79 | 1.90 | 1.88 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | |

| Table A | 35: R&[|) intens | sity of t | he cok | e and re | efined p | etroleu | m prod | <i>ucts</i> inc | dustry | (ISIC |
|---------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|----------|---------|--------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| 19) (%) | | | - | | | - | | - | | - | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|-------|-------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| FIN | 7.83 | 6.17 | 4.85 | 5.84 | 6.21 | 5.87 | 6.17 | 3.85 | 4.50 | _ | 5.3 |
| DEU | 1.67 | 2.83 | 2.47 | 2.04 | 3.39 | 2.47 | 2.60 | 2.65 | 3.33 | 3.51 | 2.9 |
| FRA | 5.87 | 4.82 | 2.99 | 4.10 | 3.94 | 2.32 | 2.07 | 1.69 | - | - | 2.8 |
| ISR | - | 1.74 | 3.01 | 2.76 | 2.84 | 3.05 | 2.32 | - | - | - | 2.8 |
| KOR | 1.85 | 2.20 | 1.88 | 1.91 | 1.68 | 1.77 | 1.53 | 2.53 | 4.02 | | 2.3 |
| GBR | 0.54 | 1.51 | 1.79 | 0.95 | 1.74 | 2.65 | 1.24 | 2.25 | 2.55 | 2.14 | 2.2 |
| JPN | 1.00 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.90 | 0.85 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.83 | 0.8 |
| BEL | 0.44 | 0.83 | 0.81 | 1.07 | 1.19 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.48 | 0.79 | - | 0.8 |
| USA | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.59 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.47 | 0.25 | 0.70 | 0.87 | 0.5 |
| NLD | 2.24 | 12.91 | 25.06 | 44.26 | _ | 15.08 | 11.50 | 11.75 | _ | _ | |
| AUT | 4.38 | 4.70 | 3.89 | 24.25 | 256.45 | 1.19 | 1.26 | 1.50 | 0.87 | _ | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 127.27 | 100.00 | _ | 454.55 | 23.95 | 51.62 | 47.58 | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| Table A36: R&D | intensity | of the | wood | and | products | of | wood | and | cork, | except |
|--------------------|-----------|--------|------|-----|----------|----|------|-----|-------|--------|
| furniture industry | (ISIC 16) | (%) | | | - | | | | | - |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| NOR | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 0.77 | 1.05 | 1.24 | 2.05 | 1.62 | _ | 1.3 |
| SVN | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.54 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 1.44 | 1.90 | 1.27 | _ | 1.1 |
| AUT | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.85 | 0.97 | 1.15 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 0.92 | 0.68 | _ | 1.0 |
| KOR | 0.42 | 0.98 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.68 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 1.07 | 1.17 | _ | 1.0 |
| BEL | 0.60 | 0.67 | 1.56 | 1.91 | 0.63 | 0.62 | 0.67 | 0.68 | - | _ | 0.9 |
| JPN | 1.32 | 1.21 | 1.38 | 1.15 | 1.00 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.8 |
| FIN | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.67 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.60 | _ | 0.6 |
| FRA | 0.53 | 0.43 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.49 | - | _ | 0.6 |
| USA | 1.06 | 0.89 | 1.79 | 0.72 | 1.17 | 0.60 | 0.53 | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.5 |
| NLD | 0.50 | 0.23 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.81 | 0.63 | 0.40 | - | _ | 0.5 |
| GBR | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.3 |
| DEU | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 0.3 |
| DNK | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 8.24 | 0.41 | 0.32 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.26 | _ | 0.3 |



| industry | untry 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 5Y avg* VP 0.76 0.57 0.72 0.70 0.06 1.12 2.08 2.05 2.01 1.8 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | | |
| NOR | 0.76 | 0.57 | 0.72 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 1.12 | 2.08 | 2.05 | 3.01 | - | 1.8 | | |
| DEU | 1.34 | 1.21 | 1.14 | 1.36 | 1.36 | 1.30 | 1.42 | 1.67 | 1.89 | 1.75 | 1.6 | | |
| FIN | 0.68 | 0.87 | 0.96 | 1.13 | 1.07 | 1.67 | 1.59 | 1.72 | 1.77 | - | 1.6 | | |
| KOR | 0.76 | 0.83 | 1.04 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 1.48 | 1.34 | 1.39 | 1.56 | _ | 1.4 | | |
| JPN | 1.26 | 1.41 | 1.42 | 1.14 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 1.05 | 1.11 | 1.17 | 1.16 | 1.1 | | |
| AUT | 1.75 | 1.41 | 1.49 | 1.57 | 1.38 | 1.00 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.68 | _ | 0.9 | | |
| USA | 0.59 | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.60 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0.59 | 1.04 | 0.6 | | |
| GBR | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 0.65 | 0.84 | 0.6 | | |
| NLD | 0.10 | 0.72 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.41 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.58 | - | - | 0.6 | | |
| SVN | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 0.46 | 0.33 | _ | _ | _ | 0.5 | | |
| FRA | 0.35 | 0.25 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.44 | - | - | 0.4 | | |
| BEL | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.62 | _ | _ | 0.4 | | |
| DNK | 0.25 | 0.05 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.63 | 0.44 | _ | | | |

Table A37: R&D intensity of the *printing and reproduction of recorded media* industry (ISIC 18) (%)

Table A38: R&D intensity of the professional, scientific and technical activities except scientific R&D industry (ISIC 69-75 except 72) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| AUT | 4.06 | 4.11 | 4.49 | 4.60 | 5.05 | 4.97 | 4.42 | 3.85 | 3.99 | _ | 4.5 |
| FRA | 4.28 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.18 | 4.14 | 4.05 | 3.75 | 3.65 | _ | _ | 4.0 |
| NOR | 2.87 | 2.98 | 2.46 | 2.22 | 2.59 | 2.94 | 3.24 | 3.23 | 2.96 | _ | 3.0 |
| BEL | 1.91 | 1.88 | 1.96 | 2.03 | 2.08 | 2.20 | 2.32 | 2.43 | 2.44 | _ | 2.3 |
| SWE | 1.55 | 1.60 | 1.83 | 2.12 | 2.02 | 2.23 | 2.19 | 2.31 | 2.17 | _ | 2.2 |
| FIN | 2.73 | 2.24 | 2.45 | 1.92 | 1.74 | 2.26 | 2.12 | 1.83 | 2.21 | _ | 2.0 |
| KOR | - | 1.64 | 1.67 | 1.70 | 2.23 | 1.69 | 1.56 | 1.67 | 1.77 | _ | 1.8 |
| DNK | 1.59 | 1.66 | 1.64 | 1.63 | 1.44 | 2.10 | 1.81 | 1.77 | 1.74 | _ | 1.8 |
| GBR | 0.94 | 1.04 | 1.32 | 1.48 | 1.78 | 1.63 | 1.48 | 1.70 | 1.86 | 1.86 | 1.7 |
| DEU | 1.10 | 1.22 | 1.08 | 1.08 | 0.97 | 1.70 | 1.80 | 1.62 | 1.49 | 1.40 | 1.6 |
| SVN | 1.36 | 2.29 | 1.99 | 1.74 | 1.84 | 1.70 | 1.41 | 1.31 | 1.29 | _ | 1.5 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 1.99 | 1.48 | 0.87 | 1.15 | 0.79 | 0.92 | 1.27 | 1.0 |
| NLD | 0.53 | 1.41 | 1.18 | 1.14 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 0.87 | 0.91 | _ | _ | 1.0 |
| USA | 0.76 | 0.90 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.61 | 0.71 | 0.6 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A39: R&D intensity of the telecommunications industry (ISIC 61) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| FRA | 2.19 | 2.05 | 2.63 | 3.19 | 3.43 | 3.10 | 3.19 | 3.93 | - | - | 3.4 |
| NOR | 2.94 | 2.58 | 2.44 | 2.48 | 2.72 | 3.17 | 3.98 | 3.43 | 3.08 | - | 3.3 |
| JPN | 2.77 | 3.19 | 3.17 | 2.95 | 4.03 | 3.93 | 3.37 | 2.91 | 2.79 | 2.93 | 3.2 |
| BEL | 2.96 | 3.73 | 1.66 | 1.47 | 1.40 | 1.41 | 2.51 | 2.72 | 4.35 | - | 2.5 |
| GBR | 3.15 | 2.56 | 2.49 | 2.59 | 2.68 | 2.34 | 2.27 | 2.23 | 2.31 | 2.35 | 2.3 |
| KOR | 1.58 | 1.69 | 1.90 | 1.91 | 2.05 | 1.92 | 1.81 | 1.82 | 1.89 | - | 1.9 |
| FIN | 1.97 | 1.76 | 1.15 | 1.58 | 1.70 | 1.49 | 1.41 | 1.20 | 1.09 | _ | 1.4 |
| AUT | 1.77 | 1.86 | 1.87 | 1.60 | 1.41 | 1.30 | 1.20 | 1.21 | 1.29 | - | 1.3 |
| USA | 0.69 | 0.82 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 1.33 | 1.19 | 1.27 | 1.21 | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.2 |
| DNK | 1.30 | 1.88 | 2.45 | 1.85 | 1.20 | 1.47 | 1.02 | 0.59 | 0.62 | - | 1.0 |
| SVN | 0.89 | 0.52 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 1.32 | 1.48 | 0.41 | 0.45 | 0.50 | - | 0.8 |
| DEU | 2.23 | 2.14 | 2.38 | 1.54 | 1.48 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.6 |
| NLD | 0.58 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 0.62 | 0.57 | 0.63 | 0.66 | _ | _ | 0.6 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.23 | |



| Table A40: R&D intensity of the mining and quarrying industry (ISIC 05-09) (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|--|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | | |
| SVN | 3.81 | 3.93 | 3.12 | 3.64 | 2.66 | 2.44 | 2.99 | | 1.48 | - | 2.6 | | |
| FIN | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.21 | 1.43 | 1.16 | 1.06 | 1.38 | 1.78 | 1.81 | _ | 1.4 | | |
| BEL | 2.02 | 2.36 | 0.51 | 0.80 | 1.70 | 2.81 | 0.54 | 0.62 | 1.40 | _ | 1.4 | | |
| USA | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 1.08 | 1.18 | 1.60 | 1.57 | 1.18 | 0.63 | 1.09 | 1.2 | | |
| GBR | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.93 | 1.22 | 1.34 | 0.94 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 1.0 | | |
| JPN | 3.20 | 1.01 | 0.98 | 1.21 | 0.94 | 1.00 | 1.11 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.88 | 1.0 | | |
| AUT | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 1.13 | 0.87 | 0.94 | _ | 0.9 | | |
| KOR | 0.96 | 1.12 | 1.84 | 1.20 | 0.97 | 1.09 | 0.83 | 0.51 | 0.76 | _ | 0.8 | | |
| SWE | 0.57 | 0.58 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 1.01 | 0.97 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.52 | 0.8 | | |
| FRA | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.67 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.91 | _ | - | 0.8 | | |
| DEU | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.5 | | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.83 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.4 | | |
| NOR | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.35 | 0.31 | - | 0.4 | | |
| DNK | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.20 | _ | 0.3 | | |
| ISR | _ | 0.14 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.20 | _ | _ | _ | 0.2 | | |
| NLD | _ | 0.15 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | _ | _ | 0.2 | | |

Table A41: R&D intensity of the *publishing of books, periodicals and other publishing activities* industry (ISIC 581) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| SVN | 0.82 | 1.86 | 1.78 | 1.72 | 1.29 | 1.62 | 0.67 | _ | _ | _ | 1.4 |
| KOR | _ | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 1.33 | 2.36 | 1.62 | _ | _ | 1.3 |
| NOR | _ | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 1.33 | 2.36 | 1.62 | _ | - | 1.3 |
| DNK | 0.48 | 0.77 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 1.13 | 1.16 | 2.22 | _ | _ | - | 1.2 |
| GBR | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.77 | 0.76 | - | 0.6 |
| FRA | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.28 | _ | - | 0.3 |
| USA | 1.10 | 0.70 | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.2 |
| BEL | 1.19 | 1.08 | 1.75 | 1.70 | - | _ | - | _ | _ | - | |
| DEU | _ | - | - | _ | - | _ | - | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | |
| FIN | _ | - | - | _ | - | _ | 0.50 | _ | _ | - | |
| ISL | _ | - | - | - | - | 0.15 | 0.22 | - | - | _ | |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| 66) (%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| SVN | 2.86 | 26.95 | 13.73 | 16.00 | 6.71 | 1.69 | 0.74 | - | 3.00 | - | 5.6 |
| DNK | 4.01 | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.03 | 3.90 | 4.35 | 4.62 | 4.46 | 5.15 | _ | 4.5 |
| DEU | 7.55 | 6.25 | 6.26 | 5.73 | 6.12 | 5.06 | 4.58 | 3.51 | 2.96 | 3.79 | 4.0 |
| FIN | 1.47 | 1.46 | 1.73 | 1.41 | 1.64 | 2.17 | 1.56 | 1.80 | 1.63 | - | 1.8 |
| BEL | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 1.11 | 1.07 | 1.05 | 1.36 | 1.58 | - | 1.2 |
| NOR | 1.05 | 1.40 | 1.07 | 1.04 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 0.74 | 1.01 | 1.05 | - | 1.0 |
| SWE | 0.54 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 0.91 | 1.13 | 0.8 |
| USA | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.5 |
| NLD | 0.05 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.48 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 0.51 | 0.62 | _ | _ | 0.5 |
| GBR | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.3 |
| FRA | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.34 | 0.45 | - | - | 0.3 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.74 | 0.3 |
| AUT | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.07 | - | 0.1 |
| KOR | - | - | - | _ | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.16 | - | 0.1 |
| ISR | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.03 | _ | 0.1 |
| JPN | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.0 |

| Table A42: | R&D | intensity | of the | financial | and | insurance | activities | industry | (ISIC | 64- |
|------------|-----|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|-----------|------------|----------|-------|-----|
| 66) (%) | | - | | | | | | - | - | |



| Table A43: R&D intensity of the electricity | , gas and water supply; sewerage, waste |
|---|---|
| management and remediation activities inc | dustry (ISIC 35-39) (%) |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| KOR | 1.22 | 1.74 | 1.69 | 1.21 | 1.03 | 0.94 | 1.24 | 1.58 | 1.87 | - | 1.3 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 0.79 | 1.28 | 1.06 | 1.33 | 0.98 | 0.83 | 1.38 | 1.1 |
| BEL | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.91 | 1.05 | 0.87 | 0.91 | 0.80 | 0.64 | 1.23 | - | 0.9 |
| FRA | 0.49 | 0.64 | 0.69 | 0.62 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.70 | 0.91 | - | - | 0.8 |
| SWE | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.41 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.71 | 0.65 | 0.55 | 0.6 |
| NOR | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.42 | _ | 0.4 |
| NLD | - | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.37 | 0.56 | - | - | 0.4 |
| DNK | 0.58 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.33 | 0.39 | 0.38 | _ | 0.4 |
| AUT | 0.21 | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 0.37 | _ | 0.3 |
| JPN | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.32 | 0.3 |
| GBR | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.3 |
| DEU | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.2 |
| SVN | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.25 | _ | 0.2 |
| FIN | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.14 | _ | 0.2 |
| USA | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.1 |
| ISR | _ | _ | _ | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | _ | 0.0 |

| Table A | 44: R&D | intensity | of 1 | the | audiovisual | and | broadcasting | activities | industry |
|-----------|---------|-----------|------|-----|-------------|-----|--------------|------------|----------|
| (ISIC 59- | 60) (%) | - | | | | | - | | - |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| GBR | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.90 | 2.59 | 2.65 | 2.73 | 2.68 | 2.3 |
| BEL | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.29 | 0.35 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.39 | 0.37 | - | _ | 0.6 |
| KOR | 0.70 | 0.52 | 0.48 | 0.58 | 0.49 | 0.42 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.59 | _ | 0.5 |
| NOR | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.66 | 0.49 | - | _ | 0.4 |
| FRA | 0.97 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.36 | 0.37 | - | _ | 0.4 |
| AUT | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.53 | 0.46 | _ | 0.4 |
| DNK | 0.82 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.22 | _ | 0.3 |
| FIN | 0.22 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.22 | _ | 0.3 |
| DEU | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | |
| NLD | _ | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.35 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.02 | 0.02 | _ | - | 0.56 | |
| SVN | _ | 0.04 | 6.21 | _ | _ | 0.06 | 0.04 | - | _ | _ | |



| and mo | torcycl | les indu | ıstry (IS | IC 45-4 | 7) (%) | | | | | | |
|---------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
| SWE | 0.98 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.46 | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.44 | 1.58 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.5 |
| AUT | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.98 | 0.98 | _ | 1.0 |
| FRA | 0.64 | 0.76 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 0.99 | - | - | 0.9 |
| DNK | 0.70 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.99 | 0.88 | - | 0.8 |
| BEL | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.80 | - | 0.8 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.48 | 0.39 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 0.46 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.7 |
| KOR | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.58 | 0.61 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.72 | - | 0.6 |
| NLD | 0.46 | 0.52 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 0.70 | 0.59 | _ | _ | 0.6 |
| GBR | 0.47 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.62 | 0.5 |
| FIN | 0.47 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.50 | _ | 0.5 |
| NOR | 0.25 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.36 | _ | 0.4 |
| USA | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.49 | 0.4 |
| SVN | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.15 | - | 0.2 |
| DEU | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.1 |
| JPN | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.1 |
| | | | | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.20 | | | | |

Table A45: R&D intensity of the *wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles* industry (ISIC 45-47) (%)

<u>ISR – – – 0.13 0.07 0.18 0.20 – – –</u> Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

| Table A46: R&D | intensity of t | he agriculture | , hunting, | forestry | and fishing | industry |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| (ISIC 01-03) (%) | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| NLD | 0.72 | 1.72 | 1.32 | 1.19 | 1.47 | 1.81 | 1.94 | 1.94 | _ | _ | 1.7 |
| NOR | 0.86 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0.79 | 1.15 | 1.54 | 1.19 | 1.64 | 1.80 | _ | 1.5 |
| DEU | 0.69 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.7 |
| FRA | 0.42 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.57 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.59 | - | - | 0.6 |
| DNK | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.15 | 1.24 | 0.95 | - | 0.6 |
| ISL | - | - | - | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.53 | 0.33 | 0.4 |
| SWE | 0.31 | 0.32 | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.3 |
| BEL | 0.90 | 1.06 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.31 | - | 0.3 |
| AUT | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.21 | 0.30 | 0.26 | _ | 0.2 |
| GBR | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.1 |
| KOR | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.25 | _ | 0.1 |
| FIN | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | - | 0.1 |
| JPN | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.0 |
| SV/N | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.01 | _ | _ | _ | 0.0 |

SVN 0.04 0.05 0.08 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.01 – – – – 0.0 Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.



| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| KOR | 1.17 | 1.42 | 1.51 | 1.37 | 1.35 | 0.92 | 0.72 | 0.67 | 0.66 | - | 0.9 | |
| FIN | 0.54 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.39 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.79 | - | 0.8 | |
| JPN | 0.49 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.37 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 0.5 | |
| NLD | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.42 | 0.43 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.28 | - | - | 0.4 | |
| AUT | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.28 | - | 0.3 | |
| BEL | 0.36 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.29 | - | 0.3 | |
| NOR | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.18 | - | 0.2 | |
| GBR | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.1 | |
| FRA | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.12 | - | - | 0.1 | |
| SWE | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.1 | |
| ISR | _ | _ | _ | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.05 | _ | 0.1 | |
| SVN | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.08 | _ | - | 0.1 | |
| USA | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.1 | |
| DEU | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.1 | |
| DNK | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | _ | 0.0 | |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | _ | 0.15 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | |

Table A47: R&D intensity of the *construction* industry (ISIC 41-43) (%)

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A48: R&D intensity of the administrative and support service activities industry (ISIC 77-82) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.65 | 0.5 |
| GBR | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.44 | 0.37 | 0.56 | 0.54 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.5 |
| BEL | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.25 | - | 0.4 |
| SWE | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.23 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.3 |
| NLD | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.19 | _ | _ | 0.2 |
| FRA | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.18 | _ | _ | 0.2 |
| KOR | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.18 | _ | 0.2 |
| NOR | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.10 | _ | 0.1 |
| FIN | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.12 | _ | 0.1 |
| DNK | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.06 | _ | 0.1 |
| SVN | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.10 | _ | 0.1 |
| AUT | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.08 | _ | 0.1 |
| DEU | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.0 |
| USA | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |

Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Fiveyear average of latest years available. (–) Missing data.

Table A49: R&D intensity of the *transportation and storage* industry (ISIC 49-53) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| USA | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 1.47 | 0.4 |
| NLD | 0.07 | 0.46 | 0.44 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 0.29 | _ | _ | 0.4 |
| NOR | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.30 | _ | 0.2 |
| JPN | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.2 |
| FIN | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.14 | _ | 0.2 |
| FRA | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.18 | _ | _ | 0.1 |
| BEL | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.27 | - | 0.1 |
| SWE | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.1 |
| DEU | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.1 |
| KOR | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.17 | - | 0.1 |
| GBR | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| AUT | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | _ | 0.1 |
| DNK | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.04 | _ | 0.1 |
| ISL | _ | _ | _ | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
| SVN | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | - | _ | 0.0 |



Table A50: R&D intensity of the accommodation and food service activities industry (ISIC 55-56) (%)

| Country | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 5Y avg* | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|---------|--|
| GBR | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.1 | |
| DNK | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | _ | 0.1 | |
| KOR | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | - | 0.0 | |
| ISL | _ | - | _ | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| NLD | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | - | 0.0 | |
| FRA | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | 0.0 | |
| FIN | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | 0.0 | |
| BEL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 0.0 | |
| SVN | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | 0.0 | |
| DEU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| SWE | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | |
| ISR | _ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | _ | _ | _ | 0.0 | |

 ISR
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.00
 0.0

 Source: Author's calculations based on ANBERD and STAN databases (accessed on 6 July 2022). (*) Five-year average of latest years available. (-) Missing data.
 0.0



Centre Manager Enterprise Research Centre Warwick Business School Coventry, CV4 7AL CentreManager@enterpriseresearch.ac.uk

Centre Manager Enterprise Research Centre Aston Business School Birmingham, B1 7ET CentreManager@enterpriseresearch.ac.uk



www.enterpriseresearch.ac.uk