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Fig. 1 Löwenmensch (Human - Lion)\(^1\)

\(^1\)In German, the original. Image consulted on 30 April 2020.
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Abstract

This work continues the investigation published in Laboratorios colaborativos\(^2\) and in Academia Letters.\(^3\) It is intended to elaborate a small reflection on one of the oldest productions of human figurative art, namely the figure in ivory commonly called “lion man” or “human-lion” \textit{Loewenmensch (Fig.1)}, and demonstrate why this may not necessarily be a male representation, but rather a female.
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Expression material

Early we saw that João Luis Bucho\(^4\) (2011) refers\(^5\) that through clay, matter and creator establish a Near Development \textit{Zone}, Vygotski (1987), which stimulates the perception, attention, cognition, sensation, and stimulation of the symbolic and the imaginary. Clay functions as the “transitional object” between the world of fantasy and reality. Mastering clay is an achievement. Especially for those who have never done so before. The transposition, which often occurs unconsciously, to the object that one molds of subconscious images allows us to dominate emotions, sensations, traumas, or anxieties. Thus appeasing, with an also therapeutic effect, the human mind.

Often, we are met with this appeasing effect on the artists and curious who manipulate clay, and the art representative of images and symbols in general. Starting a work of art is an imperative craving for the artist, a necessity almost as eating or drinking. When the work is finally completed and sees the light of day comes then this artist’s appeasement and tranquility, this feeling of embodiment that settles in the artist.

Mihael Budja\(^6\) argued that the emergence of ceramic technology was not related to the dynamics of agriculture, but may have been the opposite to succeed: “It is increasingly known that various forms of ceramic technology are attributed to eurasian hunter-gatherers long before sedentary social structures emerged. The invention of ceramic


\(^3\)Cabeça, P.T. (2021). The Venus of our anxiety. The first art was visceral. Academia Letters, Article 454.

\(^4\)Psychologist. Expressive therapist. Master in creativity and innovation. Consulted on December 29, 2018


\(^6\)Ditto.
technology in Europe is associated with the elaboration of female and animal figures in the Gravetian period, 26000 years BP’.7

J. Svoboda (2008) commenting on Soffer, Adovasio, and Hyland (2000) stresses that “the essence of the upper paleolithic anthropomorphics, dressed or naked is that they are centered on the female figure”. Budja for his part cites Vandiver, P. B., Soffer, O., Klima, B., and Svoboda, J. as authors of a theory on the beginnings of the invention of the ceramic production process9 that was precisely the first figurative representation10 in clay that cause the invention of ceramics by humans.

From the subconscious to the transcendent

Jiří Svoboda points out11 that paleolithic Venus figures, even those of materials other than ceramics, were found “located near fireplaces (…) is natural, since these were the centers of almost all activities carried out”. Throwing them into the fire, the element of power, strength and transformation, one receives a magical response back, in the form of bursting (thermal shock) or hardening of the clay (ceramic cooking). This artistic manifestation of the subconscious, also referred by psychologists (Aragon, Rodrigues 2005), materializes subconscious imagery in clay, but could also manifest anxieties, enthusiasms, and fears in stone, wood or ivory. The attempt of humansto manipulate the objects of their restlessness: animals and woman, which enclosed both the Darwinians survival and reproduction (genetic survival).

Svoboda J. (2008) states in the gravetian the profusion of female images is evident: “On the other hand, the pancontinental comparations of female statuettes throughout Eurasia documented a striking similarity in the shape and design of some of them. For Gamble (1982), these relationships may reflect long-distance interactions, formation of pancontinental entities and networks of alliances, or interregional migrations”.12

---

7BP means Before Present - before radiocarbon dating in the 1950s when this process became feasible, conventionally day 1 January 1950.
8Budja, Mihael - The transition to farming and the ceramic trajectories in Western Eurasia - from ceramic figurines to vessels (p. 183). Department of Archaeology, Faculty of Arts, University of Ljubljana. Consulted to 19 February 2019. Available here.
Long-distance interactions and cultural sharing may be one of the reasons for the similarity between shapes, designs and images. In particular, the profuse female representation. Even if we consider displacements of thousands of kilometers, among adverse geographies, monstrous predators, diseases, and all kinds of accidents. There may also be a similarity of expression if creativity is considered a conscious phenomenon but subconscious. If so we could be faced with a response biologically common in all humans. This need for visceral expression would always give rise to externalizations and responses that in its materialized form would be very close to each other, in all humans, regardless of the geography or the possible generational or intergenerational contacts.

**Human-lion**

The sexual dimorphism in the lion species of the pleistocene *Panthera leo spelaea*, inhabitant of Eurasia, defended by scientists such as Diedrich and Rathgeber who claim: “lion P. l. spelaeae should have shape similar to the contemporary African lion P. l. leo. Both were, respectively, inhabitants of open environment and did not use caves.”

However this thesis is not consensual. If on the one hand the theory of the same sexual dimorphism could be reinforced:

We found that “cave lions” and modern lions shared an ancestor 500,000 years ago and that the two lineages probably did not hybridize after their divergence.

Similarly, the evolution of its contradictory would also make sense:

it has been suggested that male lions did not have the characteristic mane of modern males. Perhaps the possible lack of this sexual characteristic in male cave lions have induced or strengthened reproductive (sexual) isolation between these forms.

---

13Cashews G. Diedrich & Thomas Rathgeber (2012): Late Pleistocene steppe lion Panthera Leo spelaea (Goldfuss 1810) skeleton remains of the Upper Rhine Valley (SW Germany) and contributions to their sexual dimorphism, taphonomy and habitus, Historical Biology: An International Journal of Paleobiology, 24:1, 1-28

14"Cave Lions" in the original, designation commonly attributed to Panthera Leo Spelaea.


16Idem.
There are those who defend the existence of a mane in both branches of the species and those who do not. If exists, the representation of this “lion man” was wrong in the genre because the *Loewenmensch* does not appear to have a mane. But if we consider that there is no mane in the cave lion, we are faced with a new paradox: if most of the human sculptures found (ceramic, bone or stone) were female, why would *Loewenmensch* necessary be a male?…Art is an expression and therefore a necessity. It is as fundamental as breathing, eating, drinking, sheltering from the cold. Animals also demonstrate creativity. Kaufman A.B., Butt A.B., Colbert-White E.N. & Kaufman J.C. (2011) establish as the premise of their work around the neurobiology of animal creativity, the definition of creativity according to humans from Plucker & Beghetto, (2004). In the same text and referring to animals, they ensure that “the whole theory of creativity in humans is applied point by point to animal creativity (...) eliminating the parts that do not apply.” Chimpanzees\(^1\) manifest creativity. They create and use basic tools; orcas develop extremely complex hunting and predation techniques using fluid dynamics to hunt seals\(^2\) or tonic immobility to hunt sharks.\(^3\) These observations reinforce that creativity may be a *biological* phenomenon. The way creativity seems to arise, usually spontaneous and without consciously cause, it is described in the studies of Andreasen N. C. (2011)\(^4\) which states that we are confronted with the conclusion that creative thinking emerges from the subconscious rather than the conscious process. Laura Sanders\(^5\) in ScienceNews describes\(^6\) an experience\(^7\) of Manish Saggar\(^8\) of Stanford University that can lead us to the conclusion that creativity arises, apparently, from the cerebellum and thus will be a phenomenon as primitive as this organ, which dates back to our *primate state*.

If we also consider human or animal existence reduced to its essentials, we will have two major Darwinian anxieties: *survival* and *continuity*. And if this synthesis is eventually the

---


\(^4\)Nancy Coover Andreasen is an American neuroscientist and neuropsychiatrist. M.D.; Ph.d. President of the Neuroimaging Psychiatric Consortium, University of Iowa School of Medicine, *Carver College of Medicine*.

\(^5\)Laura Sanders neuroscience writer. Doctor In Biology university of Southern California.


\(^7\)Saggar, M., Quintin E-M, Kienitz E., Bott, N., et al. Pictionary-based fMRI paradigm to study the neural correlates of spontaneous improvisation and figural creativity.

\(^8\)Teacher Assistant, Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, Faculty Member, Hasso-Plattner Institute of Design (aka d.school), Stanford University.
representation of *animals* and *women*, then there could be no greater justification, in favor of this thesis, than the image commonly known as the *lion-man*.

The “Lion Human”\(^\text{25}\) (in the German original Löwenmensch) in many references called “lion man” is, as far as we know, one of the oldest known works of figurative art. Dating from 40,000 BC, it was discovered in numerous fragments in southern Germany in 1939 and since gradually assembled up until 2013. The human-lion is a Aurignacian sculpture of mammoth ivory. The image represents a human body, with a lion’s head. Its dimensions are 29.6 cm high, 5.6 cm wide and a thickness of 5.9 cm and was discovered in the Hohlenstein-Stadel\(^\text{26}\) cave. The beast transvestite of human or the human dressed as a beast, may certainly be an expression of the subconscious. A need to interfere with the environment. An urgency to master forces of nature that subjugated and conditioned us as fragile humans. If so human-lion is certainly the most approximated correct designation, because, *man lion* or *woman lion* (lioness) would be as legitimate as perfectly plausible.

**Conclusion**

Art is an expression, as fundamental and necessary as eating, drinking, sheltering from the cold. Humans through art and creativity transport from the subconscious, images of their anxieties. Art allows to manipulate these anxieties as *if we were playing* with them. By playing the human learns to manipulate his fears. Perhaps he discovers solutions to his problems and for the gigantic and deadly adversities of nature. The two representations most often present in art, since the Paleolithic, are animals and women. These animals usually represented preys and the most dangerous beasts. The feminine figure represents the women who generates life and continuity (genetic survivance). The figure Löwenmensch, *human-lion* may be a *lion-man* but, if we want to be concise, and considering the above, is much more likely to be the representation of a lion-woman.

---

\(^\text{25}\)Outstanding figure of the collection of the Museum of Ulm, Germany.
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