# SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science

SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science present concise summaries of cutting-edge research and practical applications across a wide spectrum of environmental fields, with fast turnaround time to publication. Featuring compact volumes of 50 to 125 pages, the series covers a range of content from professional to academic. Monographs of new material are considered for the SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science series.

Typical topics might include: a timely report of state-of-the-art analytical techniques, a bridge between new research results, as published in journal articles and a contextual literature review, a snapshot of a hot or emerging topic, an in-depth case study or technical example, a presentation of core concepts that students must understand in order to make independent contributions, best practices or protocols to be followed, a series of short case studies/debates highlighting a specific angle.

SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science allow authors to present their ideas and readers to absorb them with minimal time investment. Both solicited and unsolicited manuscripts are considered for publication.

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/8868

Leonel Jorge Ribeiro Nunes ·
Catarina Isabel Rodrigues Meireles ·
Carlos José Pinto Gomes ·
Nuno Manuel Cabral de Almeida Ribeiro

# Climate Change Impact on Environmental Variability in the Forest

Springer

Leonel Jorge Ribeiro Nunes
ICAAM—Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas
University of Évora
Évora, Portugal

Catarina Isabel Rodrigues Meireles
ICAAM—Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas
University of Évora
Évora, Portugal

Carlos José Pinto Gomes
Departamento de Paisagem Ambiente e Ordenamento and ICAAM—Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas
University of Évora
Évora, Portugal

Nuno Manuel Cabral de Almeida Ribeiro
Departamento de Fitotecnia and ICAAM—Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas
University of Évora
Évora, Portugal

ISSN 2191-5547 ISSN 2191-5555 (electronic)
SpringerBriefs in Environmental Science
ISBN 978-3-030-34416-0 ISBN 978-3-030-34417-7 (eBook)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34417-7

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2020
This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.
The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.
The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG
The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

# Contents

**1 Introduction** . . . . . 1
References . . . . . 6

**2 Global Climate Change Outlook** . . . . . 9
References . . . . . 16

**3 The Evolution of Climate Change in Portugal** . . . . . 19
3.1 Framework . . . . . 19
3.2 The Evolution of the Air Average Temperature . . . . . 22
3.3 The Evolution of the Precipitation . . . . . 26
Appendix 1 . . . . . 32
Appendix 2 . . . . . 50
References . . . . . 66

**4 Impact of Climate Changes in Forest Development** . . . . . 69
4.1 Framework . . . . . 69
4.2 Forest Growth and Productivity . . . . . 70
4.3 Plagues, Invasive Species and Forest Fires . . . . . 70
References . . . . . 81

**5 Discussion and Conclusions** . . . . . 85

# List of Figures


Fig. 1.1 Evolution of the ice layer over Europe during the Pleistocene. Adapted from Varner (2009) . . . . . 2
Fig. 1.2 Evolution of the climate in the last 500 million years, with the last three major ice ages indicated. A less severe period of cold occurred during the Jurassic-Cretaceous periods (150 Ma). Adapted from (Wikipedia, s.d.). . . . . 2
Fig. 1.3 Annual average global temperature. Red bars indicate temperatures above and blue bars indicate temperatures below average temperature for the period 1901–2000. The black line shows the atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide ($CO_2$) in parts per million (ppm). Although there is a clear trend of long-term global warming, each year alone does not show a rise in temperature compared to the previous year, and some years show greater changes than others. These year-to-year temperature fluctuations are due to natural processes such as the effects of El Niño, La Niña and the eruption of large volcanoes. Adapted from (NOAA, s.d.) . . . . . 3
Fig. 1.4 This graph is based on the comparison of atmospheric samples collected in ice cores with more recent direct measurements, and shows how the concentration of carbon dioxide present in the atmosphere has evolved since the beginning of the Industrial Revolution. Adapted from (Petit, s.d.) . . . . . 3
Fig. 2.1 The anthropogenic influence on the greenhouse effect. Adapted from UNP (2018) . . . . . 10
Fig. 2.2 Recent historical evolution of the Aral Sea. Adapted from Simurgtravel (2018). . . . . 11
Fig. 2.3 The process of deforestation in Madagascar began a long time ago, practically since its discovery, and has even accelerated since the late nineteenth century, with French colonization and the conversion of forest land to intensive coffee production. The country has lost about 80% of its original forests

and primary forest now covers only about 12% of the country. Other reasons for the country's sharp deforestation are the production of firewood and charcoal, forest fires, subsistence agriculture for cutting and burning, grazing and intensive livestock farming. Tavy is the name given to subsistence farming that results from the cutting of the forest followed by fires. It is a traditional Malagasy cultivation method that transforms the native forest into agricultural land. Unfortunately, the newly acquired land can only be cultivated for a limited time and farmers are forced to clear more land and repeat the process. However, where there are no trees, rain cannot seep into the ground and run to the surface, causing sharp soil erosion. Adapted from EOI (2014), MadaCamp.com (2018) . . . . . 12

Fig. 2.4 From 1963 until today, more than 90% of lake waters have disappeared, largely due to changes in climatic conditions and increasing human demand for irrigation agriculture, livestock farming, artisanal fishing, and power generation. Images of its progressive decrease (from about 25,000 $km^2$ in the 60s to less than 1350 $km^2$ today); of lakeside communities whose sources of subsistence are in decline; droughts, deprivations and conflicts dominate the specialized understanding of the current conditions in the Lake Chad region. These conditions, along with institutional weaknesses and government failures, are the main drivers of vulnerability and insurgency in the region. The conditions at Lake Chad provide a dynamic test field of wide relevance for understanding the major connections between humans and the environment, particularly in relation to sustainability and resilience issues in the Anthropocene. Sustainability, in this sense, encompasses the need to recharge the lake and restore it to a level where it can continue to sustain local livelihoods and regional economies. Where this is not possible, it will be necessary to proactively update the resilience of sites. This can be done by increasing the capacity of lakeside communities to create alternative livelihood options and seek strategies that increase their competence to address the emerging threats associated with the degradation of Lake Chad. Adapted from Anthropocene-curriculum.org (2018). . . . . 13

Fig. 2.5 Evolution of the Dead Sea since 1972. This image was drawn from false-color images captured by the Landsat 1, 4 and 7 satellites. It is visible the Lisan Peninsula (lower center) that forms a land bridge across the Dead Sea. Adapted from Keck (2012). . . . . 14

Fig. 2.6 Evolution of the mean sea level in this Portuguese region between 1880 and 2000. The average sea level rise is around 1.27 mm/year with a confidence interval of 95% (±0.15 mm/year) based on the average monthly sea-level data from 1882 to 1993, which is equivalent to a change from 0.42 feet in 100 years. Adapted from (NOAA) . . . . . 14
Fig. 3.1 According to this climatic classification, most of the continental territory in a Temperate climate of Type C, being verified the Subtype Cs (Temperate with dry Summer) and with the following varieties: Csa, temperate climate with hot summer and in the interior regions of the Douro valley (part of the district of Bragança), as well as in the southern regions of the Montejunto-Estrela mountain system (except in the west coast of the Alentejo and Algarve); Csb, temperate climate with dry and mild summer, in almost all regions to the north of the Montejunto-Estrela mountain system and in the regions of the west coast of Alentejo and Algarve; and in a restricted region of the Lower Alentejo, in the district of Beja, one can find Arid Climate—Type B, Subtype BS (steppe climate), BSk variety (medium latitude cold steppe climate). Adapted from IPMA (2018) . . . . . 21
Fig. 3.2 Example of the creation of maps of isolines for the anomalies of year 2001. In the upper part are the images provided by the IPMA and in the bottom are the images created from the first. Adapted from IPMA (2018) . . . . . 23
Fig. 3.3 Count of anomalies verified in the period between 2001 and 2017 . . . . . 23
Fig. 3.4 Trend lines. . . . . 25
Fig. 3.5 Distribution of climatic anomalies by the months of the constituent years of the period under analysis . . . . . 28
Fig. 3.6 Count of anomalies verified in the period between 2001 and 2017 . . . . . 28
Fig. 3.7 Trend lines. . . . . 29
Fig. 3.8 Distribution of climatic anomalies by the months of the constituent years of the period under analysis . . . . . 32
Fig. 3.9 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2001 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 33

Fig. 3.10 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2002 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 34
Fig. 3.11 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2003 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 35
Fig. 3.12 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2004 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 36
Fig. 3.13 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2005 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 37
Fig. 3.14 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2006 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 38

Fig. 3.15 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2007 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 39
Fig. 3.16 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2008 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 40
Fig. 3.17 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2009 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 41
Fig. 3.18 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2010 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 42
Fig. 3.19 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2011 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 43

Fig. 3.20 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2012 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 44
Fig. 3.21 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2013 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 45
Fig. 3.22 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2014 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 46
Fig. 3.23 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2015 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 47
Fig. 3.24 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2016 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 48

Fig. 3.25 **a** Air temperature anomalies in the year 2017 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with average air temperature above, below or equal to the normal climate from 1971 to 2000. The color blue represents the region with average lower air temperature, the color red represents the region where the average air temperature was higher, and the green color represents the region where the average air temperature was equal to the corresponding climate normal period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 49
Fig. 3.26 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2001 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 50
Fig. 3.27 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2002 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 51
Fig. 3.28 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2003 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 52
Fig. 3.29 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2004 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 53
Fig. 3.30 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2005 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 54
Fig. 3.31 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2006 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 55

Fig. 3.32 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2007 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 56
Fig. 3.33 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2008 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 57
Fig. 3.34 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2009 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 58
Fig. 3.35 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2010 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 59
Fig. 3.36 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2011 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 60
Fig. 3.37 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2012 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 61
Fig. 3.38 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2013 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 62

Fig. 3.39 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2014 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 63
Fig. 3.40 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2015 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 64
Fig. 3.41 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2016 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 65
Fig. 3.42 **a** Precipitation anomalies in the year 2017 (IPMA 2018). **b** Representation of regions with less than normal rainfall from 1971 to 2000. Beige represents the region with the lowest rainfall and lilac represents the region where the rainfall was equal to or greater than the corresponding period from 1971 to 2000. *Source* Own elaboration and IPMA (2018) . . . . . 66
Fig. 4.1 Prediction of regions where there will potentially be a greater percentage increase in crop yield losses. Adapted from Milius (2018) . . . . . 71
Fig. 4.2 Young pine tree (Pinus pinaster) after the attack of an insect, the Pissodes castaneus. It is a species of insect Coleoptera polyphagus belonging to the family Curculionidae . . . . . 73
Fig. 4.3 Mimosas stains (Acacia dealbata) on a slope of Serra da Estrela. This photograph, taken in the town of Casal do Rei, in the União de Freguesias de Cabeça and Vide, in the municipality of Seia, shows how this invasive species is gaining ground, especially in relation to the dominant species in the area. (Pinus pinaster), but also to the other species existing on the site. It is a recovering forest area after a major forest fire occurred in 2005 . . . . . 75
Fig. 4.4 Occurrences of Hakea spiny (Hakea sericea), a thorny shrub of Australian origin, which can reach 4–5 m in height and has a compact appearance, so it was often used to form protective hedges (Torres Ribeiro and Freitas 2010). It was also most likely the initial mode of propagation. This photograph, taken in the town of Casal do Rei, in the União de Freguesias de

Cabeça and Vide, in the municipality of Seia, shows how this invasive species proliferates along the roads and roads, taking advantage of the fact that they are sites more exposed to Sun light . . . . . 76
Fig. 4.5 Area burned in hectares and number of ignitions occurred in Portugal between 1980 and 2017. Fonte: elaboração própria com os dados obtidos em (ICNF 2013) . . . . . 77
Fig. 4.6 Panoramic photograph taken on 05/12/2017 from ESA—Ponte de Lima Higher School of Agriculture of the Polytechnic Institute of Viana do Castelo, where it is possible to observe 9 fires in rural environment occurring simultaneously. *Source* Elaboration own. . . . . 81
Fig. 5.1 Forest fire occurred on October 3, 2018, which demonstrates the need to rethink the need to extend the "forest fire season". *Source* Own elaboration. . . . . 88

# List of Tables

Table 3.1 Results obtained from the application of the simple moving average method . . . . . 24
Table 3.2 Distribution of climatic anomalies by the months of the constituent years of the period under analysis . . . . . 27
Table 3.3 Results obtained from the application of the simple moving average method . . . . . 29
Table 3.4 Distribution of climatic anomalies by the months of the constituent years of the period under analysis . . . . . 31
Table 4.1 Main pests of the forest in Portugal . . . . . 72
Table 4.2 Annual costs associated with forest fires . . . . . 79
Table 4.3 Type of causes of forest fires investigated by GNR/SEPNA in 2016 . . . . . 81