

Patient perceptions of radiographer communication skills in general radiology

Poster No.: C-1601
Congress: ECR 2019
Type: Scientific Exhibit
Authors: L. Soares¹, L. P. V. Ribeiro², A. F. Abrantes³, A. M. Ribeiro³, R. P. P. Almeida¹, O. Lesyuk⁴, C. A. Silva⁵; ¹Faro /PT, ²Parchal/PT, ³Faro/PT, ⁴São Brás de Alportel/PT, ⁵Évora/PT
Keywords: Quality assurance, Patterns of Care, Outcomes, Technical aspects, Physiological studies, Health policy and practice, Digital radiography, Conventional radiography, Radiographers, Professional issues
DOI: 10.26044/ecr2019/C-1601

Any information contained in this pdf file is automatically generated from digital material submitted to EPOS by third parties in the form of scientific presentations. References to any names, marks, products, or services of third parties or hypertext links to third-party sites or information are provided solely as a convenience to you and do not in any way constitute or imply ECR's endorsement, sponsorship or recommendation of the third party, information, product or service. ECR is not responsible for the content of these pages and does not make any representations regarding the content or accuracy of material in this file.

As per copyright regulations, any unauthorised use of the material or parts thereof as well as commercial reproduction or multiple distribution by any traditional or electronically based reproduction/publication method is strictly prohibited.

You agree to defend, indemnify, and hold ECR harmless from and against any and all claims, damages, costs, and expenses, including attorneys' fees, arising from or related to your use of these pages.

Please note: Links to movies, ppt slideshows and any other multimedia files are not available in the pdf version of presentations.

www.myESR.org

Aims and objectives

The improvement of results in healthcare through the transmission of information to the patient within a relation of empathy and trust is already a verified hypothesis.

The purpose of this study was to explore of patient perceptions regarding the performance of the Radiographer in terms of interpersonal communication skills and to verify that the professional practice is carried out in accordance with a policy of respect for the Radiographers code of ethics and the charter of patients' rights and duties.

Methods and materials

To performe this assesment following instruments were used:

- "Communication Assessment Tool" (Makoul et al. 2007) adapted to the professional reality of the radiographers;
- 14 questions with a five-point Likert scale;
- Paper-based instrument delivered and filled by the patients after the performance of general radiology procedures in two public hospitals;

Descriptive and correlational statistic were performed.

Results

225 valid questionnaires from 2 public hospitals were obtained.

Patients aged between 24 to 85 y and different scholar levels and other sociodemographic data were collected ([Table 1](#) on page 5).

Results of the pilot study indicate that the adapted instrument is internally consistent, with a high reliability scale Cronbach's alpha = 0.98.

Considering radiographers communication skills, highest rating were obtained in following items ([Table 2](#) on page 5):

- Respect for the patients;
- Greet the patients;
- Time available to patient-centered care;

Lowest ratings were obtained in next items ([Table 2](#) on page 5):

- Involved patients in decision making process;
- Encouraged to make questions;
- Talk with patients about the next following steps;

The Pearson correlation was selected to evaluated relationships between dependent variables and shown to be positive and statistically significant at 0,01 level for all items ([Table 3](#) on page 6).

No statistically significant differences regarding the patient perception of the Radiographer Interpersonal Skills were found between hospitals.

Images for this section:

Characteristics		N° patients (n=255) / n (%)
Gender	Female	162 (63,5)
	Male	93 (36,5)
Age	Under 24	45 (17,6)
	25-44	88 (34,5)
	45-64	81 (31,8)
	65-84	36 (14,1)
	Above 85	5 (2,0)
Scholar level	1 st level	44 (17,3)
	2 nd level	33 (12,9)
	3 th level	61 (23,9)
	High school	68 (26,7)
	Professional school	12 (4,7)
	BSc	25 (9,8)
	MSc	8 (3,1)
	PhD	1 (0,4)
Procedure	Outpatient	170 (66,7)
	A&E	78 (30,6)
	Inpatient	7 (2,7)
Reason	Rotine	139 (54,5)
	Known disease	116 (45,5)
Hospital	A	135 (52,9)
	B	120 (47,1)

Table 1: Sociodemographic data

© Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department Health School - University of Algarve

Item	"Excelent"	
	N	%
1. Greeted me in a way that made me feel comfortable	73	28,6 3,88
2. Treated me with respect	90	35,3 4,04
3. Showed interest in my ideas about my health	63	24,7 3,59
4. Understood my main health concerns	62	24,3 3,64
5. Paid attention to me (looked at me, listened carefully)	63	24,7 3,69
6. Let me talk without interruptions	66	25,9 3,71
7. Gave me as much information as I needed	65	25,5 3,65
8. Talked in terms I could understand	67	26,3 3,78
9. Checked to be sure I understood everything	60	23,5 3,74
10. Encouraged me to ask questions	55	21,6 3,36
11. Involved me in decisions as much as I needed	52	20,4 3,34
12. Discussed next steps with me	58	22,7 3,49
13. Showed care and concern	64	25,1 3,74
14. Spent the right amount of time with me	75	29,4 3,80

Table 2: Main results for 14 questions of questionnaire

© Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department Health School - University of Algarve

Itens	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q13	Q14
Q1	1,00	0,84	0,75	0,71	0,73	0,69	0,71	0,75	0,72	0,66	0,66	0,64	0,74	0,71
Q2		1,00	0,76	0,72	0,73	0,72	0,72	0,74	0,70	0,63	0,66	0,62	0,72	0,69
Q3			1,00	0,89	0,80	0,80	0,78	0,72	0,75	0,79	0,79	0,76	0,73	0,73
Q4				1,00	0,80	0,78	0,79	0,73	0,72	0,79	0,79	0,74	0,71	0,71
Q5					1,00	0,77	0,80	0,76	0,77	0,71	0,73	0,72	0,75	0,73
Q6						1,00	0,82	0,76	0,76	0,74	0,76	0,69	0,68	0,68
Q7							1,00	0,78	0,78	0,79	0,77	0,75	0,74	0,74
Q8								1,00	0,80	0,70	0,72	0,67	0,76	0,76
Q9									1,00	0,78	0,77	0,73	0,77	0,74
Q10										1,00	0,88	0,85	0,73	0,76
Q11											1,00	0,88	0,75	0,75
Q12												1,00	0,76	0,75
Q13													1,00	0,83
Q14														1,00

Table 3: Pearson correlation.

© Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department Health School - University of Algarve

Conclusion

Radiographers communication skills were evaluated with good levels of patient confidence with the radiological examinations.

Despite the overall positive results, this area of health service delivery must be accorded the attention it deserves to continually improve on patient satisfaction through improved communication.

Communication with the patient takes an important part in providing quality health care. As such, interpersonal and communication skills are considered a core area of radiographer competence.

An efficient interaction, with the goal of providing an Humanized care in radiology has many benefits such as:

- Effective patient clarification
- Increasing psychological well-being
- Increasing predisposition to return for next examinations
- Increasing satisfaction

Personal information

Liliana Soares (BSc), Collaborator in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal.

Luís Ribeiro (PhD), Professor in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal. Researcher in CIDAF - University of Coimbra (Centro de Investigação do Desporto e da Atividade Física) and Member of Studies Center in Healthcare (CES-ESSUALG). Email: lpribeiro@ualg.pt

António Abrantes (PhD), Professor in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal. Researcher in CICS.NOVA.UÉvora (Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences - Évora Centre) and Member of Studies Center in Healthcare (CES-ESSUALG). E-mail: aabrantes@ualg.pt

Anabela Ribeiro (PhD), Professor in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal. Researcher in CICS.NOVA.UÉvora (Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences - Évora Centre) and Member of the Studies Center in Healthcare (CES-ESSUALG). E-mail: anabelamagalhaesribeiro@hotmail.com

Rui Almeida (MSc), Professor in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal. Radiographer in Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Algarve - Faro, Portugal. Researcher in CICS.NOVA.UÉvora (Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences - Évora Centre) and Member of the Studies Center in Healthcare (CES-ESSUALG). E-mail: rpalmeida@ualg.pt

Oksana Lesyuk (BSc), Professor in the Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department, Health School - University of Algarve, Portugal. Radiographer in Centro Hospitalar Universitário do Algarve - Faro, Portugal. E-mail: olesyuk@ualg.pt

Carlos Alberto da Silva (PhD), Professor with aggregation in the Sociology Department - University of Évora, Portugal. Researcher in CICS.NOVA.UÉvora (Interdisciplinary Centre of Social Sciences - Évora Centre). E-mail: casilva@uevora.pt

Images for this section:



Fig. 1

© Medical Imaging and Radiotherapy Department - University of Algarve

References

Makoul, G., Krupat, E., & Chang, C.-H. (2007). Measuring patient views of physician communication skills: Development and testing of the Communication Assessment Tool. *Patient Education and Counseling*, pp. 333-342.

Caprara, A. & Rodrigues, J. (2003). A relação assimétrica médico-paciente: repensando o vínculo terapêutico. Fortaleza.

Ferranti, D. E., Makoul, G., Forth, V. E., Rauworth, J., Lee, J., & Williams, M. V. (2010). Assessing patient perceptions of hospitalist communication skills using the Communication Assessment Tool (CAT). *Journal of Hospital Medicine*, 5(9), 522-527. <http://doi.org/10.1002/jhm.787>

Franco, A., Bastos, A. & Alves, V. (2004). A relação médico-paciente no Programa Saúde da Família: um estudo em três municípios do Estado da Bahia, Brasil.

Mercer, L. M., Tanabe, P., Pang, P. S., Gisondi, M. a., Courtney, D. M., Engel, K. G., ... Makoul, G. (2008). Patient perspectives on communication with the medical team: Pilot study using the communication assessment tool-team (CAT-T). *Patient Education and Counseling*, 73(2), 220-223. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2008.07.00>

Waylen, A., Makoul, G., & Albeyatti, Y. (2015). Patient-clinician communication in a dental setting: a pilot study. Obtido de PubMed: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25998352>

Booth, L. a., & Manning, D. J. (2006). Observations of radiographer communication: An exploratory study using Transactional Analysis. *Radiography*, 12(4), 276-282. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.radi.2005.09.005>

DeVoe, J. E., Wallace, L. S., & Fryer, G. E. (2009). Measuring patients' perceptions of communication with healthcare providers: Do differences in demographic and socioeconomic characteristics matter? *Health Expectations*, 12(1), 70-80. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1369-7625.2008.00516.x>

Lang, E. V. (2012). A better patient experience through better communication. *Journal of Radiology Nursing*, 31(4), 114-119.