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Does a water-training macrocycle really create imbalances in swimmers’ shoulder rotator muscles?
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Abstract
The continuous execution of swimming techniques may cause muscle imbalances in shoulder rotators leading to injury. However, there is a lack of published research studies on this topic. The aim of this study was to analyze the influence of a competitive swim period on the shoulder rotator–cuff balance in young swimmers. A randomized controlled pretest–posttest design was used, with two measurements performed during the first macrocycle of the swimming season (baseline and 16 weeks). Twenty-seven young male swimmers (experimental group) and 22 male students who were not involved in swim training (control group) with the same characteristics were evaluated. Peak torque of shoulder internal and external rotators was assessed. Concentric action at 1.04 rad s⁻¹ (3 repetitions) and 3.14 rad s⁻¹ (20 repetitions) was measured using an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex System 3). External/internal rotators strength ratios were also obtained. For both protocols, there were significant training effects on internal rotator strength and external/internal rotator ratios (p ≤ .05). This trend was the same for both shoulders. Within-group analysis showed significant changes from baseline to 16 weeks for internal rotators strength and unilateral ratios of the experimental group. Swimmers’ internal rotator strength levels increased significantly. In contrast, a significant decrease of the unilateral ratios was observed. Findings suggest that a competitive swim macrocycle leads to an increase in muscular imbalances in the shoulder rotators of young competitive swimmers. Swimming coaches should consider implementing a compensatory strength-training program.
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Introduction
Competitive swimming is considered an endurance sport, characterized by cyclical, alternating or simultaneous movements, in which the shoulder complex plays an important role (Kluemper & Hazelrigg, 2006). Competitive swimmers commonly acquire chronic upper extremity overuse pathologies (Ramsi, Swanik, Swanik, Straub, & Maltacola, 2004). Most of these injuries have been related to the integrity of shoulder rotator musculature, which plays a critical role in providing stability and mobility to the shoulder joint (Wilk & Arrigo, 1993). For this reason, it is important to characterize rotator–cuff muscle strength and balance in overhead athletes, in order to recognize which are at risk in terms of developing shoulder pain and overuse injuries.

With the objective of characterizing the proportional relationship between muscle groups, and in the specific case of the shoulder joint, the unilateral external rotation/internal rotation ratio (ER/IR ratio) is commonly used (Ellenbecker & Roetert, 2003). There is still some controversy regarding the appropriate strength ratios for competitive overhead athletes. However, a few studies have pointed to the normative values of unilateral strength ratios in overhead activities as being between 66% and 75% (Cingel, Kleinrensinkb, Mulderc, Bied, & Kuiperse, 2007; Ellenbecker & Davies, 2000; Ellenbecker & Roetert, 2003). Other cross-sectional studies assessing swimmers have produced contradictory data regarding the characterization of shoulder rotator muscle balance. Some studies have found reduced shoulder rotator ratios, which are muscle imbalances, ranging from 39.12 ± 7.82% in the dominant shoulder to 38.04 ± 8.04% in the non-dominant shoulder (Gozlan et al., 2006) and from 53.26 ± 8.42% in the dominant shoulder to 65.90 ± 9.33%...
in the non-dominant shoulder (Olivier, Quintin, & Rogez, 2008). Other studies report higher ratios (70 ± 9% to 71 ± 10% in the dominant and non-dominant shoulders, respectively; Beach, Whitney, & Dickoff-Hoffman, 1992).

The successful prevention of shoulder injuries in swimmers can be achieved by establishing proper muscular balance (Johnson, Gauvin, & Fredericson, 2003). In fact, there seems to be a correlation between shoulder rotators imbalance and injuries. Warner, Micheli, Arslanian, Kennedy, and Kennedy (1990), after comparing the strength tests of normal shoulders with those with impingement and those with instability, suggested a relative weakness in the external rotator of the impingement group. The implication of the external rotator weakness for the development of shoulder pain in swimmers was also highlighted by Beach et al. (1992). In another longitudinal study, the authors have associated low baseline unilateral ratios in baseball pitchers (evaluations in pre-season) with future injuries in the shoulder joint (Byram et al., 2002).

There are some evidences to suggest that the performance of swimming techniques may cause muscle imbalances in the shoulder rotators (Yanai & Hay, 2000). Based on biomechanical evaluations, some studies have found that the internal rotator muscles are stronger in swimmers because of the repetitive concentric contractions required during swim strokes (Johnson et al., 2003; Swanik, Swanik, Lephart, & Huxel, 2002; Yanai & Hay, 2000). However, there is a gap in the literature on this subject. To the best of our knowledge, only one longitudinal study has sought to confirm this. Ramsi et al. (2004), found significant differences in unilateral ratios after 12 weeks of aquatic training, partially confirming the assumption that an exclusive water-training period disproportionately increases internal rotator strength in comparison with their antagonists. Thus, there is evidence to support the importance of evaluating changes in shoulder rotators strength over the course of a swim period.

Therefore, we propose the hypothesis that the realization of an exclusive aquatic training period influences the shoulder rotator strength and balance. The aim of this study is therefore to analyze the influence of a swim training period (first macrocycle of the swimming season) on shoulder rotator–cuff balance in young competitive swimmers.

Methods

Subjects

Two groups were selected: (1) an experimental group comprising 27 male Portuguese national-level swimmers (age: 14.48 ± 0.50 years, height: 168.85 ± 7.91 cm, weight: 60.57 ± 5.29 kg, training per week: 6.75 ± 0.86 sessions, training time per day: 126 ± 26.39 minutes) and (2) a control group comprising 22 male students not engaged in swimming (age: 14.64 ± 0.49 years, height: 168.52 ± 5.35 cm, weight: 61.13 ± 10.23 kg).

For swimmers in the experimental group the following inclusion criteria were used: (1) no clinical history of upper limb disorders; (2) be aged between 14 and 15 years; (3) minimum of 8 hours’ training per week; and (4) compete at the national level. The following inclusion criteria were applied to control group: (1) be aged between 14 and 15 years; (2) not having participated in organized sports during the last year; and (3) no clinical history of shoulder disorders. The main goals of the study were explained to all the participants and their legal guardians, who signed a consent form. All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of the seeding institution (proceeding 0902/2008) and were in accordance with the Helsinki declaration of 1975.

Procedures

A repeated-measures design was implemented with two measurements being performed during the swimming season, pre-season (0-week) and at the end of the first macrocycle (16-week). Internal and external rotator–cuff isokinetic strength data were collected during concentric actions performed using an isokinetic dynamometer (Biodex System 3, Biodex Corp., Shirley, NY, USA). Standardized protocols were followed. The arms were placed at 90° of abduction and 90° of elbow flexion in the scapular plane, as proposed elsewhere (Julienne, Gauthier, Moussay, & Davenne, 2007; Tyler, Nahow, Nicholas, & McHugh, 2005). Subjects were strapped to the seat of the dynamometer so that the shoulder axis coincided with the axis of the dynamometer. At the start of each test, subjects were asked to relax their shoulder so that passive determinations of the effects of gravity on the limb could be determined.

Each subject reported to our laboratory 1 week prior to pre-season testing for familiarization with equipment and procedures. One week following familiarization, after a 15-minute warm-up (articular mobilization and stretching), peak torque was recorded during 3 repetitions at 1.04 rad s⁻¹ and 20 repetitions at 3.14 rad s⁻¹. A 1.04 rad s⁻¹ speed was first performed for each extremity, followed by 3.14 rad s⁻¹ speed. Two practice repetitions were performed for each speed.

Standardized verbal instructions and encouragement were given to all participants in both tests and a 2-minute resting period was allowed between each
speed test. Testing started with the arm in full IR and was performed with a range of motion of 0–90° (in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations for ensuring that identical ranges of motion were tested bilaterally and during follow-up testing). All post-testing procedures were exactly the same.

To analyze the strength balance of shoulder rotators, unilateral ratios were calculated using equation 1 (Cingel et al., 2007):

\[
\text{(External rotation peak torque/rotation peak torque)} \times 100
\]

(1)

To verify whether there would be different maturational states for both groups, the percentage of predicted mature height, based on the Khamis and Roche’s (1994) method, was measured. This indicator is given as the percentage of predicted mature height already achieved at the time of evaluation and was assessed during both evaluation periods.

Statistical analysis

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test, applying Lilliefors correction was initially used to assess data normality. Differences in baseline characteristics between groups were compared with an independent sample \( t \)-test. The training effects within and between groups were evaluated using analyses of variance for repeated measures, adjusted to the baseline and maturation values used as covariates (analysis of covariance), with Bonferroni post hoc tests. Effect sizes are reported as partial eta squared (\( \eta_p^2 \)), with cut-off values of 0.01, 0.06 and 0.14 for small, medium and large effects, respectively (Cohen, 1988).

In addition to \( p \)-values, we have provided a detailed statistics, including the mean and 95% confidence interval, in order to best depict the change within each group between evaluation periods. Changes in values between moments are defined as the increase or decrease in values since evaluation periods. The training effect indicates the differences between changes in the groups [treatment effect = (\( \Delta \)Experimental – \( \Delta \)Control)]. All analyses were performed using SPSS (version 15.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL), and the significance level was set at \( p \leq .05 \) for all tests.

Results

The overall characteristics of the two groups were similar in terms of age and maturational status.

Within-group analysis for both protocols showed significant changes only in the internal rotators and unilateral ratios (Tables I and II). The exception to this was in the non-dominant shoulder at 3.14 rad s\(^{-1}\), in which the external rotators also presented significant changes from baseline (Table II).

Significant training effects on the internal rotator strength and unilateral ratios were found for both shoulders and all procedures. Large effect sizes were found, as well, in the referred variables for all evaluation periods (\( \eta_p^2 > 0.14 \)).

Discussion

In accordance with our findings, a water-training macrocycle creates imbalances in swimmers’ shoulder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Comparative water-training effects on the peak torques (Nm) of IR and ER and ER/IR ratios (%) of both shoulders at 1.04 rad s(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dominant shoulder – 1.04 rad s(^{-1})</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change to 16 weeks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training effects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant within-group differences. CI, confidence interval; ES, effect size; ER, external rotation; IR, internal rotation. The \( p \)-values are for differences between groups.
rotator muscles. This confirms the initial theory that, during an exclusive water-training period, the internal rotators of competitive swimmers become proportionally stronger when compared with their antagonists, increasing muscle imbalance and the risk of an injury process.

It should be noted that our results corroborate previous findings (Batalha et al., 2012; Dark, Ginn, & Halaki, 2007). The capacity of internal rotators to produce force is invariably greater than that of their antagonists. Overall, these results are no surprise, if one considers that the muscular groups which produce the IR of the glenohumeral joint are not only greater in number but also are anatomically larger and naturally stronger (Dark et al., 2007).

Regarding changes in strength values throughout the macrocycle, in the experimental group we observed an increase in peak torques of both muscle groups. Similar results were reported by Ramsi et al. (2004), for three different periods during the season. However, in our study, the same trend was not found in the control group.

Despite the fact that the experimental group showed a progressive increase in strength for both muscular groups throughout the macrocycle, within-group analysis only showed significant changes in internal rotators and unilateral ratios. The only exception was in the non-dominant shoulder (at 3.14 rad s⁻¹), in which external rotators presented significant changes from baseline. These results confirm the idea that aquatic training increases the internal rotator strength in a disproportionate way in comparison with their antagonists (Kluemper & Hazelrigg, 2006; Ramsi et al., 2004). In previous studies, two main explanations are provided for this.

On the one hand, some studies provide support for these results on a biomechanical basis, indicating that internal rotators are more intensely stimulated due to the repeated concentric contractions to which they are subjected during swim strokes (Johnson et al., 2003; O’Donnell, Bowen, & Fossati, 2005; Yanai & Hay, 2000). On the other hand, O’Donnell et al. (2005) claim that swimming techniques promote muscle imbalances which place the glenohumeral capsular ligament complex under stress, contributing to instability of the shoulder joint, with consequences on the ability to produce force.

For an injury prevention analysis, it should be remembered that a lack of additional ER strength increases during the season could predispose the shoulder to chronic overuse pathologies often associated with swimming. The differences in strength improvements for external rotators are linked to the role of this musculature during the swim stroke, which is not for propulsion but for glenohumeral control (McMaster, Roberts, & Stoddard, 1998).

Regarding shoulder rotator balance, our findings showed a significant decrease in the experimental group for both protocols and shoulders, in unilateral ratios from baseline to 16 weeks, whereas, the control group had no differences in unilateral ratios between moments. There are some controversies among researchers as to whether absolute strength or strength ratios should be used to quantify optimal levels of dynamic shoulder stability (Leroux et al., 1994). However, there is a degree of consensus regarding unilateral ratios as one of the most important variables to be characterized when seeking to diagnose the muscular balance/imbalance of a given joint (Ellenbecker & Roetert, 2003) and as a

Table II. Comparative water-training effects on the peak torques (Nm) of IR and ER and ER/IR ratios (%) of both shoulders at 3.14 rad s⁻¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dominant shoulder – 3.14 rad s⁻¹</th>
<th>Non-dominant shoulder – 3.14 rad s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline Mean ± SD</strong></td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22.57 ± 4.12</td>
<td>22.84 ± 4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.92 ± 4.88</td>
<td>22.30 ± 4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio 75.43 ± 14.13</td>
<td>97.94 ± 20.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Change to 16 weeks Mean(95% CI)</strong></td>
<td>ER</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.08 (0.46 to 4.35)</td>
<td>0.25 (−1.93 to 2.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.75 (3.23 to 7.79)*</td>
<td>0.26 (−3.23 to 2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio −6.34 (−9.28 to −0.56)*</td>
<td>0.01 (−9.02 to 8.59)</td>
<td>−4.54 (−9.62 to 0.10)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training effects</strong></td>
<td>p</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.33 (−0.33 to 4.99)</td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.01 (1.96 to 10.06)</td>
<td>.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio −6.35 (−15.34 to 4.65)</td>
<td>.025</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*pSignificant within-group differences. CI, confidence interval; ES, effect size; ER, external rotation; IR, internal rotation. The p-values are for differences between groups.
The present study clearly demonstrates that swimmers’ shoulder rotator imbalances significantly increase over an exclusive water-training period. The experimental group data are consistent with previous data obtained by Ramzi et al. (2004) who also found significant differences in the unilateral ratios from baseline to 12 weeks. Additionally, the effect size analysis for both shoulders revealed moderate to large effects for changes in the unilateral ratios between moments. However, considering normative shoulder rotator ratio values (Ellenbecker & Davies, 2000; Ellenbecker & Roetert, 2003), despite the decline seen in swimmers, ER/IR ratios did not register values below 66% at any point, which according to Leroux et al. (1994) are not associated with severe imbalances. Notwithstanding this fact, it should be remembered that lower shoulder rotator unilateral ratios seem to be related to future injuries in the shoulder joint (Beach et al., 1992; Byram et al., 2010).

As a consequence of the different proportionality of strength gains observed between external and internal rotators, the analysis of unilateral ratios showed differences between groups in terms of training effects in both shoulders and for all protocols, revealing moderate to large effect sizes. Our findings are in keeping with those of Olivier et al. (2008), who stated that swimmer shoulder unilateral ratios are significantly lower than those of non-swimmers.

We acknowledge certain limitations to our study. Isokinetic testing was performed with subjects in a non-swimming-specific position. A prone testing position may be more suitable for swimmers; however, this option was not available with our assessment tool. Another limitation is related to the characteristics of subjects belonging to the experimental group. Due to their similarity in age and competitive level, care should be taken in applying these findings to groups of swimmers with other characteristics.

Conclusions

A water-training macrocycle promotes imbalances in swimmers’ shoulder rotator muscles. This is a consequence of the fact that internal rotators shoulder muscles become proportionally stronger as compared with their antagonist group, increasing the agonist–antagonist muscle imbalance. Since the decrease in shoulder rotator unilateral ratios seems to be related to future chronic upper extremity overuse injury, we recommend that coaches use land-based preventive strength-training protocols with competitive swimmers, focusing specifically on external rotator muscles and stabilizers of the shoulder joint.
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