Tentative identification procedure for HNV Montados



Teresa Pinto-Correia and Mara Almeida

Instituto de Ciências Agrárias e Ambientais Mediterrânicas

Universidade de Évora



What needs to be considered ?

A **COMPLEX system** depending on the **high variance** of its main components



TREE COVER

different **biophysical conditions** and different **management options**

functional and spatial complexity

The decisive role of management

Decisions taken at the Farm level: Soil mobilization/Shrub control/Grazing intensity/Breeds/... Intensification **Extensification**

Changes in **Biodiversity** and in Landscape Structure

>>affect the multiple functions supported and sustainability of the system

HNV classification as a sustainability assessment

Given the variability in biophysical conditions and in management options,

Which Montados can be classified as HNV?

How different management systems affect landscape composition and biodiversity ?

Which are the thresholds in management resulting in a HNV Montado, for each type ?

We need to combine:



Different steps at different scales:



1 DEFINITION OF MAIN MONTADO TYPES

Α

A differentiation based on Land Cover and Biophysical conditions > simplifying fuziness

BIOPHYSICAL VARIABLES

- Soil type
- Slope
- Slope direction
- Wetness

LAND COVER VARIABLES

sampling

- Rate of Montado area
- Cork oak density
- Holm oak density
- Shrub density



<10% Open Pastures



C 20-50% Open Montado



B 10-20% Clear Montado



>50% Dense Montado

D

2 DEFINITION OF GRAZING SYSTEMS

Classify complex and highly variable practices:

livestock, pasture, woodland and shrub

- identification of the grazing practices (technological and economic components)
- selection of criteria to define the grazing system



Mediterranean Grazing Index MGI

3 BIODIVERSITY ASSESSMENT

Detailed fieldwork

Vegetation diversity

- tree density and spatial distribution
- shrub and herbaceous vegetation
- pasture diversity
- presence of rare, endangered or vulnerable plants species

Animal diversity

- birds and reptiles as indicators
- species detections, their numbers and micro-habitat variables



...and an integrated analysis

* MGI which result in high biodiversity > trends *Land cover metrics = Landscape indicators for identifying and monitoring the MGI

>> a straightforward and objective approach



4 IDENTIFICATION OF THE HNV MONTADOS

- Thresholds or ranges can indicate HNV levels
- (i) <u>Non-HNV</u>, if management practices define trends contrary to the principal criteria for HNV;
- (ii) <u>Potentially HNV</u>, requiring management improvements in order to achieve a higher balance of the components;
- (iii) <u>Currently HNV</u>, meeting the principal criteria; and
- (iv) <u>Very High Nature Value</u>, if the management practices ensure high and long term standard of HNV

EXAMPLE



Farm I



Farm II



Some clear research paths but many open questions

- * Air images and land cover classification are
- a very rich and highly reliable source of information
- * Management practices define the HNV
- * Land cover patterns reflect management practices
- * We can classify extremely fuzzy land cover patterns and we can define different but interlinked samples

BUT

- ** Can we relate patterns to management ??
- ** Is the temporal dimension included ??
 ** What should be the analysis unit ??
 ** Which scale is the most adapted ??